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ABSTRACT

**Context:** Cassandra is a NoSQL (Not only Structured Query Language) database which serves large amount of data with high availability. Cassandra data storage dimensioning also known as Cassandra capacity planning refers to predicting the amount of disk storage required when a particular product is deployed using Cassandra. This is an important phase in any product development lifecycle involving Cassandra data storage system. The capacity planning is based on many factors which are classified as Cassandra specific and Product specific.

**Objectives:** This study is to identify the different Cassandra specific and product specific factors affecting the disk space in Cassandra data storage system. Based on these factors a model is to be built which would predict the disk storage for Ericsson’s voucher system.

**Methods:** A case-study is conducted on Ericsson’s voucher system and its Cassandra cluster. Interviews were conducted on different Cassandra users within Ericsson R&D to know their opinion on capacity planning approaches and factors affecting disk space for Cassandra. Responses from the interviews were transcribed and analyzed using grounded theory.

**Results:** A total of 9 Cassandra specific factors and 3 product specific factors are identified and documented. Using these 12 factors a model was built. This model was used in predicting the disk space required for voucher system’s Cassandra.

**Conclusions:** The factors affecting disk space for deploying Cassandra are now exhaustively identified. This makes the capacity planning process more efficient. Using these factors the Voucher system’s disk space for deployment is predicted successfully.

**Keywords:** Cassandra, NoSQL, dimensioning, capacity planning, sizing.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Cassandra storage system[1] was initially developed by Avinash Lakshman and Prashant Malik at the Facebook to solve the inbox search storage problem[2]. Facebook which stores very large data of its users required a storage system which could handle large amounts of data efficiently. Cassandra was developed to store data which offered high data reliability and availability when compared to the traditional Relational Database Management System (RDBMS).

1.1 What is Cassandra?

Cassandra is a NoSQL database that can handle huge volumes at data efficiently and ensures reliability and consistency for the data. NoSQL databases are non-relational and handle large volumes of data with ease unlike the traditional RDBMS[3]. The present day applications and websites have Terabytes of data and RDBMS cannot handle such huge volumes of data[4]. Cassandra outperforms RDBMS in these aspects. It is elastically scalable i.e. with addition of new data, the performance doesn’t reduce and performs efficiently on very large volumes of data without single point of failure[2]. The single point of failures is handled by replicating the data and storing it in different nodes. So, when a node goes down due to any reason, data will be still available from the other nodes. This makes Cassandra a fault tolerant system.

Cassandra was developed in Java by the initial authors in 2008. This storage system is an open source and Apache Software foundation in 2009 evolved it for making it more efficient. In 2010, the Cassandra project reached to a peak in developments with the additions such as CQL (Cassandra Query Language, similar to Structure Query Language), Compaction strategies etc. Presently Cassandra is being deployed in over 50 companies and more are expected to join this league in the near future. This is marked as the future for storage systems by replacing the traditional RDBMS successfully. Many top companies such as Apple Inc., Facebook, Netflix, eBay, Ericsson etc. use Cassandra storage system to fulfil their storage needs[5].

1.2 Cassandra Architecture

The architecture and data flow in Cassandra is explained in this section. A Cassandra cluster consists of different number of nodes. Every node contains either all the data or some part of the data present in the whole Cassandra system. The data in the nodes become eventually consistent. When data is entered into the Cassandra (Write), it first goes into a local persistent called Commit Log as shown in Figure 1. Commit log maintains all the logs regarding the incoming data into the Cassandra[2]. The data here is stored in logs and not in tables. Then the data is stored in the MemTables. Data in memtables are volatile and can be lost. When data is lost in the memtable, they are retrieved by replaying the commit logs[6]. In this way the volatile data is protected.

When the memtables get filled eventually, the data is flushed in sorted order into the SStables (sorted string tables). SStables are present in persistent disks (Hard disks or Magnetic tapes). Every time data is flushed, a new STable is created. There are no update or delete functions explicitly in Cassandra. Everything is performed by insert[6]. Every time a new update is made, a new row is stored and if a row is to be deleted then the row is marked using a tombstone indicating that the row should be
deleted eventually. When data is read, the Cassandra always fetches the latest data as it stores timestamp for every transaction performed. When a data enters into Cassandra, based on the replication factor the data is replicated and acknowledgement is sent to the user[6]. This ensures that the data would be available all the time even one node goes down.

![Figure 1: Cassandra basic Architecture](image)

There would be stale and obsolete data every time updates and deletes are performed. These data are removed using the process called compaction. In compaction few SSTables (depends on the compaction strategy used, refer section 4.8.1) are compacted into one by deleting the stale and obsolete data based on the timestamps[2], [5], [6]. In this way eventually all the stale data is removed and only clean data would be present in the disk. When data is read from the Cassandra, the data is fetched from all the nodes and are merged and filtered based on the timestamps. This ensures that only updated data is sent to the user. This makes data in Cassandra consistent and is available at any point of time.

### 1.3 Why Cassandra?

Cassandra is widely preferred over their other counterparts such as MySQL and other No-SQL databases such as HBase, MongoDB etc. because it delivers immense performance on enormous data[3]. The important factors due to which Cassandra is preferred are as following.

1. **Elastically Scalable**: Any addition of new data doesn’t affect the performance of the Cassandra. Even though enormous amount of data is added, the performance of the Cassandra is not affected, thus making it elastically Scalable i.e. adding of data would not decrease the performance[5]. Apart from data, even adding and deleting nodes distribute the load equally without hindering the performance. Here a node refers to a part of storage system where data will be stored.
2. **No single point of failures**: The data in the Cassandra is replicated on various nodes spread over various areas. This makes the availability of the data high even though a when a node goes down[7]. This makes it fault tolerant.

3. **Efficient Architecture**: The architecture of Cassandra is designed in such a way that data is available even when a node goes down[7]. The architecture is robust and ensures reliability.

4. **Efficient data Modelling techniques for storing data**: The data modelling techniques used in Cassandra are efficient in dealing with stale or obsolete data. This is done by compaction on the present data which can be triggered automatically or manually[6].

1.4 **Capacity planning in Cassandra**

   Capacity planning in Cassandra is a process where the disk storage required for the deployment of the Cassandra and its connected product is predicted. This prediction is made to purchase the required hardware for deployment[8]. Companies in general need to predict the disk space required for the particular product before it is deployed. This ensures that in the future the product wouldn’t have scarcity in disk space. Capacity planning is performed by considering all the exhaustive factors which affect the disk storage in Cassandra. These factors can be broadly classified into two categories, Cassandra-specific and Product-specific. Cassandra specific are the factors which have a direct effect on the disk space. Product-specific are the factors which are directly dependent on product and also affect the disk space directly or indirectly.

   Capacity planning is performed by some standard procedures framed by Datastax[6] or some companies prefer their own procedures. In either way the aim is to predict the necessary disk space for the product deployment.

1.5 **Why capacity planning?**

   Capacity planning is an important prospect in the deployment stage of a product which uses Cassandra. In Cassandra, to store data and to replicate the data many overheads occur which occupy reasonable space in the disk. These overheads are to be considered when hardware is purchased. Apart from the overheads, there are many other factors which occupy disk space and are used in maintain data and it’s availability. So, to store some amount of data, apart from data the overheads are also to be considered when the disk space is purchased. Capacity planning is performed to overcome this problem and the disk space is predicted and purchased.

   If a Cassandra storage system runs out of space then, the incoming data cannot be stored and is lost. Apart from this the compaction which is triggered automatically by the Cassandra also fails due to lack of free space. Many complexities occur, new data cannot be stored and also there is a risk of losing old data. To overcome these problems it is always advisable to perform capacity planning beforehand.

1.6 **Research Gap**

   Companies in general need to predict their storage capacity based on their requirements before deploying Cassandra cluster. This process is known as storage capacity planning[8]. Based on this process, companies purchase appropriate hardware like disk space etc. So every time a new prediction is to be made from the scratch
when the requirements are modified or altered. This is a static approach and for scaling the cluster (for future requirements) the predictions are to be made again.

The prediction varies from product to product and from company to company as they use their own procedures. Also, the prediction is long process as many factors are to be considered. Factors can be either product specific such as the number of users who would use the product or Cassandra specific factors such as the compaction strategy to be used on the data. Both these types of factors are crucial in predicting the storage capacity of Cassandra. Currently, there are no Storage Capacity models which exhaustively tune all the factors affecting storage to predict the storage requirements for Cassandra storage system.

1.7 Research Questions

The research questions are framed to fill the gaps in the research area. These research questions are answered using the Case study. The two research questions and motivation behind these questions are as following:

**Research Question 1:** What are the different product specific and Cassandra specific factors which would affect the disk storage of Cassandra storage system?

**Motivation:** The disk storage in Cassandra would be dependent on different factors. The factors can be either product specific or Cassandra specific. So, to predict the disk storage of Cassandra it is inevitable to know these factors. These would be the inputs to the model which would generate the disk storage size required.

After extracting the factors the model will be built. The development and functioning of the model was answered using the Research question 2.

**Research Question 2:** How is the newly proposed Capacity planning model planned to build using the extracted factors?

**Motivation:** Once the factors are extracted from the interviews the next step was to build a model based on these factors on the roots of the Datastax capacity planning model because Datastax version of Cassandra capacity planning model is standard and is used by many companies for deploying Cassandra. This model will predict the disk storage required for Cassandra deployment. The Factors and respective parameters affecting them would be found out based on which the model would be built. The model would use all the parameters exhaustively.

![Figure 2: Functioning of the model](image)

As shown in Figure 2, the model takes some inputs based and based on those inputs, the disk space required for Cassandra deployment is calculated. This process is known as Cassandra capacity planning. The coming sections of the document focus on the related work, research method used in this scenario, the results from the research, data analysis followed by future works and conclusions.
2 RELATED WORK

This section consists of the related work in the research area and their contributions to the research area.

2.1 Capacity planning approaches

This research area is in its nascent stage and there is very little related work published in the literature. However, from the Cassandra Documentation[5, 6] the capacity planning approach is identified.

According to the Cassandra Documentation[9], capacity planning is based on few factors such as Row overhead, Column overhead, Indexes etc. Row and column overhead is the extra space required to store data in the tables. These factors are again based on many parameters such as number of rows in the tables, length of primary key for each row of data, number of columns in the data etc. This capacity planning approach is widely followed for predicting disk storage. This process starts with determining the column overhead which is calculated as 15 or 23 bytes plus the column value size and the column name size depending whether the column is non-expiring or not. Here by expiring rows columns refer to the columns which have a time to live value i.e. the data in the column will be expired after a certain time. Then we find the row overhead i.e. 23 bytes for each row stored in the Cassandra. Then we calculate the sizes of the primary indexes of the table. All these are added to the usable disk size i.e. the size of data based on the datatypes of the data. Now finally we multiply the replication factor. For additional details regarding the factors refer to Section 4.8.

Another capacity planning model was proposed by Anil. This approach is followed in Accenture[10]. The capacity planning is carried out by calculating the row and column overheads for all the rows and columns in the Cassandra. These overheads are added to the usable disk space i.e. the number of rows multiplied by the size of data in each row. Indexes are calculated and added to this. In addition to the Datastax approach, here the free space for compaction to trigger is also calculated based on the type of compaction strategy selected. Compaction is triggered to delete stale and obsolete data. For this process to trigger free space is required.

According to Edward Capriolo’s approach on Capacity planning in Cassandra[11], smaller key sizes and smaller column name sizes save disk space. This indicates that key size and column name size directly affect the size of the disk. He also mentions about the free space required for compaction to trigger must be of the size of the largest SSTable present in the Cassandra storage system. He also suggests to plan for the future disk space needs before the disks runs out. This would ensure that the data is intact and not lost.

The Cassandra capacity planning area of research is in its nascent stage and very less research has been performed. A generic capacity planning model is the need of the hour and many researches are been carried to predict the exact disk storage when Cassandra is deployed. Via this research a capacity model is made which predicts the disk storage required for Cassandra deployment with maximum accuracy and covering all the factors affecting disk storage exhaustively.
3  RESEARCH METHOD

This part of the document deals with research method chosen to answer the research questions. The motivation for choosing the research method is mentioned and the rejection criteria for the other research methods are also mentioned.

Research Method Selection:-

Once the research questions were formed, the next objective was to select a research method to answer those questions. In this scenario Case Study is chosen as the research method. Case study is one of the commonly used research method for research. It is used when a deep empirical investigation has to be performed on a real time context or subject[12]. In this scenario, a model is to be built which would predict the disk storage capacity of the Cassandra storage system. Investigation is required on different parameters and factors on which the Cassandra disk storage system is affected. This model shall be used to predict the storage capacity for Ericsson’s Voucher generating system based on the identified factors. The factors are characterized broadly into two categories they are, Cassandra-specific factors and Product-specific factors. Cassandra specific factors are those which can be tuned based on Cassandra’s requirements and product specific are those which are based on the product or product requirements.

Why Case study? Alternatives rejection criteria explained:-

Apart from case study there are many other research methods used in computer science. They are experiments, action research, surveys etc.

Experiments [13], [14] are rejected because the factors which affect the Cassandra are not fully known. So an experiment cannot be conducted on factors which are not fully known and determined.

Surveys[15] are not chosen as their results would only provide Cassandra users perspective and opinion on capacity planning but will not help in building a model to calculate the disk storage.

Action Research [16], [17] is used when an effect of an action is to be known. Here, the aim is to identify different factors affecting Cassandra storage system. So, Action research is not chosen.

Case study[17], [18] is chosen because the factors are not fully known and they differ from case to case. In this particular case the effect of factors on Cassandra is to be determined. Also case study is the best chosen method when a subject is to be deeply investigated. This investigation on the subject would answer the research questions.

Literature Review:-

Literature review is conducted based on the guidelines provided in Creswell’s book “Research Design” [19]. Using the literature review the related work and gaps in the research area are identified. The literature review is carried out in a 7-step procedure.

**Step 1**: Identifying the keywords in the research area. The keywords are to be used in searching for related articles, books, journals etc. from the present literature. The key words in this research area are: “Cassandra”, “Capacity planning”.

**Step 2**: Once the keywords are identified, they are used for searching relevant journals, articles, books etc. in different databases like INSPEC, Google Scholar, Engineering village.
**Step 3:** Few relevant articles and journals are selected from the search results. These formed the base for the literature review. More keywords are deduced from these articles and the search strings are enhanced such as “sizing”, “data storage”.

**Step 4:** Now using the enhanced keywords the database were searched. This time the selection of articles from the search results were based on abstracts and results present in them. Articles which were closely related to the research were selected.

**Step 5:** Now that the articles are being selected, simultaneously the literature map is created i.e. what is to be included in the literature review. This is created based on the research papers and articles obtained from the step 4. Using the literature map a clear picture of the research can be obtained. The literature map is shown below as *Figure 3*

**Step 6:** Now the literature review is written which contains the related work i.e. research which is going on or done in the present research area. It is seen that very less research is done in this research area.

**Step 7:** From the literature review research gaps are identified and proposal is submitted to fill them. Here a model for dimensioning Cassandra is the proposed solution.

The literature review was also conducted on the Datastax Cassandra Documentation[8] to get acquainted with the Capacity planning model mentioned in it which is widely followed for capacity planning in Cassandra. In section 2.1 detailed description of the capacity planning model mentioned in Datastax documentation is mentioned. The model which was built was based on the Capacity planning procedure by Datastax and also few additional parameters extracted from the interviews. All the parameters were analyzed and a new capacity planning model was proposed based on the Datastax capacity planning procedure and the parameters extracted from the interviews. For the additional parameters interviews (Data collection method) were conducted as a part of the Case study research method. The coming section gives the detailed description of the case study and its design.

---

| **Datastax Capacity Planning Model** | • Standard Model followed by most of the companies. Focuses on most of the important factors. |
| **Accenture’s Capacity Planning Model by Anil** | • Focuses on Row, Column Overheads, Indexes and free space for Compaction. |
| **Edward's Capacity Planning model for Cassandra** | • Focuses on some factors such as Column name sizes, Primary Key sizes and Free space for Compaction. |
| **Proposed Cassandra Capacity Planning Model** | • To be built on all the exhaustive factors which affect the disk storage in Cassandra. |

*Figure 3: Literature Map containing the research done in the research field and Proposed Model.*
3.1 **Case Study Design**

The following processes are involved in the case study design.

3.1.1 **Case selection and Unit of analysis**

A case is selected to elevate the factors which affect the disk storage in Cassandra data storage system. This case selection process is the inception of the case study and is the base for the research. This case will act as an input to determine the factors affecting the case. The unit of analysis is the Voucher system project at Ericsson R&D. The voucher system uses Cassandra data storage system as its storage system and the capacity planning has to be done before it is deployed. This is done by first analyzing the voucher system and its requirements. This would be the starting point before the actual capacity planning is done. Interviews were conducted on different people who work in Cassandra Team at Ericsson R&D, India. There is a dedicated Cassandra team which works for the development and maintenance of Cassandra on Voucher system project. Once the interviews are finished, the data is analyzed and the factors affecting the Cassandra disk storage are gathered from the interview results. These factors are used in building a generic model which predicted the disk storage required to deploy Cassandra successfully.

3.1.2 **Case Study Process**

The present research process is shown in *Figure 4*. From the interviews factors affecting the disk storage are extracted. On analysis of the factors, parameters affecting disk storage are extracted. These parameters are fed as input to the Capacity planning model. The output from the model is the predicted disk storage.

![Figure 4: Research Process](image)

Case study process or protocol refers to the information regarding the case study data collection and analysis procedures. It contains all the aspects of the Case study approach as a research method[12]. The *Figure 5* shows how the case study is actually performed in this research. The sections in this figure are explained in detail in the coming sections.
3.1.2.1 **Data Collection Process**

Data required for the case study is gathered from different sources ranging from direct observations to interviews. This process is done in order to achieve data triangulation i.e. gathering data from different sources which would allow analysis in different aspects and perspectives.[20]

3.1.2.1.1 **Standard Cassandra Documents**

The data collection process was started by first analyzing the Datastax Cassandra Documentation[5], [6]. These are the standard documents for all Cassandra related authentic information. Datastax is a company which provides all types of Cassandra based services to its clients. On analysis of these documents some factors are identified and were noted in memos. The document has a section separately dealing with the capacity planning procedures. Also this
document was used to understand the Cassandra functioning, terminology and architecture.

3.1.2.1.2 Interviews Design

The next step in the data collection process is the interviews. Interviews are conducted when the practitioners or normal people’s opinion is to be taken. Here interviews are planned to collect information on factors affecting Cassandra data storage system. Interviews are chosen over surveys because the one-to-one conversations with the Cassandra users will be used to gather the information required for the Case study. One-to-one interviews was chosen as it would give individual opinion on the Cassandra capacity planning. Before the interviews are conducted, a questionnaire is to be prepared so that the participants can be interviewed on only those questions. A single interview was divided into 4 parts. In the first part mutual introduction between the interviewer and the interviewee was held. Following this the interviewee is explained about the research and the reason for the interview. In the next part of the interview the interviewee is asked about his experience with Cassandra and capacity planning strategies in Cassandra. This is followed by the last section where the interviewee suggests how the capacity planning can be improved while deploying Cassandra. All the interviews are transcribed thoroughly. During the interviews memos are also noted when the interviewee is answering. These memos are used when the interview results are analyzed.

All the interview results are maintained confidentially. All the questions in the interviews were semi-structured. A mail was sent to all the interviewees before the interview to book an appointment. This helped in managing the time for interviews.

3.1.2.1.3 Formulating the Questionnaire

To conduct the interviews a questionnaire was required. This questionnaire should consist of all the questions to which the interviewees would answer and the information can be analyzed for the case study. The questionnaire contained semi-structure questions which were also open ended i.e. the interviewee can give an open exploratory answer which is not restricted to any options[17]. The questionnaire formulation was made in two phases, they are:

1. Literature review and standard Cassandra documents: From the available literature and Cassandra documents the initial questionnaire was made. The keywords from the research questions were identified and literature survey was conducted. This was base for the initial questionnaire. Also the Cassandra documentation[5], [6] by the Datastax was also used in formulating the questionnaire. The questions are framed in a manner where the respondent can give the answer exploratory without any constraints. This was the initial questionnaire which was made and used.

2. Once the initial questionnaire was ready, interviews were conducted and were transcribed completely. If there were any responses which were unclear or ambiguous then a new question is added to the initial questionnaire to make it more efficient. This process is continued until a saturation point is reached. This made the interviews more efficient.

There were no previously conducted interviews in this field in the literature. So they were not considered while making the questionnaire.
3.1.2.1.4 Transcription Process

While the interviews are going on, the responses given by the interviewees are noted in a transcript which is used for analysis. All the responses were written down. Apart from these whenever the interviewee made special remarks like “always”, “never” etc. they are saved in a memo. These are then asked to other interviewee whether it is “always” or “never”. The interviews are not recorded as it is up to the interviewee’s wish[19].

3.1.2.2 Data Analysis process

Once the interviews were in progress simultaneously the data analysis process was also started. For the analysis, Grounded theory was used. Grounded theory refers to a systematic methodology using which a new theory is derived from the analysis of the data. Grounded theory is said to be a superior approach for any data analysis of unstructured qualitative data[21], [22]. The data analysis using grounded theory is done in 5 phases as shown in the Figure 6.

![Figure 6: Process of Data Analysis](image)

3.1.2.2.1 Pre-coding of the data

Data analysis using Grounded theory starts from the first interview response only as data collection and data analysis are interrelated processes[22]. Pre-coding is the first process in data analysis which is started simultaneously with the interviews. In pre-coding the initial responses are written in transcripts and analyzed by highlighting the keywords. Here the key words refer to those which are related to research questions mentioned in the above sections. The highlighted texts are analyzed for words such as “always”, “never”, “frequently” etc. These are then asked to other interviewees as well whether they are actually “always” or “never” or “frequently”. In this way the questionnaire is refined as the interviews are in progress. This is continued until a saturation point is reached. This is done to refine the questionnaire in order to achieve efficient information thus leading to an efficient research.

Apart from these memos are saved in a separate transcript. Memos consist of ideas, thoughts or questions which are to be asked or clarified later in the interview or in the future interviews[22]. Even this is used into enhance the data collection process.

All the transcripts from the interviews later are analyzed to deduce the factors affecting the Cassandra disk storage system.
3.1.2.2 Open-Coding (Extracting concepts/codes from the data)

After the pre-coding phase, Coding process is started in the data analysis process. In this phase from the data collected codes/concepts are identified. This phase is also known as the open coding. A code or a concept is a phrase which refers to a part of the text in the transcripts. The codes are the basic building blocks for the data analysis phase[22]. For example if an interviewee talks about “Row Overhead” as a potential factor affecting the disk storage then we mark “Row overhead” as a code. Now that we have a code named “Row overhead”, whenever an interviewee refers to this in his responses that part of the text is mapped to this code and thus making the analysis phase simpler. Codes are manually identified from the transcripts and are marked as underlined or highlighted in the Microsoft Word.

Initially codes are identified as the most occurring words in the transcripts. Therefore we identify the frequency of each word in the transcript and mark the first 30 most frequent words as 30 separate codes. Apart from these other words are also analyzed and codes are made. This is to ensure that during the analysis no text parts of the transcripts are left without analyzing. The identification of codes process is conducted until a saturation point is reached i.e. no more codes can be extracted from the present data in the transcripts. A total of 52 codes are extracted from the transcripts in total.

3.1.2.3 Grouping the Codes into Categories (Axial-Coding)

Once all the codes are identified, the next step is group similar type of codes into groups. This phase is also known as axial coding. This would help in analyzing codes in groups and thus codes can be distinguished on the basis of groups. The grouping is done by comparing each code, if any similarity they are grouped together. In this research scenario, all the 52 codes are divided into two groups namely Cassandra-specific factors and Product specific factors. The main reason behind grouping the factors is to identify respectively the Cassandra-specific and product specific factors by comparing the codes and also to enhance the data analysis process by breaking down the analysis process[22]. The grouping of the codes is shown in the Figure 7.

![Figure 7: Grouping of the codes](image)

3.1.2.4 Checking codes importance by frequency

In the next phase of data analysis, the importance of codes is determined by their frequency of occurrence in transcripts generated from the interviewees’ responses. This is used to filter the codes which are very less frequently occurred in the interview responses. The thresholds for the frequencies and distribution are set by following the study performed by Deepika Badampudi [23] and Peterson, Wohlin [24].

**General Issues/Very important issues:** 1/3 or more reported this issue in their responses.

**Medium important issues:** 1/5 or more reported this issue in their responses.

**Least important issues:** 1/10 or more reported this issue in their responses.
**Other issues:** The issues which are very rarely spoken about are placed in this category.

3.1.2.2.5 Validation of Analyzed Data

Data validation is the last stage of the data analysis phase. This is not a part of the standard grounded theory approach but is used in the analysis process to validate the analyzed information. Data validation is done by conducting a closed-ended questionnaire survey. Closed-ended questionnaire contains questions whose answers are to be chosen in between a given range (multiple choice questions). The questionnaire filled with all the factors analyzed is sent to the Cassandra team members and the results are analyzed using statistics (mean and standard deviation). The questionnaire consisted of every factor and a scale of 1-5 to mark where 1 being weak factor and 5 being strongest factor affecting Cassandra data storage system.

After the validation the factors are filtered and a model was built on these factors to predict the disk storage in Cassandra data storage system.

3.2 Planning the Case Study

This current section deals about the case study planning done at Ericsson R&D, Gurgaon, India and the case in this research is Cassandra’s capacity planning for Voucher System project. Voucher system is a new generation mobile recharge voucher generator. This project is planned to be deployed using Cassandra storage system as the back-end. The capacity planning for this data storage system is the unit of analysis in this case study. For this case study interviews are planned and executed. The interviews planning and the interviewee selection are explained in detail in the coming sections.

3.2.1 Interviews planning

The interviews were planned to know the Cassandra user’s opinion on present capacity planning procedures. All the interviewees were employees and managers at different level working at Ericsson R&D, India. The interviews were planned in two phases. The first phase of the interviews was conducted with an initial questionnaire and the second phase was conducted with a refined questionnaire. The interviews were managed in such a way that no one was interviewed more than twice. Some interviewees were interviewed only once (either initial questionnaire or refined questionnaire).

3.2.2 Interviewee selection

Only Cassandra users are selected for the interviews as only they could give their opinion on capacity planning procedures in Cassandra. At Ericsson R&D presently 13 people are working on the Cassandra development and maintenance. These 13 people were selected for the interviews. The distribution of these 13 people and their designations are as following in Figure 8.

Interviews were conducted on these 13 people. Prior appointment was booked and the purpose was explained beforehand to make the interviews process smooth. The interviews were conducted based on the questionnaires and the results were analyzed.
Figure 8: Distribution of people in Cassandra team.
4 RESULTS

This section is about the results from the interviews and their analysis. The analysis process is explained elaborately in the coming sub-sections.

4.1 Voucher System and Cassandra Capacity Planning

This section deals with the capacity planning of Cassandra data storage system for Ericsson’s Voucher system.

4.1.1 Voucher System at Ericsson

Voucher system is the new project at Ericsson R&D which generates mobile recharge vouchers. This is used to replace the classical voucher generating system. The classical voucher system was built on C Language and uses RDBMS for back end. Unlike classical voucher system, this project is built in Java (object oriented concepts) and uses Cassandra data storage system (NoSQL-Not only Structured query language) as the back-end. This project is currently in the development phase and would be released soon.

4.1.2 Cassandra Data storage system for Voucher System

Cassandra is the next generation distributed data storage and management system. It is scalable and performs efficiently on huge volumes of data unlike the traditional RDBMS[4]. The voucher system is planned at handling myriad of vouchers. This requires equivalent amounts of disk storage. Cassandra data storage system offers high performance on huge volumes of data and is scalable i.e. ability to handle growing amount of data[2]. This makes Cassandra an apt data storage system for voucher system.

4.1.3 Capacity planning for Voucher System’s Cassandra

For the deployment of Cassandra data storage system, the necessary disk storage is predicted. This enables the company/team to buy the appropriate hardware based on the requirements. This process is called capacity planning in Cassandra[5], [10]. For the voucher system the capacity planning was required before it was deployed. This would ensure that the Cassandra data storage system would be disk sufficient as per the requirements and thus would ensure stability in terms of disk storage.

The capacity planning is conducted based on different factors which are sometimes overlooked. To ensure that all necessary factors are considered and the disk storage is predicted, Interviews were conducted to determine the factors affecting the disk storage space. The interviews were conducted on 13 people belonging to the Cassandra team at Ericsson R&D, India.

The interviews were conducted by preparing a questionnaire. This questionnaire was updated eventually until a saturation point is reached. The factors are extracted from the interviews using the grounded theory approach. The details are mentioned in the coming sections.

4.2 Synopsis of the Interviews conducted

The interviews were conducted on 13 people working at Ericsson R&D’s Cassandra team. This team is responsible for maintaining and developing Cassandra data storage system which is an open source managed by Datastax. Out of the 13 interviews conducted, 8 were conducted on the initial questionnaire and the remaining 5 were conducted on the refined or
final questionnaire (refer appendix for the questionnaire). All the interviews are transcribed which is explained in section 4.2.1. Once the responses are given by the interviewees the data analysis process is started as both the processes are inter-related. The data analysis process is shown in the below Figure 9.

![Data analysis process](image)

**Figure 9: Data analysis process**

The details of the interviewees and the interviews regarding the dates and questionnaires used are provided in the *Table 1.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Date of the Interview</th>
<th>Time in minutes</th>
<th>Questionnaire type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-13</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Senior Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Senior Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Senior Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Senior Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cassandra Architect</td>
<td>2015-04-20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Final and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>System Architect</td>
<td>2015-04-21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Final and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Senior Software Engineer</td>
<td>2015-04-22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Final and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cassandra Architect</td>
<td>2015-04-23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Final and refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>2015-04-24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Final and refined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Interviews details**

### 4.2.1 Transcription process

During the interviews all the responses from the interviewees were written into a document. This process is known as transcription. This includes running notes, quotes quoted by the interviewee, emotions shown by interviewee and also memos containing ideas or thoughts emerged from the interviewee’s responses. The interviews are not recorded as the transcripts might contain errors due to misinterpretation of the response given by the interviewee. Therefore shorthand notes is preferred and also shorthand notes are considered to be efficient when compared to verbatim transcription (audio recording to text)[25]. This is an important phase in the data collection process.
as these transcripts directly affect the data analysis phase. The data analysis is conducted on these transcripts by identifying codes. The data analysis is conducted using the Grounded theory[22] approach.

In the coming sections the data analysis is explained in detail by explaining all the sub-processes i.e. from section 4.3 to 4.7.

4.3 Pre-coding

This is the first phase of analysis process. In pre-coding the key codes are identified manually by highlighting them in the Word. All the interviews are transcribed and are simultaneously analyzed for codes. Below Figure 10 shows the pre-coding process on one of the interview’s transcript. Also apart from the key codes, words like always, never or frequently are highlighted and are confirmed from the interviewees whether it happens “always”, “never” or “frequently”. In this way the refined questionnaire was formed from the initial questionnaire.

Interview 1:

- **Cassandra capacity planning** refers to predicting the disk storage to be required when a product is to be deployed in production level. This process is a long and tedious process as many factors are to be considered. In this process usually some parameters are overlooked due to many reasons. This causes real-time problems where the storage disk is out of space. This would lead to many problems as the product is to be stopped temporarily as a new disk is to be installed and connected to sync the data between them.

- According to me many factors affect the disk storage in Cassandra. The crucial ones are **column overhead, row overhead** and **formatted disk overhead**. Apart from these the **indexed filters** are also important factors as they occupy decent amount of space in the storage. When a SST is created in the disk seven types of files are formed for every respective SST. Out of these 4 can be neglected (Summary, TOC, Compression info and statistics). These are neglected as they are of very small size when compared to the whole data. The format disk overhead is always overlooked. This one is never considered, I have seen many companies Cassandra capacity.

- Apart from these, the **compaction strategy** used in the Cassandra also affects the disk storage largely. This is overlooked sometimes due to which compaction fails. In these types of scenarios though there is some space the data storage could fail due to compaction failure.

- We follow some standard ways to predict the storage such as the one in Datastax documentation and some other companies capacity planning documents like the amazon etc. These do not contain format overhead which affects the storage. This can be improved.

Figure 10: Pre-coding process on Interview-1

4.4 Open-coding (Extracting codes)

The next step in the data analysis process is the open-coding. From the open-coding the actual grounded theory process is started. In open coding all the codes in the combined transcripts are identified. This is done in two phases. In the first phase all the frequently occurring words in the initial transcripts are listed. The top 30 most frequently occurring words are accepted to be the initial codes. Now as the transcripts increase, the codes increases and reach a saturation point. In the second phase, all the codes related to the research questions and research area is identified. There might be some codes which already occurred in the frequently occurring list and related to research area and research questions list. Others which are less frequently occurring and related to the research questions and research area are added to the list of codes. This process is continued until a saturation point is reached. Through this process 52 codes were identified and listed. The Table 2 below shows the list of all the codes and their base for extraction i.e. either by frequency or by relation to the research area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracted Code</th>
<th>Extraction basis</th>
<th>Extracted Code</th>
<th>Extraction basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cassandra</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
<td>Bytes</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Calculating</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Free space</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reads</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Expiring columns</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writes</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Timestamps</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity planning</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
<td>Deployment</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Nodes</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column overhead</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
<td>Format disk</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rows</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Records</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexes</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Secondary Indexes</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Column families</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row overhead</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
<td>Also</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companies</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datastax</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Disk storage</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication factor</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Row key</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit log</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Local persistence</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data types</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Strings</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column names</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Levelled compaction</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom filters</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Depends</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction strategy</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Every</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row caches</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Size tiered Compaction</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapshots</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Key caches</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary key</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Primary key index</td>
<td>Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index overhead</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
<td>Index size</td>
<td>Frequency and Relation to research area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Details of the extracted Codes
4.5 **Grouping of codes into categories**

After all codes are identified they are grouped into two different groups. The groups are Cassandra-specific codes and Product-specific codes. Each group again has many sub groups and each sub group has some codes. Through this process the codes which are not relevant to these two groups are filtered. From the identified 52 codes, irrelevant codes are filtered and the rest are grouped. The grouping of the codes is clearly describes in the *Figure 11 & Figure 12* below.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 11:** Cassandra specific codes grouping

**Figure 12:** Product specific codes grouping

4.6 **Code frequency**

Code frequency is calculated to determine the importance of each code. As discussed in the earlier section 3.1.3.2.4, the codes which are occurring in most of the responses are marked as general or most-important factors and the factors which are less spoken are marked as other or low-importance factors. In the *Table 3* and *Table 4* the importance of Cassandra-specific and Product-specific codes is determined. The
percentage denotes the number of interviewees mentioning the code. For example if a code has a frequency of 8, then the code is mentioned by 62% of the respondents and thus is categorized into high-important issue category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cassandra-Specific code category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Code importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Strategy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheads</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keys</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit log</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Least-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Groups</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Medium-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication factor</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format-disk Overhead</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Cassandra specific codes frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product-Specific code category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Code importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column families</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column family schema</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Highly-important</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Product specific codes frequency

4.7 Validation of the codes

This is the final phase of data analysis. In this phase all the analyzed codes are validated. Data validation is performed in order to enhance the code filtration. The data validation is performed by conducting a survey on the same 13 respondents. This survey contains only closed-ended questions i.e. The question contains a range and the response should be given within the range. In this scenario each question would contain a single code and should be validated by selecting a score between 1-5 where 1 being strongly-disagree and 5 being strongly-agree for the respective code. 1-5 range is chosen as it contains a medium value. The results of the data validation are given in the Table 5 below.

After the survey, the results are gathered and are analyzed. The analysis is done by statistics. The mean and standard deviation are calculated and based on the values the codes are validated. Statistics is chosen as the analysis method as the questions are closed-ended and results can be inferred by calculating mean and standard deviation[19]. Mean is the average of all the responses or can be defined as the average response of the all the respondents. Standard deviation is defined as the variation in the responses collected[26].

From the statistical results, it is inferred that commit logs, bloom filters and row, key caches are considered to be less valid codes when compared to other codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Max value</th>
<th>Min value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compaction strategy</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row Overhead</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Overhead</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row Key or Primary key</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commit logs</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom filters</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row and Key caches</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column families number</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexes and secondary indexes</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication factor</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column family schema</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format-disk Overhead</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Validation of the codes

4.8 Factors affecting disk storage in Cassandra

Based on the above analyzed data, they were grouped into two groups, Cassandra-specific factors and product specific factors. The coming section discusses about every code in the both groups.

4.8.1 Cassandra specific Factors

From the analyzed data, there are in total 9 Cassandra specific factors which affect the disk storage. They are:

1. Compaction Strategy
2. Row Overhead
3. Column Overhead
4. Row key or Primary key
5. Commit logs
6. Bloom filters
7. Row and Key caches
8. Replication Factor
9. Format-Disk Overhead

Compaction Strategy: When data is entered into Cassandra data storage system, the data is stored in MemTables (Volatile) and when the memtable is filled, the data is flushed into SSTables (sorted string tables). There is no explicit update or delete rows property in Cassandra. A new is created or the old row is marked as delete when update or delete is to be performed respectively. This creates stale or obsolete data in the SSTables. These are cleared by a process called Compaction. Compaction usually runs in the background or can be triggered manually from the Cassandra GUI (Graphical user Interface). Compaction merges two or more SSTables into one deleting the stale data. There are 3 different compaction strategies in Cassandra, they are Levelled compaction, Size-tiered compaction and Date-tiered compaction (works only in Cassandra version 2.0.11 or later)[6].

In levelled compaction, all the SSTables are categorized into levels. Each level has similar sized SSTables (first level usually has 5MB threshold). When 10 SSTables are in a same level they are merged together and sent to the next level. This process runs continuously when there are writes or updates or deletes on the data. The merging is done by copying the contents of the smaller SSTables in the larger SSTable deleting the stale data. At one point when the data is copied the smaller SSTables are deleted. So this process requires free space. So, 10% free space is required for the compaction process[27].

Unlike levelled compaction, Size-tiered and Date-tiered require 50% of free space for compaction. In size-tiered 4 similar sized SSTables are merged to form a single SSTable[6]. In date-tiered compaction is done based on timestamps and similar to size-
In a tiered compaction, the compaction is done 4 SStables at once whose timestamps are close [28]. In this way the compaction process affects the disk storage.

**Row Overhead and Column Overhead:** For every row or column to be stored in Cassandra it requires some overhead. This overhead is caused due to timestamps, keys etc. In general the overhead occurred on a single row is 23 bytes. This includes indexes required for searching, key of the row, cache for searching and many other internal components [6]. So even if there is 4 bytes of data in a row, it requires 23 more bytes of disk space to store it.

Similar to row overhead, every column also has a fixed overhead. This is depended on various factors. In general a non-expiring column i.e. doesn’t have TTL (time to live) takes 15 bytes of overhead excluding the column name size and column value size. If the column is expiring then the overhead is 23 bytes. The extra 8 bytes is to store the timestamp value. Every column in a column family has overhead and ranges from 15-23 bytes excluding the column name size and column value size.

**Row Key or Primary Key:** Row key acts like a primary key for a table in RDBMS. Row key is unique for every row and used for row when a specific row is to be searched. In general the row key is of 16-32 bytes long [5]. So when a column family disk space is determined, row key should also be included.

**Commit Logs:** When data is added to Cassandra, a log is created to track the reads and writes. Commit logs stores those logs. These logs are used when a node in Cassandra goes down and needs to be started again. When the data is flushed from the MemTables to SStables then the corresponding commit logs are also cleared. Commit logs are known as Local persistence. The size of commit log has to be set beforehand in the “Cassandra.yaml” property file against the property “commitlog_total_space_in_mb”.

**Bloom Filters, Row caches and Key caches:** Bloom filters are used to determine whether a particular row is present in an SStable or not. This gives the probability (False-positive) of the row presence in a particular SStable using which Cassandra searches for the row. This helps in optimizing the reads as Cassandra need not search in all the SStables while searching for a single row.

Row cache and Key cache also are used for optimizing the reads in Cassandra. The writes are very fast and enhanced in Cassandra compared to the reads. Reads can be enhanced by these volume groups. Row cache stores the details of a row if it is found in a particular SStable. So, if a row is frequently accessed or read then read is enhanced due to the presence of row cache [5].

Key cache on the other hand is used to determine in which partition of the Cassandra the row exists. This enhances the reads exponentially. When a key cache is hit, Cassandra directly jumps to that particular partition and searches for the row.

Bloom filter, row cache and key cache occupy disk storage as they contain metadata. Their size is not relatively as huge as the data but in the long run it is always advisable to estimate their sizes [10].

**Replication Factor:** Cassandra data storage system stores copies of every data stored in it. This is used to enhance the availability of data even when a node is down due to some reason. So in a 3-node Cassandra cluster, even if 1 node is down, the reads and writes are performed successfully without affecting the performance. Replication factor is to be set and varies from product to product. As the replication factor increases, the usable disk space also increases linearly. For example if a 1-node cluster has 100GB of data, then for the same amount of data a 3-node cluster would
require 300GB of data approximately. This has a huge impact on the Cassandra capacity planning.

**Format-disk overhead:** Once the whole disk space is calculated and the hardware is to be bought, the format disk overhead comes into picture. It is always seen that a 1TB hard disk has less than 1TB free usable space. This is due to the formatted-disk overhead. Also the manufacturers of storage system assume 1000 Bytes = 1 KB and so on[29]. This is to be considered when hard disk is purchased.

### 4.8.2 Product/Project specific Factors

From the analyzed data, there are in total 3 Product specific factors which affect the disk storage. They are:

1. Column families number
2. Indexes and secondary indexes
3. Column family schema

**Column families’ number:** Column family is a table counterpart of RDBMS in Cassandra. A column family consists of different columns which can be dynamically added. This makes the structure and architecture of Cassandra more complex. Each column family would columns and their corresponding rows. As the number of columns or rows increases the disk storage increases accordingly. Even when a new column family is created to store data, it occupies space based on its schema. So, when the capacity planning is performed it is always advisable to enter details about all the column families as this could affect the prediction of disk storage.

**Indexes and Secondary Indexes:** Indexes are stored in Cassandra for filtering data with respect to any column. Indexes are formed based on the primary key of the Column family. Indexes have many applications in Cassandra. They are used when a node is restarted, filtering the data, searching the data and so on. Every SSTable has 6 files associated with it. They are data file, indexes file, Table of contents file, bloom-filter file, summary file and Compression-Info file. The index file stores the indexes of the particular SSTable. When a Memtable is flushed even the related indexes are flushed into the SSTable[6], [30]. Indexes occupy relatively decent amount of space when compared to the actual data size.

Secondary Indexes are to be created explicitly to give indexes to the column values. They are used to optimize the query retrieval time. When a query is processed on Cassandra, it searches for that value on all the relevant rows and columns. To optimize it to the next level secondary indexes are used. Secondary indexes store metadata about the value including timestamp and key. This is used when a query is processed on that data. Secondary indexes should be created with utmost care as they are directly depended on Cardinality. If the secondary indexes are created on high cardinal values, then the purpose of the secondary indexes cannot be utilized efficiently. Unlike indexes, secondary indexes are stored in a separate SStable and have their own 6 files for every secondary index. Their size directly depends on the key value of the rows. It has a direct effect on the disk storage and hence has to be considered when the capacity planning is performed.

**Column Family Schema:** The schema of the column family directly affects the usable disk storage of Cassandra. More number of columns in a column family, more is the disk space required. Expiring columns need 8 bytes of more space when compared to normal columns as they need to store TTL (time to live). Different types
of data-types require different amount of space. For example Bigint and doubleint take 64 bytes, float takes 32 bytes, strings can be of any size and average size is chosen for calculating disk space.

4.8.3 Parameters for the extracted factors

Considering all the above mentioned Cassandra specific and product specific factors, parameters affecting these factors are identified and analyzed as shown in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Parameter(s) affecting the factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Strategy</td>
<td>Size tiered or Levelled or Date tiered compaction strategy. Each one has a different effect on the disk storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row Overhead</td>
<td>Number of rows in the Column Families(Tables)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Overhead</td>
<td>Number of columns in the Column Families (Tables), column name size (Length of column name), column value size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row Key or Primary Key</td>
<td>Length of the Primary key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit Logs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom filters</td>
<td>Number of rows, False positive of the Bloom filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row caches, Key caches</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Families Number</td>
<td>Column Families Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexes</td>
<td>Primary key length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Indexes</td>
<td>Primary key length, Cardinality of the secondary indexes, Secondary indexes key length, number of rows in Secondary indexes Column family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication factor</td>
<td>Number of replicas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column family schema</td>
<td>Data-types in the column family and their respective sizes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formatted disk Overhead</td>
<td>Formatted disk overhead percentage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Factors and parameters.

These parameters will serve as input to the model and the output generated from the model will be the predicted disk space.

4.9 Designing the model

Using all the exhaustive parameters, this capacity planning model is designed. This model considers both Cassandra specific factors and product specific factors. Using this model the capacity planning is performed in the following way:

1. Find all the column families in the product. All the column families pertaining to all the use cases must be identified beforehand. The column family list can be obtained using Cassandra-Command line interface (CLI). Using this the list of all the column families available can be viewed.
2. The schema of the column families are to be defined. The data types, expiring columns, primary keys are to be mentioned precisely. Again using Cassandra-CLI, the schema of each column family is extracted.
3. The number of rows for each of the column family is to be mentioned. This can be obtained from the Cassandra-CLI.
4. Using this information the row overhead and column overhead is calculated for each of the column family. The row overhead is 23 bytes for each row of data irrespective of the data and column overhead depends on the column type.
If the column is of expiring type i.e. TTL is active then there is an overhead of 23 bytes for each column pertaining to a row or else there is a overhead of 15 bytes.

5. Bloom filter sizes are also determined using the process mentioned in Cassandra documentation[6] by the necessary parameters. For bloom filter sizes, we need the false-positive of bloom filter and number of rows present in that particular column family. The formula to determine the sizes of bloom filters are: ceiling of((number of rows * log(false-positive)) / log(1.0 / (pow(2.0, log(2.0)))))

6. All the usable disk space pertaining to all the column families, all the column overheads, all the row overheads are added. In this step we add all the datatypes and overheads in each row. These are multiplied by number of rows present.

7. The compaction strategy is decided and the free space is calculated for the size obtained from step 6.

8. We calculate the Indexes sizes (rows*(10+average key size)), Secondary indexes (if exists) and add the bloom filter sizes to it.

9. We add the sum obtained from step 7 and step 8.

10. To this we calculate the format- disk overhead.

11. The result obtained from step 10 is to total space required by Cassandra for its deployment.

The following Figure 13 shows the functioning of the model.

---

**Figure 13: Functioning of the Model**

---
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5 ANALYSIS

5.1 Analysis of the Model

The capacity planning model is based on all the factors and parameters extracted from the interviews. The parameters were extracted from factors which were extracted from interviews. The model has a series of steps using which the capacity planning process can be performed.

Firstly, the schemas of all the column families pertaining to the product are to be identified. These along with some other inputs are fed to the model. The other inputs are number of rows, bloom filter false positive value, primary key length, number of column families and replication factor. Based on these inputs different calculations will be made and the output i.e. the disk space is generated as shown in Figure 13. Firstly, the usable disk size of one row is calculated to which the number of rows is multiplied. To this the row overhead, column overhead, bloom filters, indexes are added. Now we apply the compaction strategy, this is done to ensure that there is enough free space when compaction runs on the data. Finally the replication factor and the formatted disk overhead are applied and the disk storage is obtained.

5.2 Validating capacity planning model using Ericsson’s Voucher System

To validate the model proposed, it was used on Ericsson’s voucher system which is under the development phase and would be deployed soon. Writes were made on the voucher system and the size of data was observed. This size was then compared with the size predicted by the proposed model. The results are tabulated below.

5.2.1 Proposed model capacity planning calculations

Based on the above mentioned guidelines the disk space is calculated for the voucher system. Three use-cases were selected and the calculations are performed. The three use cases are

i. Voucher system with 10 million vouchers.
ii. Voucher system with 5 million vouchers.
iii. Voucher system with 1 million vouchers.

The calculations are performed as following:

1. The schema for the voucher system including secondary indexes is identified from the CQL (Cassandra query language) command. “describe table <column_family>” gives the schema of the particular column family.
2. The schema of the voucher is: key: string, date: string, batchid: string, state: string, serial_number: string and all the columns are expiring. The average size of all the columns is observed and the total space required for voucher column family is calculated.

Average size of key: 64 bytes
Average size of date: 32 bytes
Average size of batchid: 32 bytes
Average size of state: 32 bytes
Average size of serial_number: 64 bytes

All the columns are expiring so 8 bytes per column extra space.
The usable space for Voucher column family is thus 264 bytes per row.

3. Calculate row overhead and column overheads. Row overhead = 23*number_of_rows. Column overhead = 23 bytes per column (expiring column), 15 bytes per column (regular). To this we add the column name size and column value size.

4. Bloom filters are calculated using the formula: ceiling of ((number of rows * log(false-positive)) / log(1.0 / (pow(2.0, log(2.0)))). This formula is the standard bloom filter calculation formula. The false positive value is 0.01.

5. The size of indexes are calculated as number_of_rows*(10+length_of_primary_key).

6. Size-tiered compaction strategy is selected. The free space plus the sum from step 6 in total is 1.5* (size_of_data)

7. Multiply the replication factor of 3.

8. Applying the format disk overhead for windows OS i.e. 4.8% of disk space when size is in MB to the total disk space.

9. Final disk space is applied by adding all of the factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Use Case 1</th>
<th>Use Case 2</th>
<th>Use Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usable disk size per row</td>
<td>264 bytes</td>
<td>264 bytes</td>
<td>264 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rows</td>
<td>10 million</td>
<td>5 million</td>
<td>1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total usable space</td>
<td>2517.7 MB</td>
<td>1258.85 MB</td>
<td>251.8 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row Overhead</td>
<td>219.3 MB</td>
<td>109.7 MB</td>
<td>21.9 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Overhead</td>
<td>1096.7 MB</td>
<td>548.3 MB</td>
<td>109.7 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom filters</td>
<td>11.43 MB</td>
<td>5.71 MB</td>
<td>1.14 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexes</td>
<td>705.7 MB</td>
<td>352.9 MB</td>
<td>70.6 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Indexes</td>
<td>0 MB</td>
<td>0 MB</td>
<td>0 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After applying Compaction</td>
<td>6826.2 MB</td>
<td>3413.2 MB</td>
<td>682.6 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy(Size-tiered)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying Replication factor</td>
<td>20478.6 MB</td>
<td>10239.6 MB</td>
<td>2047.9 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formatted disk overhead</td>
<td>21,461.6 MB</td>
<td>10,731.1 MB</td>
<td>2,146.2 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total size</td>
<td><strong>20.95 GB</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.48 GB</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.1 GB</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed Size on Voucher</td>
<td><strong>20.11 GB</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.97 GB</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.99 GB</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Comparing observed and calculated sizes.

It can be observed that there is approximately 5% difference between the calculated total size and the observed size of the disk storage. So, using this model the disk space required for Cassandra deployment can be predicted with almost 95% accuracy.
6 Discussions and Validity Threats

6.1 Discussions
The capacity planning model proposed in this research predicts the disk storage with 95% accuracy. This model is based on all the exhaustive factors which affect the disk storage in Cassandra. Interviews were conducted on the employees of Ericsson R&D, India. All the interviewees were part of the Cassandra team at Ericsson with prior experience with Cassandra. From the interviews the factors affecting the disk storage were extracted. Now based on these factors and literature review on Datastax Cassandra documentation parameters affecting these factors are identified and a model is built on these parameters. This model takes the input parameters and generated the output which is the predicted disk space.

It is seen that some interviewees mentioned factors such as row caches, key caches, commit logs as potential factors affecting the disk space. In the literature it is seen that the effect of these factors is negligible. Therefore these are not considered while building the model.

6.2 Validity threats
Validity threats in the research are identified and listed in this section. The different types of threats to validity in this research are as following.

6.2.1 Internal threats
Internal validity threats are those which are caused due to the treatment of the subjects in the research and happen without researcher’s knowledge[13]. In this research, the researcher specifically asks the interviewee’s questions related to Cassandra capacity planning and scope of improvement. Based on how the questions were asked the results might vary. To ensure this same questions and same treatment was done for all the interviewees. This ensured that the all the interviewees only shared their opinion on inefficient capacity planning and issues faced by them. In this way the internal threats were controlled.

6.2.2 External threats
In a case study, the typical external validity threat could be that the results and data obtained from the research are applicable only to the selected case and its unit of analysis. Some results are generic and can be applied to other suitable cases and their respective unit of analysis. This has been minimized by detailed explanation of case and unit of analysis by which only suitable cases can generalize the results.

Another external threat is that this model is validated on only one application. Since the Case study is performed on only one application, the results cannot be assumed to be working for all types of applications.

6.2.3 Construct validity
A potential threat in this research could be that the results from the data analysis are not suitable and sufficient for the study. It is seen that all the results from the research are authenticated with data triangulation i.e. we verify the information from standard documents, direct information and interviews. When the information is authenticated in these three ways, the results are published. So the construct validities were and identified before causing any risk to the research.
6.2.4 Reliability

The research is said to be reliable when the researcher is able to reduce the biases in the study[12]. This research was performed under certain conditions such as interviewing limited interviewees, analyzing model on few use cases etc. and the replication of the same is not encouraged as the interviewees and analysis might differ. The reliability is achieved by designing the case study efficiently and reducing all possible biases. The case study design in detail can be found in section 3.1. In this way threats are identified and reliability is created on the study and its results.
7 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions and feasible future works are discussed in this section.

7.1 Conclusions

It is seen that the proposed model predicts the disk space with 95% accuracy thus enhancing the capacity planning process. It is also seen that commit logs and Row/Key caches are not included in the model as there is no specific size for them and can be tuned based on the products requirements. Commit log stores logs about the data written onto the Cassandra and Row/Key caches are used while data is read from Cassandra. Apart from them the other factors which were identified were incorporated in the model and the disk space was predicted. There were in total 9 Cassandra specific factors and 3 product specific factors. From these factors a total of 10 input parameters were analyzed. It is concluded that the

7.1.1 Relating to the research questions

The research questions are answered as following using the case-study.

**RQ1:** What are the different application specific and Cassandra specific factors which would affect the disk storage of Cassandra storage system?

**Answer for RQ1:** From the analysis of the responses given by the interviewees, different factors were identified which affect the disk space in Cassandra. They were grouped into two categories namely, Cassandra-specific and Product-specific factors. In total 9 Cassandra-specific and 3 product-specific factors were identified. The Cassandra specific factors are: Free space for compaction, Row Overhead, Column Overhead, Row key or Primary key, Commit logs, Bloom filters, Row cache and Key cache. The product specific factors are: Column families’ number, Indexes and secondary indexes, Replication Factor, Column family schema and Format-disk overhead.

**RQ2:** How is the newly proposed Capacity planning model planned to build using the extracted factors?

**Answer for RQ2:** From the factors, parameters were extracted which affect the factors. These were the inputs to the model. Calculations were made using the parameters for the total disk space. The output from the model is the predicted disk space for Cassandra deployment. The resulted model predicted disk space for Ericsson’s voucher system with a 95% accuracy.

7.2 Future Work

Cassandra has a feature of storing dynamic rows i.e. a row can be updated by adding additional information in column. For example consider the scenario of a column-family employee where we have field for email-id. A typical row in this column family looks like this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Id</th>
<th>Employee name</th>
<th>Employee Email-id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eabcxyz</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert@xyz.com">robert@xyz.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now, if he adds another email id to his details, then the row would be in this way.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Id</th>
<th>Employee name</th>
<th>Employee Email-id</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eabcxyz</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert@xyz.com">robert@xyz.com</a>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert@abc.com">robert@abc.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this way the row size is increased dynamically. The disk space for such columns is not considered in this research. The schema for the voucher column-family has static columns. In future capacity planning for dynamic rows can be added. This would make the model efficient.

Also, Cassandra accepts data in a column family with dynamic schema i.e. addition or deletion of columns for a specific row(s). These constraints are not considered. To enhance the efficiency, these constraints can be added to the future model. There is 5% difference in the observed and calculated sizes which can be reduced in the future to make the capacity planning process more efficient.
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APPENDIX

1. Initial Questionnaire

This is the initial questionnaire which was asked to the interviewees. This was refined whenever there was feasibility and after a saturation point the refined questionnaire was formed.
2. Validation of the Codes

This form was used for validation of the codes extracted from the interviews.