

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effects of training, detraining, and retraining on strength, hypertrophy, and myonuclear number in human skeletal muscle

Niklas Psilander,¹ Einar Eftestøl,² Kristoffer Toldnes Cumming,³ Inga Juvkam,² Maria M. Ekblom,¹ Kerstin Sunding,⁴ Mathias Wernbom,⁵ Hans-Christer Holmberg,⁶ Björn Ekblom,¹ Jo C. Bruusgaard,^{2,7} Truls Raastad,³ and Kristian Gundersen²

¹Department of Sport Performance and Training, The Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Stockholm, Sweden; ²Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ³Department of Physical Performance, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway; ⁴Stockholm Sport Trauma Research Center, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁵Department of Food and Nutrition and Sport Science, Center for Health and Performance, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; ⁶Department of Health Sciences, Swedish Winter Sports Research Centre, Mid Sweden University, Östersund, Sweden; and ⁷Department of Health Sciences, Kristiania University College, Oslo, Norway

Submitted 17 October 2018; accepted in final form 9 April 2019

Psilander N, Eftestøl E, Cumming KT, Juvkam I, Ekblom MM, Sunding K, Wernbom M, Holmberg HC, Ekblom B, Bruusgaard JC, Raastad T, Gundersen K. Effects of training, detraining, and retraining on strength, hypertrophy, and myonuclear number in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 126: 1636–1645, 2019. First published April 11, 2019; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00917.2018.—Previously trained mouse muscles acquire strength and volume faster than naïve muscles; it has been suggested that this is related to increased myonuclear density. The present study aimed to determine whether a previously strength-trained leg (mem-leg) would respond better to a period of strength training than a previously untrained leg (con-leg). Nine men and 10 women performed unilateral strength training (T1) for 10 wk, followed by 20 wk of detraining (DT) and a 5-wk bilateral retraining period (T2). Muscle biopsies were taken before and after each training period and analyzed for myonuclear number, fiber volume, and cross-sectional area (CSA). Ultrasound and one repetition of maximum leg extension were performed to determine muscle thickness (MT) and strength. CSA (~17%), MT (~10%), and strength (~20%) increased during T1 in the mem-leg. However, the myonuclear number and fiber volume did not change. MT and CSA returned to baseline values during DT, but strength remained elevated (~60%), supporting previous findings of a long-lasting motor learning effect. MT and strength increased similarly in the mem-leg and con-leg during T2, whereas CSA, fiber volume, and myonuclear number remained unaffected. In conclusion, training response during T2 did not differ between the mem-leg and con-leg. However, this does not discount the existence of human muscle memory, since no increase in the number of myonuclei was detected during T1 and no clear detraining effect was observed for cell size during DT; thus, the present data did not allow for a rigorous test of the muscle memory hypothesis.

NEW & NOTEWORTHY If a long-lasting intramuscular memory exists in humans, this will affect strength-training advice for both athletes and the public. Based on animal experiments, we hypothesized that such a memory exists and that it is related to the myonuclear number. However, a period of unilateral strength training, followed by detraining, did not increase the myonuclear number. The training response, during a subsequent bilateral retraining period, was not enhanced in the previously trained leg.

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: N. Psilander, GIH, The Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Box 5626, 114 86 Stockholm, Sweden (e-mail: niklas.psilander@gih.se).

CSA; exercise; motor learning; muscle memory; myonuclei

INTRODUCTION

Muscle wasting and frailty are major health problems in the aging Western population (14, 24), and strength training represents an important strategy for dealing with this problem. Considerable evidence shows that physical activity, especially strength training, can counteract loss of strength and muscle wasting in the elderly, thereby improving overall quality of life (9, 10). However, hypertrophy induced by strength training is attenuated in elderly individuals and may be partly explained by satellite cells' reduced capacity to proliferate and generate new myonuclei (40). Therefore, an alternative or complementary strategy could be to encourage strength training at a young age, when hypertrophy and generation of new myonuclei can be achieved more easily. Mouse studies have shown that myonuclei inserted in muscle cells, in conjunction with hypertrophy, are not lost during subsequent atrophy and could potentially represent a functionally important “muscle memory” that aids hypertrophy during future overload activities (6–8, 15, 19, 26, 28). Even though the methods used for inducing hypertrophy in these studies are different from those used in physiological training in a human setting (synergist ablation, steroid administration, tail loaded ladder climbing, etc.), they provide important insight into molecular mechanisms potentially regulating the hypertrophic response.

It is generally believed that previous strength training facilitates reacquisition of muscle mass even after long intervening periods of inactivity. However, there is little direct scientific evidence for such a memory effect of strength training in humans, although some studies have shown that muscle strength, and to some degree, hypertrophy, is resilient during detraining periods, and faster gain is obtained during subsequent retraining periods (12, 17, 21, 45, 46). Muscle memory involves the central nervous system and motor learning components, since an increase in force may precede an increase in muscle mass, possibly mediated by alterations in spinal motor neuron excitability and synaptogenesis within the spinal cord (1, 37). However, animal experiments have highlighted a

memory effect residing in the muscle cells themselves (7, 8, 15, 28).

The cellular memory was originally suggested to be related to the number of myonuclei, since myonuclei recruited during hypertrophy are not lost during subsequent atrophy (7, 8), and could have a half-life in excess of 15 yr in humans, as suggested by ^{14}C dating of whole muscle homogenate (44). Other possible mechanisms include long-lasting epigenetic modifications (47). In a recent study, young men who performed two identical 7-wk periods of bilateral strength training, separated by 7 wk of detraining, gained muscle mass faster during the second training period (12 vs. 7%). Furthermore, the second training period was associated with a greater number of hypomethylated genes than the first (42).

In the present study, young untrained individuals underwent a 10-wk unilateral leg strength-training program. Afterward, they were detrained for 20 wk before undergoing a 5-wk bilateral training program aimed at comparing the training response of the two legs. Muscle strength and thickness, fiber size, and number of myonuclei (derived from muscle biopsies) were measured. We hypothesized that the unilateral training period would increase muscle mass and the number of myonuclei and that this increased myonuclear content would aid retraining 20 wk later in the previously trained leg.

METHODS

Experimental design. Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the experimental design. The study was performed over 35 wk and included two strength-training periods (T1 and T2) separated by a 20-wk detraining period (DT) with no training at all. During T1, unilateral strength training was performed for 10 wk, whereas, during T2, bilateral strength training was performed for 5 wk. The exercises included leg presses and leg extensions, and training usually took place three times per week. Both moderate [70–75% of one-repetition maximum (1RM)] and heavy (80–85% of 1RM) loading were performed in an undulating periodized manner. Two weeks of low-load blood flow-restricted exercise (BFRT) were incorporated into T1 (weeks 4 and 8). The purpose of this novel strength-training design was to maximize hypertrophy, satellite cell activation, and fusion (33, 34, 49), with the aim of creating muscle memory in the trained leg. To ensure optimal protein intake and stimulate muscle growth, participants consumed 25 g of whey protein (One Whey; Fitnessguru Sweden, Stockholm, Sweden) immediately after each training session in both training periods (39). Muscle thickness (MT, vastus lateralis muscle) and unilateral leg extension (1RM) of the quadriceps muscles




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the experimental protocol. T1, first training period; DT, detraining period; T2, second training period. Red and blue rectangles denote the training periods for the memory and control leg, respectively. Only the memory leg was trained during T1, whereas both legs were trained during T2. Pink denotes blood flow-restricted exercise performed at weeks 4 and 8. Biopsies were collected, as indicated, after at least a 48-h rest. Muscle thickness was measured by ultrasound, and the 1-repetition maximum test was performed in association with all of the biopsy sampling points.

were determined before and after each training period. Muscle biopsies (vastus lateralis muscle) were obtained from the unilaterally trained leg pre- and post-T1, and from both legs pre- and post-T2. Muscle biopsies were analyzed to calculate fiber volume, fiber-specific cross-sectional area (CSA), and myonuclear number.

Participants. Study participants included 19 healthy inactive volunteers (25 ± 1 yr old, 71 ± 4 kg, 175 ± 8 cm, 10 women and 9 men) who had never engaged in any regular sport or physical activity. Participants were carefully informed about the experimental design, and possible risks related to the project and gave written informed consent before entering the study. Ten male subjects were originally recruited; however, one dropped out before starting the first training period. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Stockholm, Sweden (DNR 2015/211-31/4), and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Training protocols. All training sessions were performed at the laboratory of applied sport sciences at the Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences. Each participant was individually supervised by a trainer for each session to ensure the training was performed correctly. The exercise equipment included a Cybex leg press (model 16110) and a Cybex leg extension (model 11051-90) (Cybex International). The right and left legs were randomly assigned in a counterbalanced fashion to serve either as control leg (con-leg) or memory leg (mem-leg). Only the mem-leg was trained for 10 wk during T1, and both the mem-leg and con-leg were trained for 5 wk during T2. The legs were trained one at a time during T2, alternating between sets. The two training periods were separated by an ~20-wk DT period during which no training was allowed.

Except for weeks 4 and 8 in T1, a conventional resistance-training program was applied three times per week (Monday, Wednesday, and Friday), with three sets of leg presses followed by three sets of leg extensions. The exercise intensity was quantified in relation to 1RM strength in the given exercise movement. The Monday session was performed with moderate-intensity loads (70–75% of 1RM, ~10–12 reps) and the Friday session used heavy loads (80–85% of 1RM, ~5–7 reps) until volitional failure. The loads were increased every Monday if the subjects could perform more than their designated repetition range during the previous training session. The Wednesday session was performed using the same number of repetitions (reps) as the Monday session, but with a 10% load reduction (i.e., not until volitional failure). There was a 1- to 2-min rest period between sets, and 3–4 min between exercises.

BFRT was performed five times per week at weeks 4 and 8 during T1. Participants wore a cuff (low-pressure tourniquet cuff, 30–77 cm; Delfi Medical) on the proximal thigh that was automatically inflated using a Zimmer A.T.S. 2000 tourniquet system (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN). During the entire working period, the cuff was inflated and regulated to a pressure of ~100 mmHg. BFRT consisted of four sets of either leg extension or leg press on alternating days. The loads used were ~20% of 1RM for the leg extension and ~30% of 1RM for the leg press. The first two sets were submaximal; participants performed 30 reps in the first set and 10 reps in the second set. The last two sets were performed to failure, and all subjects performed <10 reps during these sets. There was a rest period of 30 s between sets.

1RM strength testing. The same Cybex leg extension machine used during training was also used for 1RM testing. Two unilateral familiarization sessions were performed 1 wk before T1 for both the con-leg and the mem-leg. All 1RM tests were performed unilaterally at least 72 h after any exercise or biopsy and standardized to a range of motion from 90° to within <20° of knee flexion (where 0° represents full extension). The test was preceded by a 5-min general warm-up at 60 W using a cycle ergometer (Monark Ergonomic 893E; Monark Exercise, Varberg, Sweden), followed by an exercise-specific warm-up with 10 reps at ~50%, five reps at 70%, and a final three reps at 90% of the subject's predicted 1RM (estimated from the previous familiarization session), with 2–3 min of rest between sets. The load

was then progressively increased by 2.5–10% for each attempt until the 1RM was reached. The rest period between each attempt was 3–5 min.

Muscle thickness. The vastus lateralis muscle MT was measured using ultrasound (Siemens Acuson S1000; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with a Multi-D matrix 14L5 (5–14 MHz) 4.5-cm probe. The machine settings were standardized for all of the image measurements. All measurements were performed at least 72 h after any exercise and always before the 1RM tests and biopsies, using a previously described procedure (2). Briefly, MT image measurements were recorded at 25, 50, and 75% of the distance between the superior edge of the patella and the trochanter major, with the ultrasound probe placed in the transversal plane and perpendicular to the skin. An average was taken of three analyzed images taken from each location. To ensure screening reliability, all of the first measurement reference points were registered in a coordinate system so that subsequent measurements could be performed at the exact same locations. All measurements were performed by an ultrasound recording specialist, with the participants lying in a supine position for ~15 min before the measurement.

Muscle biopsies. Muscle biopsies were collected under local anesthesia (2% Carbocain; AstraZeneca, Södertälje, Sweden) from the midpart of the vastus lateralis muscle, proximally separated by at least 3 cm. The biopsies were obtained 5–8 days after the final training session in T1 and T2 and at least 72 h after 1RM testing. An incision was made in the skin and the fascia before obtaining two biopsies using a Weil–Blakesley chonchotome as described previously (23). The biopsy procedure typically sampled 150 mg of muscle tissue (2 × 50–100 mg). The tissue obtained was rapidly cleaned to remove blood and fat, and a muscle sample was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) for later single fiber analysis. Another sample was mounted in embedding medium (OCT Cryomount; Histolab Products, Gothenburg, Sweden), frozen in isopentane, and cooled to its freezing point in liquid nitrogen, for later histochemical analyses. Samples were then stored at –80°C until analyzed.

Preparation and analysis of frozen sections. Sections were prepared by cutting 8- μ m-thick cross sections using a microtome at –20°C (CM1860; Leica). The sections were mounted on microscope slides (Superfrost Plus, Thermo Scientific), air-dried, and stored at –80°C. After being thawed, sections were blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 [PBS-t; BSA, A4503 (Sigma Life Science, St. Louis, MO); PBS, 524650 (Calbiochem, EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany); Tween 20, 437082Q (VWR, Radnor, PA)] for 60 min at room temperature before incubation with anti-dystrophin (ab15277; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-myosin heavy chain 2 (SC-71; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), Iowa City, IA; deposited to the DSHB by S. Schiaffino) antibodies overnight at 4°C. After overnight incubation,

the sections were washed three times for 10 min in PBS-t and incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies (A11005 or A11001; Molecular Probes, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) for 60 min at room temperature. After three 10-min washes in PBS-t, the muscle sections were covered with a cover slip, mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (P36935; Molecular Probes), and left to dry overnight at room temperature.


Sections were imaged using a high-resolution camera (DP72; Olympus) mounted on a microscope (BX61; Olympus) with a fluorescence light source (X-Cite, 120PCQ; EXFO Photonic Solutions, Mississauga, ON, Canada), using a 4 × 0.13 NA air objective (UPlanFL N; Olympus) for CSA analyses or a 10 × 0.30 NA air objective for myonuclear analyses (UPlanFL N; Olympus).

Nuclei were defined as myonuclei if the geometrical center was located inside the inner rim of the dystrophin staining. A total of 50 type 1 and 50 type 2 fibers were included in the analysis. Individual muscle fiber CSAs were analyzed, and measurements were calculated using TEMA software (Checkvision, Hadsund, Denmark). CSA analysis included 198 (range, 25–577) type 1 fibers and 374 (range, 62–988) type 2 fibers.

Preparation and analysis of single muscle fibers. Single muscle fibers were isolated by maceration (48). Fixed samples were dissected into smaller muscle tissue bundles using tweezers. As much of the nonmuscular tissue as possible was removed before the muscle fiber bundle was submerged in 0.25 ml of 40% NaOH for 3 h at room temperature. Next, 0.75 ml of PBS was added to neutralize the solution, and the dissociating biopsy was shaken vigorously (1,000 rpm/Fisons Scientific Whirlimixer) for 8 min to remove all nonmyofiber cells attached to single fibers. Isolated single muscle fibers were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min, followed by removal of as much of the liquid as possible without removing fibers. Ultrapure water was then added to a final volume of 1 ml, followed by another round of centrifugation. The procedure was repeated five times. Single fibers in solution were then poured in a petri dish, picked out one by one, placed on a glass slide (Superfrost plus, J1800AMNZ; Thermo Scientific), and mounted using Fluoromount G with DAPI (catalog no. 0100-20; Southern Biotech). A total of 44–57 fibers were isolated from each biopsy sample. The slides were dried overnight and sealed using nail polish.

Fiber segments of equal size were analyzed by acquiring images (318.08 × 318.08 μ m with an aspect ratio of 640 × 640 pixels) in different focal planes with step size of 0.70 μ m on an Olympus BX61W1 upright confocal microscope, using Fluoview1000 with a ×40 PlanApo (NA 0.80; Olympus) water immersion objective. A 405-nm laser was used to excite DAPI to visualize the myonuclei (Fig. 4A1), and a 633-nm laser was used to visualize the fiber autofluorescence used for volume rendering (Fig. 4A2). The transmitted light detector (TD1) was used to visualize sarcomeres and general morphology. We ensured that each fiber segment was straight and had

Fig. 2. Effect of training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2) on leg extension strength. One-repetition maximum (1RM) leg extension strength [mean \pm 95% confidence interval (CI), A] and individual measurements and mean \pm 95% CI (B). Measurements were normalized to pre-T1 for the respective leg. Blue represents the control leg, and red represents the memory leg ($n = 19$). ** $P < 0.01$, difference from previous time point for the indicated leg, underneath and above the data points, for the control and memory leg, respectively. ## $P < 0.01$ and # $P < 0.05$, difference between the legs at the time point indicated. $P = 0.06$ denotes the trend for an increase from Con-pre1 to Con-post1.



clearly visual nuclei. Fibers that were hypercontracted or visually damaged were not measured.

Acquired image stacks of the single fiber segments were reassembled to three-dimensional images using Imaris Bitplane 8.3.1 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). The volume was rendered, and myonuclei were counted automatically, then confirmed manually (Fig. 4, A3 and A4). With the use of a single z -plane of the TD1 image, 10 sarcomeres in the proximal and distal one-half of the fiber were measured manually. The number of myonuclei was counted from the whole imaged fiber segment, and, to correct for differences in fiber stretch, the myonuclear number was corrected against sarcomere length and shown as the number of myonuclei per sarcomere. The myonuclear domain size (MND) was calculated from the uncorrected myonuclear number against the measured volume from the individual imaged fiber segments. Fibers with central nuclei were excluded to avoid including regenerative fibers in the analysis. All single fiber analyses were performed by the same blinded investigator.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as means \pm SD. Differences between groups were analyzed on data material normalized against its respective first measurement using GraphPad Prism 7 software (San Diego, CA). For each comparison, repeated measures were calculated for one-way ANOVA with the Greenhouse-Geisser correction, with Sidak's multiple-comparisons test of selected pairs, and with individual variances. To correlate the two different methods used for myonuclear number count and fiber size measurements, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for all biopsies pooled together. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Sidak's multiple-comparisons test was applied to assess differences between men and women at each time point. Dunnett's multiple-comparisons test was applied to assess time effects within the group men and women separately. Statistical significance was determined at $P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Muscle strength. Maximal strength (measured as 1RM for unilateral leg extension) improved by 20% in the mem-leg after T1 (40 ± 12 to 48 ± 14 kg, $P < 0.01$), and 60% of this

improvement was still present after the DT period. There was a trend for a 5% strength improvement in the con-leg after T1 (40 ± 12 to 42 ± 13 kg, $P = 0.06$). After T2, the strength in both the mem-leg (45 ± 14 to 49 ± 13 kg, $P < 0.01$) and the con-leg (41 ± 13 to 47 ± 13 kg, $P < 0.01$) improved to the same extent (Fig. 2, A and B).

Muscle thickness. After T1, an increased MT was observed in the mem-leg (20.4 ± 3.5 to 22.4 ± 3.7 mm, $P < 0.01$), whereas the con-leg was unaffected (21.0 ± 3.5 to 21.2 ± 3.5 mm). The increase seen in the mem-leg was lost during DT so that both legs had similar MT at the start of T2. After T2, both the mem-leg (20.5 ± 3.3 to 22.8 ± 3.6 mm, $P < 0.01$) and the con-leg (20.8 ± 3.7 to 22.7 ± 3.5 mm, $P < 0.01$) showed a similar increase in muscle thickness. The magnitude of this increase ($\sim 10\%$) was similar to that of T1, even though T2 was only one-half as long (Fig. 3, C and D).

Myonuclei. The number of myonuclei was measured on cryosections as the number of nuclei with their geometrical center inside the dystrophin ring per fiber (Fig. 4, B–G) and as the number of myonuclei per sarcomere length in isolated muscle fibers (Fig. 4, H and I). Neither method showed any significant effect of training or detraining on the number of myonuclei.

There was a significant correlation between the results of the two different myonuclei measurement methods when all sample points were included ($r = 0.59$, $P < 0.01$).

Muscle fiber size. After T1, an increase of $\sim 17\%$ was observed in the total fiber CSA of the mem-leg ($4,020 \pm 1,086$ to $4,718 \pm 1,145$ μm^2 , $P < 0.01$; Fig. 5, C and D). The type 1 fiber CSA increased by $\sim 13\%$ ($4,003 \pm 880$ to $4,533 \pm 1,166$ μm^2 , $P = 0.08$; Fig. 5, E and F), and the type 2 fiber CSA increased by $\sim 18\%$ ($4,016 \pm 1,357$ to $4,735 \pm 1,193$ μm^2 , $P < 0.01$; Fig. 5, G and H). CSA of both legs remained unchanged during DT




Fig. 3. Effect of training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2) on muscle thickness. A and B: representative ultrasound images from vastus lateralis pre- and post-T1. C and D: muscle thickness shown normalized to pre-T1 for the respective leg and individual measurements (mean \pm 95% confidence interval). Blue represents the control leg, and red represents the memory leg ($n = 19$). ** $P < 0.01$, difference from previous time point for the indicated leg, underneath and above the data points, for the control and memory leg, respectively. ## $P < 0.01$, difference between the legs at the indicated time point. The outlier in D was a male participant with an unusually large muscle mass, despite a sedentary lifestyle with no history of training.




Fig. 4. Effect of training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2) on myonuclear number. *A*: 3-dimensional visualization of DAPI-stained nuclei (1), cell volume (2), and rendering of these to measure fiber volume and count nuclei, shown from outside (3) and inside (4) the fiber (see METHODS for more details). *B–I*: *left*, myonuclear number [mean \pm 95% confidence interval (CI)] normalized to the first biopsy from the respective leg; *right*, individual biopsy average values and group means \pm 95% CI. The measurements were myonuclear number per fiber cross section for all fibers (*B* and *C*), type 1 fibers (*D* and *E*), and type 2 fibers (*F* and *G*). *H* and *I*: single fiber myonuclear number per sarcomere. Blue represents the control leg, and red represents the memory leg ($n = 19$).

and T2. The muscle fiber volume was not significantly affected by T1, DT, or T2 in either leg (Fig. 5, *I* and *J*).

As with the myonuclear number, there was also a correlation between the CSA and fiber volume ($r = 0.48$, $P < 0.01$) when all sample points were included.

Myonuclear domain size. As a consequence of the lack of a significant change in fiber size and myonuclear number from

the single fiber analysis, MND remained unaffected by training and detraining (Fig. 6, *A* and *B*). Also, there were no relationships between individual levels of MDN and changes in muscle fiber size during T1, DT, and T2 (data not shown).

Sex differences. T1, DT, and T2 had similar effects on both sexes for all measured parameters. However, muscle strength, muscle thickness, and fiber size were higher in men than in





Fig. 5. Effect of training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2) on muscle fiber size. *A* and *B*: representative micrographs of cryosections obtained from vastus lateralis pre- and post-T1, stained for dystrophin (red) and type 2 fibers (green). *C–J*: left, fiber size [mean \pm 95% confidence interval (CI)] normalized to the first biopsy from the respective leg; right, individual biopsy average values and group means \pm 95% CI. Measurements were cross-sectional area (CSA) for all fibers (*C* and *D*), type 1 fibers (*E* and *F*), and type 2 fibers (*G* and *H*). *I* and *J*: single fiber volume measurements. Blue represents the control leg, and red represents the memory leg ($n = 17$ for *C–H*, $n = 19$ for *I* and *J*). ** $P < 0.01$, difference from previous time point for that leg.

women at most time points ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 7, *C–F*). Also, the ANOVA showed a sex difference (men > women) for myonuclear number in the cryosections ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 7*A*) but not in the isolated muscle fibers (Fig. 7*B*).

DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to determine whether a previously strength-trained leg (mem-leg) would respond differently com-

Fig. 6. Effect of training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2) on myonuclear domain size. A: myonuclear domain size [mean \pm 95% confidence interval (CI)] normalized to the first biopsy from the respective leg. B: individual biopsy average values and group means \pm 95% CI. Blue represents the control leg, and red represents the memory leg ($n = 19$).



pared with a previously untrained contralateral leg (con-leg) following a 5-wk bilateral strength-training period. We hypothesized that the mem-leg would have an enhanced hypertrophic response compared with the con-leg and that this would correspond to an increased number of myonuclei. However, the myonuclear number did not differ between legs, and both legs responded similarly to the training.

The training program used in the present study was designed to induce both hypertrophy and satellite cell activation/fusion, thereby increasing the muscle mass and the mem-leg myonuclear number in a relatively short time period (10 wk). However, this was only partially successful. Whereas the increases in MT ($\sim 0.14\%$ increase/day) and fiber CSA ($\sim 0.28\%$ increase/day) of the vastus lateralis muscle were similar to those observed in previous studies (5, 18, 43), the number of myonuclei remained unaffected by training. A possible explanation for this may be that the increase in fiber hypertrophy was too small ($<20\%$ in CSA and no significant increase in single fiber volume). Although significant myonuclear increases have been reported at lower hypertrophy levels ($<10\%$), more consistent increases normally occur in humans when muscle fiber hypertrophy is $>20\%$ (11, 36). In the present study, one of the inclusion criteria was lack of regular physical activity or taking part in sport on a regular basis; therefore, only very sedentary individuals were selected for the study. The purpose of doing this was to recruit individuals with similar starting points, i.e., untrained muscle fibers with no memory of training. This may have influenced the apparent lack of increase in myonuclear number, since the training-induced hypertrophy may not have put the nuclei under sufficient strain, as suggested by the myonuclear domain ceiling theory (4, 27, 35). According to this theory, the existing myonuclei may be capable of supporting the present level of muscle fiber hypertrophy (27). However, even though hypertrophy of human muscle is often associated with myonuclear accretion, it has also been suggested that accretion of myonuclei might serve other purposes than supporting *de novo* hypertrophy. For example, recent mouse studies show that muscle repair and remodeling are important drivers for the addition of myonuclei (31, 32).

The 20-wk DT period and the following 5-wk retraining period had no effect on CSA or fiber volume in either leg. However, a relatively large increase in MT was observed during retraining in both the mem-leg and con-leg ($\sim 10\%$). This mismatch between the muscle fiber and MT measurements could be explained partly by edema-induced muscle swelling. Damas et al. (13) showed that muscle edema may be

present >72 h after the last training session of short strength-training programs in untrained individuals. Another possible explanation could be that the ultrasound scan site and the muscle biopsy sites were not the same. Ultrasound scans were performed at 25, 50, and 75% of the distance between the superior edge of the patella and the trochanter major, and an average value was used to calculate MT. Most muscle biopsies were obtained near the midpart of the vastus lateralis muscle; however, because of the large number of biopsies, final biopsies were obtained at more proximal locations. A recent study showed that ultrasound measurement of MT is a reliable tool for monitoring hypertrophic responses but that it is important to perform biopsies and ultrasound scans at the same location because of regional differences in hypertrophy (18). Another possible explanation is that the repeated biopsy procedure induced muscle damage, causing atrophy of the fibers (45). It is also possible that the training regime, which included both heavy strength training and BFRT, was too strenuous and exerted some detrimental effects on muscle fibers, which counteracted to what we aimed to achieve (optimization of satellite cell proliferation and myonuclear addition). However, the training was carefully periodized, and the volume and effort of BFRT (~ 50 repetitions/exercise and only the last two sets were performed to failure) were limited to what we have previously shown not to induce muscle fiber damage (33).

An increase of $\sim 20\%$ in strength was observed after the initial training period. Interestingly, a strength increase was also observed in the con-leg after this period. Although this increase was not statistically significant ($P = 0.06$), it does suggest that motor learning may be involved in the training effects in both legs. Enhanced strength in an untrained limb after a period of unilateral training was previously described and referred to as “the cross education effect” (30). This effect is not caused by hypertrophy but is linked to adaptations within the central nervous system (16). Such neurological adaptations may have played a role in the observed increased strength in the mem-leg. In fact, it is well established that neurological adaptations contribute to strength improvements in untrained individuals during the initial weeks/months of strength training (3, 22, 38). However, it is also possible that the observed strength gain was influenced by qualitative alterations within the muscle cells, such as changes in myosin concentration and cross-bridge kinetics (25, 29).

Although the term muscle memory is also used to describe such long-lasting neurological modifications, this is misleading. This form of memory is probably largely unrelated to




Fig. 7. Sex differences during training (T1), detraining (DT), and retraining (T2). Differences between women (black) and men (gray) for the memory leg [group means \pm 95% confidence interval (CI)] for nonnormalized values are shown for myonuclear number from cross sections (A) and single fibers (B), 1-repetition maximum (1RM, C), muscle thickness (D), fiber cross-sectional area (CSA, E), and fiber volume (F). * $P < 0.05$, difference from pre-T1 at the indicated time points, underneath and above the data points, for women and men, respectively. # $P < 0.05$, difference between men and women at the indicated time points.

muscle cell properties and should, instead, be referred to as “motor learning.” Although there was a clear motor learning effect in the present study at the onset of the retraining period (the mem-leg was \sim 10% stronger than the con-leg), this had no impact on strength progression during this period because both legs responded to training similarly. Hence, similar to the hypertrophy findings, no memory effect was observed for strength in the present study.

Recent studies suggest that there may be an epigenetic component involved in human muscle memory (41, 42). This was not investigated in the present study, and we cannot exclude that epigenetic alterations, such as DNA methylation, took place in the mem-leg during T1. This might explain why the participants in the present and a previous study (45) retained muscle fiber hypertrophy during several months of

detraining. However, even if T1 induced epigenetic alterations, they had no detectable effect on the hypertrophic response in our study because both the con-leg and the mem-leg responded similarly to T2. Also, it is possible that the retention of fiber hypertrophy was a consequence of an increased activity level during detraining rather than epigenetic alterations. The participants were not allowed to train, but their daily activity levels were not controlled, and being part of a training intervention might have motivated them to be less sedentary during detraining. Actually, fiber CSA is not retained during detraining in participants accustomed to strength training (20), indicating that the training level is important for the detraining response.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that previously trained human muscles do not respond differently to a second

training period compared with previously untrained muscles. However, this does not rule out the existence of human muscle memory because previous studies in mice suggest that the memory storage mechanism is associated with an increased number of myonuclei, a parameter that remained unaffected in the present experiment. Therefore, future studies are required on potential muscle memory in humans involving training both to induce hypertrophy and increase the myonuclear number.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all of the participants for their time and effort. We also acknowledge Mikael Derakhti, Niklas Westblad, John Åkerlund, and Daniel Cortobius for their hard work in supervising all training and testing.

GRANTS

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish National Centre for Research in Sports (P2016-0134), the Swedish Military Forces Research Authority (Grant No. AF9220915), the Research Council of Norway (240374), and the Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Stockholm, Sweden.

DISCLOSURES

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N.P., M.W., J.C.B., T.R., and K.G. conceived and designed research; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., I.J., M.M.E., K.S., M.W., and B.E. performed experiments; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., I.J., and K.S. analyzed data; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., J.C.B., T.R., and K.G. interpreted results of experiments; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., M.M.E., K.S., M.W., H.-C.H., B.E., J.C.B., T.R., and K.G. drafted manuscript; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., M.M.E., K.S., M.W., H.-C.H., B.E., J.C.B., T.R., and K.G. edited and revised manuscript; N.P., E.E., K.T.C., M.M.E., K.S., M.W., H.-C.H., B.E., J.C.B., T.R., and K.G. approved final version of manuscript; E.E. and J.C.B. prepared figures.

ENDNOTE

At the request of the author(s), readers are herein alerted to the fact that additional materials related to this manuscript may be found at the Mendeley Data Respiratory, which at the time of publication they indicate has the following DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17632/s677jds6t2.1>. These materials are not a part of this manuscript and have not undergone peer review by the American Physiological Society (APS). APS and the journal editors take no responsibility for these materials, for the website address, or for any links to or from it.

REFERENCES

- Adkins DL, Boychuk J, Remple MS, Kleim JA. Motor training induces experience-specific patterns of plasticity across motor cortex and spinal cord. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 101: 1776–1782, 2006. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00515.2006.
- Alegre LM, Ferri-Morales A, Rodriguez-Casares R, Aguado X. Effects of isometric training on the knee extensor moment-angle relationship and vastus lateralis muscle architecture. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 114: 2437–2446, 2014. doi:10.1007/s00421-014-2967-x.
- Balshaw TG, Massey GJ, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Morales-Artacho AJ, McKeown A, Appleby CL, Folland JP. Changes in agonist neural drive, hypertrophy and pre-training strength all contribute to the individual strength gains after resistance training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 117: 631–640, 2017. doi:10.1007/s00421-017-3560-x.
- Bazgir B, Fathi R, Rezazadeh Valojerdi M, Mozdziak P, Asgari A. Satellite cells contribution to exercise mediated muscle hypertrophy and repair. *Cell J* 18: 473–484, 2017. doi:10.22074/cellj.2016.4714.
- Bellamy LM, Joanisse S, Grubb A, Mitchell CJ, McKay BR, Phillips SM, Baker S, Parise G. The acute satellite cell response and skeletal muscle hypertrophy following resistance training. *PLoS One* 9: e109739, 2014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109739.
- Bruusgaard JC, Egner IM, Larsen TK, Dupre-Aucouturier S, Desplanches D, Gundersen K. No change in myonuclear number during muscle unloading and reloading. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 113: 290–296, 2012. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00436.2012.
- Bruusgaard JC, Gundersen K. In vivo time-lapse microscopy reveals no loss of murine myonuclei during weeks of muscle atrophy. *J Clin Invest* 118: 1450–1457, 2008. doi:10.1172/JCI34022.
- Bruusgaard JC, Johansen IB, Egner IM, Rana ZA, Gundersen K. Myonuclei acquired by overload exercise precede hypertrophy and are not lost on detraining. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 15111–15116, 2010. doi:10.1073/pnas.0913935107.
- Cartee GD, Hepple RT, Bamman MM, Zierath JR. Exercise promotes healthy aging of skeletal muscle. *Cell Metab* 23: 1034–1047, 2016. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.007.
- Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, Salem GJ, Skinner JS; American College of Sports Medicine. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41: 1510–1530, 2009. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c.
- Conceição MS, Vechin FC, Lixandrão M, Damas F, Libardi CA, Tricoli V, Roschel H, Camera D, Ugrinowitsch C. Muscle fiber hypertrophy and myonuclei addition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 50: 1385–1393, 2018. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001593.
- Correa CS, Cunha G, Marques N, Oliveira-Reischak A, Pinto R. Effects of strength training, detraining and retraining in muscle strength, hypertrophy and functional tasks in older female adults. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 36: 306–310, 2016. doi:10.1111/cpf.12230.
- Damas F, Phillips SM, Lixandrão ME, Vechin FC, Libardi CA, Roschel H, Tricoli V, Ugrinowitsch C. Early resistance training-induced increases in muscle cross-sectional area are concomitant with edema-induced muscle swelling. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 116: 49–56, 2016. doi:10.1007/s00421-015-3243-4.
- Dutta C, Hadley EC. The significance of sarcopenia in old age. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 50A: 1–4, 1995. doi:10.1093/gerona/50A.Special_Issue.1.
- Egner IM, Bruusgaard JC, Eftestøl E, Gundersen K. A cellular memory mechanism aids overload hypertrophy in muscle long after an episodic exposure to anabolic steroids. *J Physiol* 591: 6221–6230, 2013. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.264457.
- Farthing JP, Borowsky R, Chilibeck PD, Binsted G, Sarty GE. Neurophysiological adaptations associated with cross-education of strength. *Brain Topogr* 20: 77–88, 2007. doi:10.1007/s10548-007-0033-2.
- Fatouros IG, Kambas A, Katrabasas I, Nikolaidis K, Chatzinikolaou A, Leontini D, Taxildaris K. Strength training and detraining effects on muscular strength, anaerobic power, and mobility of inactive older men are intensity dependent. *Br J Sports Med* 39: 776–780, 2005. doi:10.1136/bjism.2005.019117.
- Franchi MV, Longo S, Mallinson J, Quinlan JI, Taylor T, Greenhaff PL, Narici MV. Muscle thickness correlates to muscle cross-sectional area in the assessment of strength training-induced hypertrophy. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 28: 846–853, 2018. doi:10.1111/sms.12961.
- Gundersen K, Bruusgaard JC, Egner IM, Eftestøl E, Bengtson M. Muscle memory: virtues of your youth? *J Physiol* 596: 4289–4290, 2018. doi:10.1113/JP276354.
- Häkkinen K, Alén M, Komi PV. Changes in isometric force- and relaxation-time, electromyographic and muscle fibre characteristics of human skeletal muscle during strength training and detraining. *Acta Physiol Scand* 125: 573–585, 1985. doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.1985.tb07759.x.
- Harris C, DeBeliso M, Adams KJ, Irmischer BS, Spitzer Gibson TA. Detraining in the older adult: effects of prior training intensity on strength retention. *J Strength Cond Res* 21: 813–818, 2007. doi:10.1519/00124278-200708000-00027.
- Hendy AM, Lamon S. The cross-education phenomenon: brain and beyond. *Front Physiol* 8: 297, 2017. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00297.
- Henriksson KG. “Semi-open” muscle biopsy technique. A simple outpatient procedure. *Acta Neurol Scand* 59: 317–323, 1979. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.1979.tb02942.x.
- Hughes SM, Schiaffino S. Control of muscle fibre size: a crucial factor in ageing. *Acta Physiol Scand* 167: 307–312, 1999. doi:10.1046/j.1365-201x.1999.00619.x.
- Hvid LG, Brocca L, Ørtenblad N, Suetta C, Aagaard P, Kjaer M, Bottinelli R, Pellegrino MA. Myosin content of single muscle fibers following short-term disuse and active recovery in young and old healthy men. *Exp Gerontol* 87, Pt A: 100–107, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2016.10.009.

26. Jackson JR, Mula J, Kirby TJ, Fry CS, Lee JD, Ubele MF, Campbell KS, McCarthy JJ, Peterson CA, Dupont-Versteegden EE. Satellite cell depletion does not inhibit adult skeletal muscle regrowth following unloading-induced atrophy. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 303: C854–C861, 2012. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00207.2012.
27. Kadi F, Schjerling P, Andersen LL, Charifi N, Madsen JL, Christensen LR, Andersen JL. The effects of heavy resistance training and detraining on satellite cells in human skeletal muscles. *J Physiol* 558: 1005–1012, 2004. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.065904.
28. Lee H, Kim K, Kim B, Shin J, Rajan S, Wu J, Chen X, Brown MD, Lee S, Park JY. A cellular mechanism of muscle memory facilitates mitochondrial remodelling following resistance training. *J Physiol* 596: 4413–4426, 2018. doi:10.1113/JP275308.
29. Miller MS, Bedrin NG, Ades PA, Palmer BM, Toth MJ. Molecular determinants of force production in human skeletal muscle fibers: effects of myosin isoform expression and cross-sectional area. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 308: C473–C484, 2015. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00158.2014.
30. Munn J, Herbert RD, Gandevia SC. Contralateral effects of unilateral resistance training: a meta-analysis. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 96: 1861–1866, 2004. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00541.2003.
31. Murach KA, Englund DA, Dupont-Versteegden EE, McCarthy JJ, Peterson CA. Myonuclear domain flexibility challenges rigid assumptions on satellite cell contribution to skeletal muscle fiber hypertrophy. *Front Physiol* 9: 635, 2018. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00635.
32. Murach KA, Fry CS, Kirby TJ, Jackson JR, Lee JD, White SH, Dupont-Versteegden EE, McCarthy JJ, Peterson CA. Starring or supporting role? Satellite cells and skeletal muscle fiber size regulation. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 33: 26–38, 2018. doi:10.1152/physiol.00019.2017.
33. Nielsen JL, Aagaard P, Bech RD, Nygaard T, Hvid LG, Wernbom M, Suetta C, Frandsen U. Proliferation of myogenic stem cells in human skeletal muscle in response to low-load resistance training with blood flow restriction. *J Physiol* 590: 4351–4361, 2012. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2012.237008.
34. Pearson SJ, Hussain SR. A review on the mechanisms of blood-flow restriction resistance training-induced muscle hypertrophy. *Sports Med* 45: 187–200, 2015. doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0264-9.
35. Petrella JK, Kim JS, Cross JM, Kosek DJ, Bamman MM. Efficacy of myonuclear addition may explain differential myofiber growth among resistance-trained young and older men and women. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 291: E937–E946, 2006. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00190.2006.
36. Reidy PT, Fry CS, Igbini S, Deer RR, Jennings K, Cope MB, Mukherjee R, Volpi E, Rasmussen BB. Protein supplementation does not affect myogenic adaptations to resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 49: 1197–1208, 2017. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000001224.
37. Rutherford OM, Jones DA. The role of learning and coordination in strength training. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 55: 100–105, 1986. doi:10.1007/BF00422920.
38. Sale DG. Neural adaptation to resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 20, Suppl: S135–S145, 1988. doi:10.1249/00005768-198810001-00009.
39. Schoenfeld BJ, Aragon AA, Krieger JW. The effect of protein timing on muscle strength and hypertrophy: a meta-analysis. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* 10: 53, 2013. doi:10.1186/1550-2783-10-53.
40. Schultz E, Lipton BH. Skeletal muscle satellite cells: changes in proliferation potential as a function of age. *Mech Ageing Dev* 20: 377–383, 1982. doi:10.1016/0047-6374(82)90105-1.
41. Seaborne RA, Strauss J, Cocks M, Shepherd S, O'Brien TD, Someren KAV, Bell PG, Murgatroyd C, Morton JP, Stewart CE, Mein CA, Sharples AP. Methylome of human skeletal muscle after acute & chronic resistance exercise training, detraining & retraining. *Sci Data* 5: 180213, 2018. doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.213.
42. Seaborne RA, Strauss J, Cocks M, Shepherd S, O'Brien TD, van Someren KA, Bell PG, Murgatroyd C, Morton JP, Stewart CE, Sharples AP. Human skeletal muscle possesses an epigenetic memory of hypertrophy. *Sci Rep* 8: 1898, 2018. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20287-3.
43. Sedliak M, Zeman M, Buzgó G, Cvecka J, Hamar D, Laczó E, Okuliarova M, Vanderka M, Kampmiller T, Häkkinen K, Ahtiainen JP, Hulmi JJ, Nilsen TS, Wiig H, Raastad T. Morphological, molecular and hormonal adaptations to early morning versus afternoon resistance training. *Chronobiol Int* 35: 450–464, 2018. doi:10.1080/07420528.2017.1411360.
44. Spalding KL, Bhardwaj RD, Buchholz BA, Druid H, Frisén J. Retrospective birth dating of cells in humans. *Cell* 122: 133–143, 2005. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.028.
45. Staron RS, Leonardi MJ, Karapondo DL, Malicky ES, Falkel JE, Hagerman FC, Hikida RS. Strength and skeletal muscle adaptations in heavy-resistance-trained women after detraining and retraining. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 70: 631–640, 1991. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.1991.70.2.631.
46. Taaffe DR, Marcus R. Dynamic muscle strength alterations to detraining and retraining in elderly men. *Clin Physiol* 17: 311–324, 1997. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2281.1997.tb00010.x.
47. Valencia AP, Spangenburg EE. Remembering those 'lazy' days—imprinting memory in our satellite cells. *J Physiol* 591: 4371, 2013. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.261578.
48. Wada KI, Takahashi H, Katsuta S, Soya H. No decrease in myonuclear number after long-term denervation in mature mice. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 283: C484–C488, 2002. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00025.2002.
49. Wernbom M, Apro W, Paulsen G, Nilsen TS, Blomstrand E, Raastad T. Acute low-load resistance exercise with and without blood flow restriction increased protein signalling and number of satellite cells in human skeletal muscle. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 113: 2953–2965, 2013. doi:10.1007/s00421-013-2733-5.