Molybdenum binding to soil constituents in acid soils: an XAS and modelling study
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Highlights:
- Molybdenum(VI) is bound to ferrihydrite and aluminium hydroxide predominantly as tetrahedral, edge-sharing inner-sphere and outer-sphere complexes.
- On natural organic matter, molybdenum(VI) is bound at low pH as an octahedrally coordinated monomeric complex.
- Results for a spodic B horizon show an Al(OH)\textsubscript{3}-type phase to be an important sorbent for molybdenum(VI).
- The results were used to suggest new complexation constants for the CD-MUSIC and Stockholm Humic models.
Abstract

Despite its importance as a trace element, the binding mechanisms of molybdenum in soils are not well known. In this study, we studied the binding of molybdenum onto selected soil samples, and we used X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) to characterise the coordination of molybdenum on three important environmental sorbents: ferrihydrite (Fh), amorphous aluminium hydroxide (Al(OH)₃) and fulvic acid. The X-ray near-edge structure (XANES) data showed that the added molybdenum(VI) was not reduced, although for the organic samples the coordination shifted from tetrahedral to octahedral. The EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) analysis showed that molybdenum(VI) on Fh and Al(OH)₃ was dominated by edge-sharing bidentate complexes with Mo···Fe and Mo···Al distances of 2.80 and 2.62 Å, respectively. For ferrihydrite, there was a minor contribution from a corner-sharing bidentate complex at 3.55 Å. Further, geochemical modelling suggested an additional role of an outer-sphere complex at high pH. A sample from a spodic Bs horizon had XANES and EXAFS features similar to those of Mo sorbed to Al(OH)₃, highlighting the importance of Al(OH)₃-type sorbents in this soil. However, in the studied organic samples molybdenum(VI) was present in a distorted octahedral configuration as an organic complex. The results were used to improve molybdenum binding reaction equilibrium constants in the CD-MUSIC model for ferrihydrite and in the Stockholm Humic Model. Collectively the results show that acid soils may contain sorbents able to bind molybdenum efficiently, and thus prevent its leaching to waters.
1. Introduction

Molybdenum (Mo) is an essential trace element with an important role in the biogeochemical cycle of nitrogen (N), as it is required for N fixation and denitrification. The Mo-containing enzyme nitrogenase catalyzes the reaction. In the most common form of this enzyme, molybdenum and iron are co-factors, where molybdenum is coordinated to a sum of about six oxygen and sulphur atoms in a distorted octahedral configuration (Burgess, 1990). Molybdenum deficiency in soils has frequently been reported, especially under P-deficient conditions (Murphy and Walsh, 1972; Wurzberger et al. 2012). However, molybdenum is also a potentially toxic contaminant that may be released from e.g. municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash (Meima et al. 2002). The toxicity of molybdenum is related primarily to its interaction with copper and sulphur, causing copper deficiency in ruminants (Suttle, 1991).

Under conditions typical for most aerated soils, dissolved molybdenum exists primarily as dissolved molybdate \( (\text{MoO}_4^{2-}) \) ions. Adsorption to hydrous oxides is thought to be an important mechanism that restricts the mobility of molybdenum in the environment. For a long time it has been known that molybdate is bound to a variety of different iron(III) and aluminium(III) (hydr)oxides (Jones, 1957; Reisenauer et al. 1962). Examples include ferrihydrite (Gustafsson, 2003; Kashiwabara et al. 2011), goethite (Bibak and Borggaard, 1994; Arai, 2010), hematite (Goldberg et al. 1996), gibbsite (Manning and Goldberg, 1996; Miedaner et al. 2011) and alumina (Spanos et al. 1990). In addition, molybdate is bound also to titanium oxides such as titania (Bourikas et al. 2001). Molybdate adsorption is strongly pH-dependent with strong adsorption at low pH (below about 6) to weak or insignificant adsorption at higher pH. Further, competition with other anions modifies the extent of molybdate adsorption. For example, phosphate competes strongly for available adsorption sites, particularly at high pH (Gustafsson, 2003; Xu et al. 2006a). So far, relatively few
studies have reported the coordination mode of adsorbed molybdate on (hydr)oxides.

Goldberg et al. (2008) used attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy and concluded that molybdate formed an inner-sphere surface complex on amorphous aluminum oxide at low pH, which shifted to an outer-sphere surface complex at high pH. Arai (2010), who studied molybdate adsorption on goethite, used extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy at the Mo K edge and obtained evidence for both corner-sharing and edge-sharing bidentate complexes involving the tetrahedral molybdate anion. In addition, he observed octahedrally coordinated MoO₆ polymers at low pH. In another Mo K-edge EXAFS study, molybdate adsorption on ferrihydrite was found to involve mostly outer-sphere complex formation, as there was only a minor contribution from second-shell Fe (Kashiwabara et al. 2011); however, it should be noted that the pH value was high (8), which may be important when interpreting these results.

Molybdenum(VI) may also be bound to natural organic matter (NOM). Already in 1967, sorption of molybdate to humic acid was observed (Szilagyi, 1967). Consistent with this, Bibak and Borggaard (1994) observed significant molybdate adsorption to organic matter especially at low pH (< 5). Wichard et al. (2009) added molybdate to leaf litter and soil samples. They used Mo K-edge EXAFS and XANES (X-ray absorption near edge structure) spectroscopy to identify the reaction products. Their interpretation was that molybdenum formed complexes with tannins and tannin-like compounds, as well as to iron oxides. Additional evidence for the importance of natural organic matter for molybdenum binding has recently been obtained using stable isotopes (Siebert et al. 2015)

Surface complexation models have frequently been used to describe molybdate adsorption to hydrous oxides. Most previous attempts, however (e.g. the CD-MUSIC model of Gustafsson, 2003) did not constrain the model based on structural observations, and may therefore rely on incorrect assumptions as regards the coordination environment of the bound
molybdate. Goldberg et al. (2008) were the first (and until now the only) authors who attempted to design such a model based on spectroscopic observations (in their case vibrational spectroscopy); they used the triple layer model to optimize surface complexation constants and found that the model agreed well with data for iron(III)/aluminium (hydr)oxides and mineral soils. As concerns molybdenum complexation onto natural organic matter, no model currently exists.

The objective of this work was to obtain information on the coordination of adsorbed molybdate on selected sorbents, ferrihydrite, amorphous aluminium hydroxide, and natural organic matter, all of which are likely to be of importance for Mo binding in acid soils, and to investigate whether the coordination of molybdate added to two soil horizons from a Spodosol could be understood based on these observations. Moreover, we investigated whether it was possible to use the spectroscopic data to design complexation models that capture the binding of molybdate to ferrihydrite and to natural organic matter in acid soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Soils

Soil samples were collected from 4 soils from different locations in central Sweden. Two of these were Albic Podzols (Risbergshöjden and Storå), one was a Eutric Arenosol (Björkby Sand), and one was a Fibric Histosol (Paskalampa), according to IUSS Working Group WRB (2014). From the Risbergshöjden and Storå soils, O as well as Bs horizons were sampled. Selected chemical properties are shown in Table 1. The soils were sieved immediately after collection.

For field-moist mineral soils a 4 mm sieve was used in accordance with standardized leaching test procedures (ISO TS 21268:2; ISO, 2008), while for organic soils an 8 mm sieve was used to facilitate the removal of coarse roots and branches. The soil samples were stored
in a field-moist state at +5°C for a maximum of three months before the experiments. The spodic B horizons (Risbergshöjden Bs2 and Storå Bs) were both characterized by low clay content (≤ 4%). Both had appreciable amounts of oxalate-extractable Si (Table 1) and an \((\text{Al}_{\text{ox}} - \text{Al}_{\text{pyp}})/\text{Si}_{\text{ox}}\) ratio of 2.0 and 2.2, respectively. These observations suggest that imogolite-type materials, mostly allophane, are the predominant secondary Al phases in these soils (Gustafsson et al. 1995; Gustafsson et al. 1999). Imogolite-type materials were also identified in the Risbergshöjden Bs horizon by Kleja et al. (2005).

**Table 1**

Properties of investigated soil samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>pH(H(_2)O)</th>
<th>Organic C</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Fe(_{\text{ox}})(^a)</th>
<th>Al(_{\text{ox}})(^a)</th>
<th>Si(_{\text{ox}})(^a)</th>
<th>Al(_{\text{pyp}})(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paskalampa Oi</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risbergshöjden Oe</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Oe</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Björkby sand A</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risbergshöjden Bs2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Bs</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Extracted with 0.2 M oxalate buffer at pH 3.0 (van Reeuwijk, 1995)

\(^b\) Extracted with 0.1 M Na\(_4\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) (van Reeuwijk, 1995)

2.2. Model sorbents

2-line ferrihydrite (Fh) was prepared according to Swedlund and Webster (1999) and Schwertmann and Cornell (2000). A mixture of 36 mmol L\(^{-1}\) Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) and 12 mmol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\) was brought to pH 8.0 through dropwise addition of 4 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH (prepared immediately before use). The resulting suspension was aged for 16 h at 20°C. Iron (hydr)oxide particles from such a suspension have been confirmed to be Fh using Fe K-edge
EXAFS spectroscopy (Gustafsson et al., 2007); moreover, use of X-ray diffraction confirmed the presence of Fh (data not shown). After synthesis the Fh suspension was back-titrated with 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\) to pH 4.6 and stirred for about 30 min just before starting the batch experiments to avoid the presence of excessive CO\(_2\) in the suspensions.

Amorphous aluminum hydroxide (Al(OH)\(_3\)) was prepared in a similar way as 2-line ferrihydrite, i.e. by mixing 36 mmol L\(^{-1}\) Al(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) and 12 mmol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\). The solution was then brought to pH 7.0 with 4 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH, and then the suspension was aged for 16 h. After synthesis, the Al(OH)\(_3\) suspension was back-titrated to pH 5, and stirred for about 30 min before the batch experiments. X-ray diffraction confirmed that the formed Al(OH)\(_3\) was amorphous in nature (data not shown).

2.3. Batch experiments

Briefly, either 1.00 g (for Risbergshögden Oe and Storå Oe) or 2.00 g (other soils) field-moist soil was suspended in 30 mL solutions of variable composition in polypropylene centrifuge tubes, and then shaken for 7 days in a shaking water bath at 8°C. This temperature was chosen for two reasons: (i) it represents the mean annual air temperature at the site, and (ii) it ensures that the microbial activity remains low during the equilibration period. The molybdenum(VI) binding following a Mo addition of 50 µmol L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\) was studied. This corresponds to molybdenum(VI) additions of 5.88, 5.88, 6.00, 0.83, 0.87, and 1.7 mmol Mo kg\(^{-1}\) dw for Risbergshögden Oe, Storå Oe, Paskalampa Oi, Risbergshögden Bs2, Björkby Sand A and Storå Bs, respectively. To produce a range of pH values in the suspensions, various amounts of acid (as HNO\(_3\)) or base (NaOH) were added, so that each system was studied at eight different pH values. For the organic soils (Risbergshögden Oe, Paskalampa Oi and Storå Oe), the concentration of added Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\) was varied to investigate the influence on
the equilibrium molybdenum(VI) solution concentration on the amount adsorbed. All
experiments were made using a background electrolyte of 0.01 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃. However, for
Risbergshöjden Oe experiments were carried out also in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃ to study the effect
of ionic strength. To evaluate possible competition effects from phosphate on
molybdenum(VI) adsorption to organic matter, an additional data set was produced for the
Paskalampa Oi sample in which 50 µmol L⁻¹ molybdenum(VI) was added together with 1.4
mmol L⁻¹ NaH₂PO₄.

After equilibration, the suspensions were centrifuged at 5000g for 20 min, the pH values of
the aqueous phases were measured (with a Radiometer glass combination electrode), and they
were filtered (Acrodisc PF 0.2 µm) at the experimental temperature. Total dissolved
concentrations of Mo and a number of other elements such as Ca, Mg, Al, and P were
determined by plasma emission spectroscopy, with precautions to prevent Mo sorption to
container walls (Gustafsson, 2003). Some reacted samples were then stored at +5°C for
analysis with XAS (X-ray absorption spectroscopy). The samples were stored for a maximum
of one week before analysis.

We also conducted batch experiments with molybdenum(VI) in Fh and Al(OH)₃
suspensions to prepare samples for XAS analysis. In these experiments, 50 µmol L⁻¹
molybdenum(VI) (as Na₂MoO₄) was equilibrated in suspensions of either Fh or Al(OH)₃ (1
mmol L⁻¹ total Fe or 1 mmol L⁻¹ total Al, respectively), in a background electrolyte of 0.01
mol L⁻¹ NaNO₃. 200 µmol L⁻¹ o-phosphate (as NaH₂PO₄) was also added to some suspensions
to study the effect of competition. pH adjustments were made with either dilute NaOH or
HNO₃ to obtain the desired pH values. The samples were shaken for 24 h and then processed
as described above concerning filtration, centrifugation, pH measurement and molybdenum
determination. The precipitated wet pastes of Fh or Al(OH)₃ were stored at +5°C until XAS
analysis three days later.
In a separate experiment, 2.8 mmol L\(^{-1}\) molybdenum(VI) was mixed with 7.8 g L\(^{-1}\) SRFA (Suwannee River Fulvic Acid, purchased from the IHSS, International Humic Substances Society) in a 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\) background. The pH was adjusted to 4.2 using 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH. The resulting solution was then left to stand and subjected to XAS analysis 10 hours later. Table 2 provides a summary of all samples used in XAS analysis.

Table 2
Samples prepared for X-ray absorption spectroscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Mo concentration</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 mM NaMoO(_4)</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>15 mmol L(^{-1})</td>
<td>Solution standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRFA, pH 4.2</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>2.8 mmol L(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.36 mmol Mo g(^{-1}) SRFA in 0.03 mol L(^{-1}) NaNO(_3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh, pH 4.2</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.56 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.05 mmol L(^{-1}) Mo(VI) sorbed to 0.089 g L(^{-1}) Fh, in 0.01 mol L(^{-1}) NaNO(_3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh, pH 7.3</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.29 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh + P, pH 6.4</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.08 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>As above, but with 0.2 mmol L(^{-1}) o-phosphate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_3), pH 5.3</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.64 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.05 mmol L(^{-1}) Mo(VI) sorbed to 0.078 g L(^{-1}) Al(OH)(_3), in 0.01 mol L(^{-1}) NaNO(_3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_3) + P, pH 6.2</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.04 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>As above, but with 0.2 mM o-phosphate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Oe, pH 4.0</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.06 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.5 mmol L(^{-1}) Mo(VI) sorbed to 8.5 g L(^{-1}) soil in 0.01 mol L(^{-1}) NaNO(_3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Bs, pH 5.1</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>0.002 mmol g(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.1 mmol L(^{-1}) Mo(VI) sorbed to 59 g L(^{-1}) soil in 0.01 mol L(^{-1}) NaNO(_3).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. X-ray absorption spectroscopy

Molybdenum speciation in the samples was studied using both XANES (X-ray absorption near-edge structure) and EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) spectroscopy. Before analysis, the samples were centrifuged again to remove as much water as possible, and homogenised, but care was taken not to let them dry completely.

The measurements were conducted at the wiggler beam line 4-1 at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL), Stanford, USA, in February 2014. The beam line operated at 3.0 GeV and with a ring current of 490-500 mA (top-up mode). The station was equipped with a Si[220] double crystal monochromator. The measurements were performed in fluorescence mode using a 13 element Ge array fluorescence detector. A Zr filter was used to reduce Fe fluorescence and scattering contributions. The Si[220] monochromator was detuned to 75 % of maximum intensity to reduce higher-order harmonics. Internal energy calibration was made with a molybdenum foil assigned to 20,000 eV (Thompson et al., 2009). Between 5 and 10 scans were collected per sample. All EXAFS spectra were then treated in the Athena software (version 0.9.021) (Ravel and Newville, 2005). Energy calibration, averaging and background removal were carried out as described by Kelly et al. (2008). The background was removed using the AUTOBAK algorithm incorporated in Athena, with a \( k \)-weight of 3 and with the Rbkg parameter set to 1.05 for the organic samples (SRFA and Storå Oe), and to 0.85 for all other samples.

Normalization of the XANES spectra was made with a consistent procedure in which the spectra were baseline-corrected by subtracting a linear regression through the pre-edge region (-160 to -70 eV relative to \( E_0 \)) and background-corrected using a quadratic function through the post-edge region (100 to 700 eV relative to \( E_0 \)), where \( E_0 \) is the first derivative maximum of the K edge of molybdenum metal, i.e. at 20,000 eV. To indicate the valence of the molybdenum in the samples, the \( E_{1/2} \) (the energy position of the main edge where the
normalized intensity equals 0.5) was determined from the normalized spectra. The pre-edge peak position was determined using peak-fit analysis in Athena, where the baseline was approximated with an arc-tan lineshape.

Wavelet transform (WT) analysis of the EXAFS spectra (Funke et al. 2005) was performed to differentiate between light (e.g. C, O) and heavy (e.g. Fe) elements (back-scatterers) at 2.5-4.5 Å from molybdenum. This qualitative analysis of backscattering contributions from higher shell atoms was performed with the Morlet WT incorporated in the Igor Pro script (Chukalina, 2010) with the parameter combination $\kappa = 6$, $\sigma = 1$ and a range of $R + \Delta R$ from 2 to 4 Å (corresponding to interatomic distances of about 2.5 to 4.5 Å). The $k$-range was from 3 to 11, i.e. the same as in the EXAFS fitting procedure.

Final data treatment of the EXAFS spectra was performed with the Artemis software (version 0.9.021) (Ravel and Newville, 2005). Theoretical scattering paths were calculated with FEFF6 (Zabinsky et al. 1995). Several combinations of scattering paths were tested in the fitting procedure before deciding what paths to use; these included both single scattering and multiple scattering (MS) paths. The coordination numbers (CN) of the first-shell paths were fixed. Values of CN were chosen to give reasonable values of the Debye-Waller factor ($\sigma^2$). For the second-shell Mo–Fe, Mo–Al and Mo–C paths, we chose to fix $\sigma^2$ to a common value, 0.01, to reduce the number of adjusted parameters. In most cases, this value was close to the value obtained when letting $\sigma^2$ float, in agreement with what was found by Arai (2010). However for the MS within the molybdate tetrahedron, $\sigma^2$ was set to the same value (0.008) as for the 15 mmol L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$MoO$_4$ solution standard. For the organic samples, the paths originally used were calculated using FEFF6 based on a bidentate molybdenum(VI) complex with bis-homocitrate (Zhou et al. 2013). The fitting procedure was performed on the Fourier transform (FT) real part between 1 and 3.5 Å using a Hanning window (dk value = 1) and optimizing over k-weights of 1, 2 and 3 (for the organic samples the FT range was adjusted to
between 1.1 and 3.5 Å). Refined models were evaluated by means of goodness-of-fit (as evidenced by the R factor in Artemis) and qualitative comparison of WT plots of the model spectra with WT plots of the EXAFS spectra. WT of the model spectra were made with the same WT-parameters and k-ranges as for measured spectra.

2.5. Surface complexation modelling: ferrihydrite

Based on the EXAFS results on the molybdenum coordination on ferrihydrite, we re-evaluated the molybdate binding data published by Gustafsson (2003) using the CD-MUSIC model (Hiemstra and van Riemsdijk, 1996). The version of the CD-MUSIC model we used in this work was the one for ferrihydrite by Tiberg et al. (2013). The model assumes a surface area of 650 m² g⁻¹ and a point-of-zero charge at pH 8.1. Moreover the site density of singly-coordinated groups (where molybdate is allowed to sorb) is 6.25 sites nm⁻², while the site density of triply-coordinated groups is 1.55 sites nm⁻² (Tiberg et al. 2013). The Stern layer capacitances are set to 1.15 F m⁻² (inner layer) and 0.9 F m⁻² (outer layer) in accordance with Hiemstra and van Riemsdijk (2009). New surface complexation constants for molybdate were optimised with Visual MINTEQ (Gustafsson, 2014) using the built-in PEST algorithm of Doherty (2010). PEST uses a Gauss-Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm for parameter estimation and minimizes the weighted sum of squared differences between model-generated observation values and the measured values. As input data we used the percent adsorbed molybdate from experiments detailed by Gustafsson (2003). Surface complexation constants for phosphate and electrolyte ions were those of Tiberg et al. (2013), and these are detailed in Table 3.

2.6. Organic complexation with the Stockholm Humic Model

The SHM (Stockholm Humic Model) (Gustafsson, 2001) was used to simulate the binding of molybdenum(VI) and major cations (Ca, Mg, Na, K, Al and Fe) to the Risbergshöjden Oe
and Paskalampa Oi soils. The SHM is a discrete-site / electrostatic model in which the HA or FA is assumed to have eight proton-binding sites with different acid-base characteristics. Metals/ions bind to HA or FA by forming monodentate and bidentate complexes, or by electrostatic attraction. The use of the model for soil suspensions has been detailed in other publications (Gustafsson and Kleja, 2005; Gustafsson et al. 2007; Gustafsson et al. 2011). The number of reactive sites was optimized with an acid-base batch titration method, using the assumption that 75 % of the active organic matter consisted of humic acid, while the remainder was fulvic acid (Gustafsson et al. 2007). We used the same parameters for binding of protons and major cations as earlier (Gustafsson et al. 2011; Sjöstedt et al. 2013). Equilibrium constants for the complexation of major cations are given in Table 4.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>(Δζ₀, Δζ₁, Δζ₂)⁵</th>
<th>log K ⁴</th>
<th>Data source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeOH⁻⁺ + H⁺ ↔ FeOH₂⁻⁺</td>
<td>(1,0,0)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Gustafsson et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₅⁺ + H⁺ ↔ Fe₃OH⁶⁺</td>
<td>(1,0,0)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Gustafsson et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOH⁻⁺ + Na⁺ ↔ FeOHNa⁺</td>
<td>(0,1,0)</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>Hiemstra &amp; van Riemslidjk (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₅⁺ + Na⁺ ↔ Fe₃ONa⁺</td>
<td>(0,1,0)</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOH⁻⁺ + H⁺ + NO₃⁻ ↔ FeOH₂NO₃⁻⁺</td>
<td>(1,-1,0)</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₅⁺ + H⁺ + NO₃⁻ ↔ Fe₃OHNO₃⁻⁺</td>
<td>(1,-1,0)</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2FeOH⁻⁺ + 2H⁺ + PO₄³⁻ ↔ Fe₂O₃PO₂⁻² + 2H₂O</td>
<td>(0.46,-1.46,0)</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>Tiberg et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2FeOH⁻⁺ + 3H⁺ + PO₄³⁻ ↔ Fe₂O₃POOH⁺ + 2H₂O</td>
<td>(0.63,-0.63,0)</td>
<td>32.89</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOH⁻⁺ + 3H⁺ + PO₄³⁻ ↔ FeOPO₃H₂⁻⁰ + H₂O</td>
<td>(0.5,-0.5,0)</td>
<td>30.23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2FeOH⁻⁺ + 2H⁺ + MoO₄²⁻ ↔ Fe₂O₂MoO₂²⁻ + 2H₂O</td>
<td>(0.5,-0.5,0)</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOH⁻⁺ + H⁺ + MoO₄²⁻ ↔ FeOH₂MoO₂⁻¹MoO₄²⁻</td>
<td>(0.8,-1.8,0)</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁵ The change of charge in the o-, b- and d-planes respectively.

⁴ The log K values of the singly- and triply-coordinated surface groups were set equal in line with Hiemstra and van Riemslidjk (1999) and the values correspond to the point-of zero charge of ferrihydrite (Dzombak and Morel, 1990).

⁶ The 95 % confidence intervals, as estimated by PEST (Doherty, 2004) were (18.53, 18.88) and (10.75, 11.38) for Fe₂O₂MoO₂⁻ and FeOH₂MoO₂⁻¹, respectively.
Table 4
Complexation reactions to soil organic matter in the Stockholm Humic Model (SHM)\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>((\Delta z_0, \Delta z_1))</th>
<th>log (K)</th>
<th>(\Delta LK_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2\text{ROH} + \text{Al}^{3+} \leftrightarrow (\text{RO})_2\text{Al}^+ + 2\text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.2,1.2)</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2\text{ROH} + \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow (\text{RO})_2\text{AlOH} + 3\text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.2,0.2)</td>
<td>-9.45</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ROH} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \leftrightarrow \text{ROCa}^+ + \text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.5,1.5)</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ROH} + \text{Mg}^{2+} \leftrightarrow \text{ROMg}^+ + \text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.5,1.5)</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2\text{ROH} + \text{Fe}^{3+} \leftrightarrow (\text{RO})_2\text{Fe}^+ + 2\text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.2,1.2)</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2\text{ROH} + \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow (\text{RO})_2\text{FeOH} + 3\text{H}^+)</td>
<td>(-0.2,0.2)</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ROH} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{ROMoO}_2(\text{OH})_2\text{H}_2\text{O}^-)</td>
<td>(-0.3,-0.7)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.5 / 1.6\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reactions for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} are from Gustafsson et al. (2007), those for Fe\textsuperscript{3+} are from Sjöstedt et al. (2013), those for Al\textsuperscript{3+} are from Gustafsson et al. (2011), and the one for MoO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} is for the Risbergshöjden Oe soil of this study.

\textsuperscript{b}The change of charge in the \(o\)- and \(d\)-planes respectively.

\textsuperscript{c}The \(\Delta LK_2\) value was 2.5 for solid-phase organic matter, and 1.6 for dissolved organic matter.

3. Results

3.1. Batch experiments with soils

At low pH, molybdate was adsorbed to all investigated soils, but at higher pH there was a steep adsorption edge where adsorption dropped to low values within a small pH range (Fig. 1). This is in agreement with observations for other soils (Goldberg et al. 2008). The Risbergshöjden Bs2 sample had the strongest binding, with 73% molybdenum(VI) adsorption at pH 6.9. In Fig. 1 an adsorption edge from the ferrihydrite data of Gustafsson (2003) is shown for comparison. In this case 75% molybdenum(VI) adsorption was maintained at pH 7.4. The weaker adsorption observed for Risbergshöjden Bs2 may be due to the presence of competing ions (e.g. \(o\)-phosphate, organic matter). The Björkby A soil was also able to bind molybdate(VI) although more weakly than the B horizons. This is probably due to the smaller amount of oxalate-extractable Fe and Al in combination with significant competition for binding sites from organic matter.
Fig. 1. Percentage sorbed molybdenum to four different soils as a function of pH in a background of 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ NaNO$_3$. The solid line is a model fit showing the expected Mo(VI) sorption to 3 mmol L$^{-1}$ Fe as ferrihydrite after the addition of 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ Mo(VI).

The organic soils also adsorbed molybdenum(VI), despite the even smaller amounts of oxalate-extractable Fe and Al in these samples (Table 1). In this case > 75% molybdenum(VI) adsorption was observed only at pH < 5. In an experiment with Paskalampa Oi, where 1.4 mmol L$^{-1}$ $\alpha$-phosphate was also added to the suspension, it was found that molybdenum(VI) binding was unaffected (Fig. S1, Supporting materials), while only a small percentage (~15%) of the $\alpha$-phosphate was sorbed, independent of the pH value. The seemingly small competition effect indicated that molybdenum(VI) binding involved a mechanism that was not relevant for $\alpha$-phosphate in this organic soil sample.

3.2. XANES spectroscopy

All XANES spectra had a pre-edge feature with centroid positions ranging from 20,002 to 20,005 eV (Fig. 2; see exact positions in Table S1, Supporting materials). Such pre-edge
features are typical for hexavalent molybdenum, especially when it occurs in tetrahedral configuration, and it is attributed to dipolar $1s \rightarrow 4d$ electronic transitions (Farges et al. 2007). The pre-edge feature was most intense for the 15 mmol L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$MoO$_4$ sample, and less intense for Al(OH)$_3$ and Fh samples with sorbed Mo, which may result from slight distortions of the tetrahedral configuration due to binding to Fe or Al. The organic samples SRFA and Storå Oe had the least intense pre-edge features, which is consistent with Mo being in a distorted octahedral configuration in these samples (Farges et al. 2007; Arai, 2010).

**Fig. 2.** Normalized, stacked Mo K-edge XANES spectra, sorted after the pre-edge intensity: a) 15 mM NaMoO$_4$, b) Al(OH)$_3$, pH 5.3, c) Storå Bs, d) Al(OH)$_3$ + P, pH 6.2, e) Fh, pH 7.3, f) Fh, pH 4.2, g) Fh + P, pH 6.3, h) SRFA, pH 4.2, i) Storå Oe, pH 4.0.
The position of the main edge was very similar for all samples, with the SRFA and Storå Oe samples having around 1 eV lower E½ values than all the others (Table S1, Supporting materials). Again this is consistent with the former being dominated by molybdenum(VI) in a distorted octahedral configuration, whereas the other samples contained tetrahedral molybdate (Farges et al. 2007). Significant reduction of molybdenum(VI) to lower valence states should have resulted in even lower E½ values, as well as weaker pre-edge features.

The two soil samples studied were clearly different as concerns their molybdenum speciation. As mentioned above, the Storå Oe sample was similar to the SRFA sample, and the XANES results indicated that the speciation of molybdenum was dominated by octahedrally coordinated molybdenum(VI). A closer inspection of the XANES spectrum of the Storå Bs sample revealed that it was very similar to Al(OH)₃ samples with sorbed Mo, but clearly different from the Fh samples with sorbed Mo (Fig. 3). Linear combination fitting (LCF) in Athena, in which Fh and Al(OH)₃ were assumed to be end-members, indicated that between 70 and 80 % of the molybdenum was bound to an Al(OH)₃-type phase rather than Fh (data not shown).

**Fig. 3.** The Mo K-edge XANES (left) and EXAFS spectra for the Storå Bs sample, as compared to molybdate sorbed to Al(OH)₃(s) at pH 5.3 and molybdate sorbed to ferrihydrite at pH 4.2.
3.3. EXAFS spectroscopy

The visual appearance of the EXAFS spectra confirmed that the two organic samples (SRFA and Storå Oe) were distinctly different from those of the other samples (Fig. 4). In the latter, the first-shell contribution was dominated by a single peak in the Fourier Transforms (FT). This could be described accurately with a model that contained tetrahedral molybdate having 4 Mo-O interatomic distances of ~1.76 Å (Table 5). This is in excellent agreement with results published earlier for similar systems (Arai, 2010; Kashiwabara et al. 2011). In the organic samples the first shell was dominated by two contributions that were identified as 2 Mo=O at 1.75 Å and 2 Mo-O at 2.00 Å. Further, in the SRFA sample a third interaction at 2.25 Å was identified. These distances are typical of a distorted octahedral configuration as found in various Mo-organic complexes (e.g. Zhou et al. 2013) as well as in molybdic acid (Liu et al. 2013). Further, they are similar to those obtained after adding molybdenum(VI) to Brassica seedlings (Hale et al. 2001) and to litter layer material (Wichard et al. 2009).

The second-shell contributions were different depending on the sample. For the Na$_2$MoO$_4$ solution standard, the second shell was dominated by multiple scattering (MS) within the MoO$_4$ tetrahedron (Mo-O-O). In the WT modulus this contribution was visible as a high-intensity area at low $k$ (Fig. S2, Supporting materials). This MS contribution was assumed to be present also in the other samples where tetrahedral molybdate dominated the first shell. In addition, for all Fh samples with sorbed Mo, there was a strong contribution from Mo…Fe at ~2.80 Å. This feature, which suggests an important role of an edge-sharing bidentate complex, was also observed previously for Mo-goethite systems (Arai et al. 2010). In addition, for the Fh, pH 4.2 sample, a second Mo…Fe contribution was identified, with an interatomic distance of 3.55 Å. This suggests the additional presence of a corner-sharing bidentate complex. The fitted CN:s indicate that the edge-sharing bidentate complex may
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>$R$ / Å</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ / Å$^2$</th>
<th>$S_0^2$</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ / eV</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 mM Na$_2$MoO$_4$ pH 8.0</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.768(4)</td>
<td>0.001(1)</td>
<td>0.87(8)</td>
<td>-1.3(1.3)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh pH 4.2</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.754(8)</td>
<td>0.003(1)</td>
<td>0.68(6)</td>
<td>-3.6(1.5)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 7.3</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.760(6)</td>
<td>0.004(1)</td>
<td>0.77(6)</td>
<td>-5.9(1.1)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh + P pH 6.4</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.748(9)</td>
<td>0.004(1)</td>
<td>0.68(7)</td>
<td>-6.9(1.7)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)$_3$ pH 5.3</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.759(6)</td>
<td>0.002(1)</td>
<td>0.79(6)</td>
<td>-4.8(1.1)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)$_3$ + P pH 6.2</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.756(7)</td>
<td>0.002(1)</td>
<td>0.71(7)</td>
<td>-4.3(1.3)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Bs pH 5.1</td>
<td>Mo-O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.750(6)</td>
<td>0.003(1)</td>
<td>0.78(7)</td>
<td>-5.8(1.2)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRFA pH 4.2</td>
<td>Mo-O1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.759(10)</td>
<td>0.001(2)</td>
<td>0.92(18)</td>
<td>10.9(1.4)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo-O2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.99(2)</td>
<td>0.003(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo-O3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.25(5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo···C1</td>
<td>1.86(32)</td>
<td>3.33(4)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo···C2</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storå Oe pH 4.0</td>
<td>Mo-O1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.735(9)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.83(5)</td>
<td>7.4(1.7)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo-O2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00(1)</td>
<td>0.008(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo···C1</td>
<td>2.07(26)</td>
<td>3.29(4)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo···C2</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$CN = coordination number, $R$ = mean half-path length, $\sigma^2$ = Debye-Waller factor, $S_0^2$ = amplitude reduction factor, $\Delta E$ = fitted energy-shift parameter, $R$ = goodness-of-fit parameter in Artemis. Values in italics were fixed during optimization.
Fig. 4. Left: stacked $k^3$-weighted $K$-edge EXAFS spectra for molybdenum. Lines are raw data and dashed lines are best fits. Right: Fourier Transforms (FT:s) of the $k^3$-weighted EXAFS spectra. Lines are raw data and dashed lines are best fits.
constitute around 75% of the bound molybdate in this sample. In the two other Fh samples, the corner-sharing bidentate complex was not identified. The second shell of the Al(OH)₃ samples were both dominated by Mo⁻⋅Al at ~2.62 Å, which indicates a predominance of edge-sharing complexes.

For both organic samples, the second-shell contributions were found to be weak. The WT modulus suggested that the second shell was dominated by a light element (Fig. S2, Supporting materials), and it was found that a model that included a carboxylate group and an alkoxy group with Mo⁻⁻C1 and Mo⁻⁻C2 distances of about 3.3 and 3.4 Å, respectively, was able to describe the data well. These distances are larger than those expected for many Mo-organic complexes, where coordination is through the Mo-O bonds (Zhou et al. 2013). We were not able to observe significant contributions from Mo⁻⁻Mo distances, which were identified by Hale et al. (2001) and by Arai (2010) in some samples, or by Mo-S distances, which were found by Wichard et al. (2009).

Further, we compared the EXAFS function of Storå Bs to those Fh and Al(OH)₃ with sorbed molybdenum(VI) (Fig. 3). Similar to what we found for the XANES data it was revealed that the Storå Bs sample resembled Mo sorbed to Al(OH)₃ rather than Mo sorbed to Fh, confirming that an Al(OH)₃-type component accounted for most of the molybdenum(VI) binding in the Storå Bs sample. This is also clear from the model results in Table 5, according to which the second-shell contribution was dominated by edge-sharing bidentate complexes to Al(OH)₃.

3.4. Surface complexation model for ferrihydrite

Some earlier attempts to develop models for molybdate adsorption to ferrihydrite and goethite were built on the assumption that molybdenum(VI) forms a monodentate complex (Gustafsson, 2003; Xu et al. 2006b). However, the EXAFS data of the current study show that this is incorrect, as bidentate rather than monodentate complexes are formed. Therefore the
molybdate adsorption data of Gustafsson (2003) were re-evaluated using the CD-MUSIC model for ferrihydrite (Tiberg et al. 2013). Several different combinations of complexes were tested in the fitting. Models that contained only one or two bidentate complexes were not able to describe the whole data set, including the competition with phosphate. However, when an outer-sphere surface complex $\text{FeOH}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}\cdots\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$ was introduced into the model, and combined with one bidentate complex, the data were described well, with reasonable confidence intervals (Table 3; Fig. 5). Such a complex was also included by Goldberg et al. (2008) when modelling molybdenum(VI) adsorption to amorphous iron and aluminium oxide using the triple layer model. An outer-sphere complex would not be readily identified by EXAFS spectroscopy. In addition, recent X-ray scattering results for the related $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ anion showed a significant role of such an outer-sphere complex (Zhu et al. 2014). With this combination of complexes, the model was also able to simulate the competition of molybdenum(VI) on phosphate adsorption to Fh correctly (Fig. S3, Supporting materials).

**Fig. 5.** Sorbed molybdenum as a function of pH in ferrihydrite suspensions in 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ NaNO$_3$. Left: Sorbed molybdate in systems to which 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ Mo(VI) had been added. Right: The effect of PO$_4$ competition (added P = 200 µmol L$^{-1}$) in systems with 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ Mo(VI) and 1 mmol L$^{-1}$ Fe as ferrihydrite. The points are observations (data from Gustafsson, 2003), whereas the lines are model fits using the CD-MUSIC complexation constants of Table 3.
The model simulations suggest that the outer-sphere complex is important especially at high pH, and at low levels of competing anions, whereas the bidentate complex predominates under other conditions (Fig. S4, Supporting materials). When a high concentration of orthophosphate was introduced, the contribution from the outer-sphere complex almost vanished.

3.5. Modelling molybdenum(VI) binding to organic matter

Molybdenum(VI) binding data from Risbergshöjden Oe were used to set up a molybdenum binding reaction in SHM and to optimize its binding constant and heterogeneity ($\Delta L K_2$) value. Data were available on the pH and concentration dependences of molybdenum(VI) binding, in two different background electrolytes (0.01 and 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ NaNO$_3$). It was found that a single monodentate complex with the composition ROMoO$_2$(OH)$_2$H$_2$O$^-$ was sufficient to describe the binding data very well (Fig. 6). This complex can be thought of as molybdic acid bound to a carboxylic acid group of natural organic matter through one of the distant Mo-O bonds, whereas the oxygens at intermediate distances (Mo-O = 2.00 Å) are both protonated, in line with what would be expected for molybdic acid (Liu et al. 2013). This interpretation agrees very well with the EXAFS data. This complex was able to account for the observed difference between 0.01 and 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ background electrolytes (Fig. 6). However, for optimal fit the $\Delta L K_2$ value needed to be different for dissolved and for solid-phase organic matter in the Risbergshöjden Oe suspensions, i.e. 1.6 and 2.5, respectively (Table 4).

Although there was only one observation on molybdenum(VI) binding for the Storå Oe soil, this was consistent with the model calibrated for the Risbergshöjden Oe soil (Fig. 6). By contrast, the model was not able to describe molybdenum(VI) binding to Paskalampa Oi, in which molybdenum(VI) binding was weaker (Fig. 6). When the log $K$ value of the ROMoO$_2$(OH)$_2$H$_2$O$^-$ complex was decreased by nearly an order of magnitude, from 8.6 to 7.7 (dashed line in Fig. 6), the model was finally able to simulate the data well.
Fig. 6. Molybdenum sorption to the O horizons after 8 d. a) Sorbed molybdenum as a function of dissolved molybdenum; b) Dissolved molybdenum as a function of pH after the addition of 50 µmol L$^{-1}$ Mo, equivalent to ~6 mmol kg$^{-1}$, The points are observations, whereas solid lines are model fits with the SHM using complexation constants shown in Table 4. For the Paskalampa Oi horizon, the dashed line represents the model fit with log $K$ of the Mo-organic complex set to 7.7 instead of 8.6.

4. Discussion

Molybdate can coordinate with both iron and aluminium (hydr)oxides and with organic matter, all of which are very common in soils. This may be ecologically important in acid, nutrient-poor soils, as these processes act to prevent leaching of this trace element and thus keep it bioavailable.

The former process, i.e. binding to iron and aluminum (hydr)oxides, is the best known of the two. Our results for ferrihydrite are also largely consistent with the results previously obtained for goethite (Arai, 2010). Both studies show that a mix of edge-sharing and corner-sharing bidentate complexes is formed. However, for goethite around 70% of the bound molybdate was present as corner-sharing bidentate complexes (Arai, 2010), whereas for ferrihydrite in the present study the corresponding figure was 25% or less. This difference is in line with what was found earlier for lead(II) and copper(II) (Tiberg et al. 2013), and can be
attributed to the more disordered structure of ferrihydrite, which increases the likelihood of edge-sharing coordination. The binding mode on amorphous Al(OH)₃ appears to be similar, with a predominance of edge-sharing complexes. This contrasts with the FTIR evidence of molybdenum(VI) coordination on gibbsite, which suggest that corner-sharing bidentate complexes predominate (Miedaner et al. 2011). Again, this difference may be related to differences in surface structure between crystalline gibbsite and the amorphous Al(OH)₃ used in the present investigation.

In his goethite systems, Arai (2010) found evidence for Mo…Mo interactions and possible octahedral configuration of Mo in the systems at pH values between 3 and 4. Such observations were not made in the current study, possibly because our systems were more dilute, with dissolved Mo at equilibrium below 1 µmol L⁻¹ at pH < 5.

The results for the Storå Bs sample, showing a predominance of Al(OH)₃-bound Mo, suggest that the environmental importance of Al(OH)₃ or of Al(OH)₃-type surfaces for molybdenum(VI) may be larger than often considered. In the case of Storå Bs, the results can be at least partly explained by the much larger concentrations of oxalate-extractable Al in relation to Fe (Table 1). It should also be mentioned that the oxalate-extractable Al in Storå Bs is probably dominated by allophane and imogolite rather than by Al(OH)₃, in line with the findings from other Scandinavian Spodosols (Karltun et al. 2000). Likely, the Al(OH)₃-type phase in Storå Bs as implied by the EXAFS results is in fact allophane; further evidence for this comes from the large value of oxalate-extractable Si (Table 1). In other words, the results suggest a significant role of allophane and possibly other Al(OH)₃-type phases for the retention of molybdate in soils.

The modelling results suggest that outer-sphere complexes are important for molybdenum(VI) binding to ferrihydrite under certain conditions, e.g. high pH, low surface coverage and low levels of competing ions. By using a combination of an inner-sphere
bidentate complex and an outer-sphere complex, the CD-MUSIC model was able to provide a consistent description of molybdenum(VI) binding to ferrihydrite under all investigated conditions. Similarly, outer-sphere complexes are probably also important on amorphous Al(OH)$_3$ at high pH (Goldberg et al. 2008).

As observed in previous studies (Bibak and Borggaard, 1994; Wichard et al. 2009), molybdenum(VI) was bound to natural organic matter at low pH. The XANES results ruled out significant reduction of molybdenum(VI) to lower valence states, and the EXAFS data in combination with geochemical modelling suggest the formation of a weak Mo-carboxylate complex, in which molybdenum(VI) occurs in a distorted octahedral configuration similar to that of molybdic acid, and is bound to a carboxylate group through a distant oxygen of the molybdenum(VI) octahedron. This interpretation differs from that of Hale et al. (2001), as they observed strong Mo–Mo interactions in their EXAFS spectra of Mo-treated Brassica seedlings, suggesting the existence of polymolybdate clusters. It should be noted, however, that Brassica seedlings are quite different from the humic organic matter that was investigated in this study, and therefore the Mo coordination environment may not be comparable.

Moreover, the relatively high concentrations of molybdenum(VI) added in their experiments (30 or 60 mg L$^{-1}$) is part of the explanation. For example, at equilibrium around 2.8 mg L$^{-1}$ Mo was dissolved in the Storå Oe suspension, most of which was likely organically bound. Hence Mo polymers may not have been thermodynamically favoured in our systems. Our EXAFS results are, however, reasonably similar to those obtained by Wichard et al. (2009), although we were not able to include any Mo-S interactions in our EXAFS model. This may be related to the rather high molybdenum(VI) load (i.e. 0.06 mmol g$^{-1}$, see Table 2) that we used in our experiments with Storå Oe to get good EXAFS data. The total amount of reactive thiol groups in similar organic samples is expected to be in the order of 0.025 mmol g$^{-1}$ (Skyllberg and Drott, 2010), and therefore it seems possible that all available S-containing
groups were completely saturated and that their contribution was hidden by the more numerous carboxylate groups. The large heterogeneity value ($\Delta LK_2$) of the SHM provides further evidence for the involvement of other, stronger, ligands (such as thiols) at lower surface coverage, i.e. under environmentally realistic conditions.

We observed a difference in reactivity between the O horizons concerning their molybdenum(VI) binding: the Sphagnum peat sample from Paskalampa sorbed molybdenum(VI) less strongly than the Spodosol Oe horizon samples (Risbergshögden Oe and Storå Oe). This may reflect a difference in composition of the organic ligands responsible for molybdenum(VI) binding, but more research is required to understand this.

Collectively, our and other results show that molybdenum(VI) will form a complex with natural organic matter at low pH (below about 5-6); this likely constitutes an important sorption mechanism of molybdenum(VI) in acid organic soils. The trapped molybdenum(VI) may then be taken up and converted to e.g. the iron-molybdenum cofactor of nitrogenase, in which molybdenum is coordinated in a different way (Burgess et al. 1990). Although there are limitations with the EXAFS method as discussed above, our work confirms that molybdenum(VI) sorption to organic matter is important, and that it involves complexes with monomeric molybdenum(VI) in a distorted octahedral configuration.

5. Conclusions

Molybdenum(VI) is able to bind to iron(III), aluminium(III) and organic matter, all common constituents of soils. More specifically we found that:

- According to EXAFS spectroscopy, molybdenum(VI) coordinates to ferrihydrite primarily as an edge-sharing bidentate complex involving tetrahedral molybdate, with minor contributions of a corner-sharing bidentate complex. In addition, geochemical
modelling implies the existence of an outer-sphere surface complex, which is of some importance at high pH.

- On amorphous Al(OH)₃, molybdenum(VI) forms edge-sharing bidentate complexes similar to those on ferrihydrite. The binding of molybdenum(VI) to a spodic Bs horizon was similar to that of amorphous Al(OH)₃, indicating a preference for binding onto Al(OH)₃-type phases such as allophane.

- On natural organic matter, which were represented by Suwannee River Fulvic Acid and a mor layer from a Spodosol, added molybdenum(VI) was recovered as a distorted octahedral monomeric complex, which was stable at low pH. The Stockholm Humic Model was able to describe this interaction well assuming monodentate coordination between a distant oxygen of the molybdenum(VI) octahedron and a carboxylate group. Phosphate did not compete significantly with molybdate for sorption sites.

- These results show that acid, nutrient-poor ecosystems (e.g., peat soils and Spodosols) contain sorbents able to trap molybdenum efficiently, thus preventing the loss of this important trace element to waters.
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Table S1
Pre-edge and edge positions (eV) of the Mo K-edge XANES spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-edge position /eV(^a)</th>
<th>E(_{1/2}) / eV(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 mM Na(_2)MoO(_4)</td>
<td>20002.4</td>
<td>20012.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh, pH 4.2</td>
<td>20004.5</td>
<td>20012.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh, pH 7.3</td>
<td>20003.6</td>
<td>20012.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fh + P, pH 6.4</td>
<td>20004.3</td>
<td>20012.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_3), pH 5.3</td>
<td>20003.6</td>
<td>20012.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)(_3)+ P, pH 6.2</td>
<td>20004.1</td>
<td>20012.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storâ Bs, pH 5.1</td>
<td>20003.4</td>
<td>20012.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRFA, pH 4.0</td>
<td>20004.6</td>
<td>20011.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storâ Oe, pH 4.2</td>
<td>20004.6</td>
<td>20011.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The centroid position of the pre-edge, obtained using an arc-tan lineshape (as the baseline) and Gaussian functions

\(^b\)Main edge energy at 50 % normalized absorbance

**Fig. S1.** Dissolved concentrations of molybdenum and phosphorus in Paskalampa Oi suspensions to which different concentrations of molybdenum and phosphorus had been added: “Mo only” initially contained 50 µmol L\(^{-1}\) molybdate(VI) and “Mo + P” contained 50 µmol L\(^{-1}\) molybdate(VI) + 1.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phosphate.
Fig. S2. High resolution Morlet WT modulus displaying the second coordination shell ($\kappa = 6$, $\sigma = 1$, $k$-range 3-11 Å$^{-1}$) for a) 15 mM NaMoO$_4$, b) Al(OH)$_3$, pH 5.3, c) Al(OH)$_3$ + P, pH 6.2, d) Fh, pH 4.2, e) Fh, pH 7.3, f) Fh + P, pH 6.4, g) SRFA, pH 4.0, h) Storå Oe, pH 4.2, i) Storå Bs, pH 5.1. White/brown areas indicate high intensity whereas blue/green areas indicate low intensity of the WT modulus. Second-shell contributions with elevated intensity (other than multiple scattering) are marked in red.
**Fig. S3.** Observed o-phosphate adsorption to ferrihydrite (P = 200 µmol L\(^{-1}\), Fe = 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the absence or presence of added molybdenum(VI) (Mo = 50 µmol L\(^{-1}\)). Lines are model fits with the surface complexation constants of Table 3. The data are from Gustafsson (2003).
Fig. S4. Relative contributions of different molybdenum(VI) surface complexes to the simulated adsorption edges in systems with ferrihydrite. The initial composition of each system is shown in the upper right of each figure. All systems contained 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\).