Genome and physiology of the ascomycete filamentous fungus *Xeromyces bisporus*, the most xerophilic organism isolated to date
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Summary

*Xeromyces bisporus* can grow on sugary substrates down to 0.61, an extremely low water activity. Its genome size is approximately 22 Mb. Gene clusters encoding for secondary metabolites were conspicuously absent; secondary metabolites were not detected experimentally. Thus, in its ‘dry’ but nutrient-rich environment, X. bisporus appears to have relinquished abilities for combative interactions. Elements to sense/signal osmotic stress, e.g. HogA pathway, were present in *X. bisporus*. However, transcriptomes at optimal (~ 0.89) versus low a_w (0.68) revealed differential expression of only a few stress-related genes; among these, certain (not all) steps for glycerol synthesis were upregulated. *Xeromyces bisporus* increased glycerol production during hypo- and hyper-osmotic stress, and much of its wet weight comprised water and rinsable solutes; leaked solutes may form a protective slime. *Xeromyces bisporus* and other food-borne moulds increased membrane fatty acid saturation as water activity decreased. Such modifications did not appear to be transcriptionally regulated in *X. bisporus*; however, genes modulating sterols, phospholipids and the cell wall were differentially expressed. *Xeromyces bisporus* was previously proposed to be a ‘chaophile’, preferring solutes that disorder biomolecular structures. Both *X. bisporus* and the closely related xerophile, *Xerochrysium xerophilum*, with low membrane unsaturation indices, could represent a phylogenetic cluster of ‘chaophiles’.

Introduction

Water is an essential component of all active cells as it is the matrix in which cellular reactions occur. Availability of water can be limited by a low relative humidity, e.g. in hot, dry deserts, or when water is bound up in ice or by a high concentration of solutes (e.g. in saltmarts and at high sugar concentrations) (Williams and Hallsworth, 2009; Gostincˇar et al., 2010). Microorganisms have developed various strategies to grow in each of these conditions, and fungi are among those best adapted to growth when little water is available. *Xeromyces bisporus* is an ascomycete filamentous fungus that has the unique trait of being, arguably, the most xerophilic (‘dry-loving’) organism discovered to date (Pitt and Christian, 1968; Grant, 2004; Williams and Hallsworth, 2009; Leong et al., 2011). Well-known desiccation-resistant organisms such as tardigrades and resurrection plants enter a cellular dormancy that is broken when cells are rehydrated; however, *X. bisporus* actively grows in conditions of decreased water availability. Indeed, it has an absolute requirement for lowered water availability in order to grow and has an optimal water activity for growth around 0.85 (where water activity, a_w, is defined as the vapour pressure of water...
above a sample divided by that of pure water in the sample, pure water having $a_w = 1$ (Grant, 2004). In this way, *X. bisporus* shares its preference for decreased water availability with other extremophiles, such as the halophilic microbiota of salt pans (bacteria e.g. *Salinibacter ruber*, archaea e.g. *Haloquadratum walsbyi*, the alga *Dunaliella salina*, yeasts e.g. *Hortaea werneckii*; Ma et al., 2010). But unlike the halophiles, *X. bisporus* prefers sugars or glycerol as a solute in the growth medium, and given such conditions can even grow at 0.61 $a_w$ (Pitt and Christian, 1968; Leong et al., 2011), lower than any other organism reported to date. As a point of reference, 0.61 $a_w$ is roughly equivalent to an osmotic pressure on the fungal hyphae of $-67$ MPa cf. the permanent wilting point of terrestrial plants at $-1.5$ MPa (0.99 $a_w$). The majority of *X. bisporus* strains have been isolated from high-sugar foods, including dried fruits (Pitt and Hocking, 2009), and thus, wizened berries and fruits are likely to be the natural habitat for this fungus.

We sequenced and assembled the genome of *X. bisporus*, and annotated the genome carefully in order to gain insight into its xerophilic lifestyle. We also generated RNA-Seq data from two different conditions, namely optimal $a_w$ (0.89) versus low, stressed $a_w$ (0.68). We interrogate these data from two perspectives: potential for interaction of the extremophile, *X. bisporus*, with other extremophiles in its environment via production of secondary metabolites; and physiological responses of *X. bisporus* to growth in conditions of extreme low-water stress.

### Results and discussion

Taxonomically, *X. bisporus* is placed in Eurotiales, in which a number of other species are also xerophiles (Pettersson et al., 2011). The size of the assembly (22 Mb; Table 1) is comparable with other closely related species (Fig. 1). The k-mer based estimate of true genome size reported by the assembly software (NEWBLER 2.5; Roche Diagnostics Corporation) is slightly larger at 24.8 Mb. One method of assessing the quality of the assembly is to use CEGMA to search for genes
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a. As estimated by CUFFLINKS.

secondary metabolites; and physiological responses of *X. bisporus* to growth in conditions of extreme low-water stress.
common to all eukaryotes (248 ultra-conserved core eukaryotic genes), i.e. if the genome is completely assembled, those genes are expected to be present. In our assembly, the CEGMA value was approximately 94% (present as complete genes) and 97% if we include those present as partial genes. This tells us that gene space is almost completely assembled and that the smaller size of the assembly compared with the estimated genome size (22.0/24.8 Mb) can be explained by mostly non-coding regions missing from the assembly (in all likelihood repeats). Thus, we deemed the quality of our assembly to be appropriate for gene finding. The genome sequence data have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (EMBL) under accession number PRJEB6149.

Minimal production of secondary metabolites

Secondary metabolites can be defined as small molecule chemical differentiation products (Luckner et al., 1977) that are outwards directed and first of all involved in biotic interactions (Meinwald, 2009). Zac and Wildman (2004) emphasized that stress-selected and ruderal-selected fungi produce very few secondary metabolites and exoenzymes, whereas competition-selected fungi need to produce a large number of secondary metabolites and exoenzymes. Indeed a primarily stress and exoenzymes. Indeed a primarily stress and 
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secondary metabolism in comparison with close relatives in *Aspergillus* and no evidence of functional secondary metabolite clusters. Such changes in secondary metabolite clusters may have a specific ecological significance among xerophilic fungi, as these are in general quite poor producers of secondary metabolites. For example, members of *Aspergillus* section *Restricti* have only been reported to produce asperglaucide and arestrictin A and B and cristatin A (Itabashi *et al.*, 2006). Other closely related xerophilic species, such as *Aspergillus* section *Aspergillus*, formerly called *Eurotium*, produce ascomata (sexual structures) with many more secondary metabolites (Slack *et al.*, 2009), whereas strains with only an *Aspergillus* state (i.e. *A. proliferans*) produce as few secondary metabolites as *A. restrictus*. The ascomata of *X. bisporus* are colourless, and apparently no secondary metabolites are produced in these ascomata. A common ancestor of these Trichocomaceous fungi probably produced protective secondary metabolites in the ascomata, but why *Xeromyces* lost the ability and *Eurotium* species still have the ability to produce many secondary ascomatal metabolites is still not known. Future comparisons with genome-sequenced *Eurotium* species may help explain this.

It could be argued that sexual reproduction itself, like the production of secondary metabolites, is also an unnecessary expense of energy for a species adapted to a relatively stable environment. This is noted for the strict

### Table 2. Presence in *X. bisporus* of secondary metabolite clusters and associated backbone genes reported from *A. nidulans* (Inglis *et al.*, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Backbone enzyme</th>
<th>Size of cluster in <em>A. nidulans</em> (nr. of genes)</th>
<th>Size of orthologous cluster in <em>X. bisporus</em></th>
<th>SMURF result for <em>X. bisporus</em> orthologue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AN0607 (sidC)</td>
<td>AN0607</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>NRPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN5318</td>
<td>AN5318</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NRPS-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN5610</td>
<td>AN5610</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN6236</td>
<td>AN6236</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NRPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN6791</td>
<td>AN6791</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN7489 (mirC)</td>
<td>AN7489</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PKS-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN10297</td>
<td>AN10297</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NRPS-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN10396</td>
<td>AN10396</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN10430</td>
<td>AN10430</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN0653-AN0660</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN0042-AN0044</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NRPS, non-ribosomal peptide synthase; PKS, polyketide synthase.

Fig. 2. A genome alignment between *A. nidulans* and *X. bisporus* for the region where the asperthecin secondary metabolite gene cluster is present in *A. nidulans*. The neighbouring genes are syntenically preserved in both species (orthologous relationships between genes coloured in blue), but the asperthecin cluster (coloured in red) is not present in *X. bisporus*. The genome assembly for *X. bisporus* was validated using read coverage, and it was concluded that misassembly could not explain the missing cluster.
Table 3. Number of secondary metabolite clusters and backbone genes in Aspergillus spp. as predicted by SMURF (from Inglis et al., 2013) and X. bisporus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of SMURF predicted clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nidulans</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. niger</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. oryzae</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. bisporus</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sin1 signal transduction pathway, notable is the apparent absence of the membrane-spanning sensor, TcsB (sin1 in S. cerevisiae) in X. bisporus, although the other elements of the sin signal transduction pathway are present, including the cytoplasmic putative sensor NikA (Hagiwara et al., 2009). In S. cerevisiae, the absence of sin1, which negatively represses the HogA MAPK cascade, results in a lethal constitutive activation of the cascade (Maeda et al., 1994); however, the orthologous sensor TcsB does not appear to be critical for the osmotic response in Aspergillus, as a ΔTcsB mutant in A. nidulans did not differ from the wild-type in conditions of osmotic stress (Furukawa et al., 2002). This appears to also be the case in X. bisporus, in which TcsB is absent or at least is not present in our genome assembly.

We present data from the first differential transcriptome of a filamentous fungus in steady-state optimal conditions versus low water activity stress (Tables S2 and S3). Very few of the osmotic/oxidative stress signal generation, signal transduction and stress response elements present in Aspergillus appeared to be significantly upregulated in X. bisporus during growth at extremely low water activity, 0.68 (Fig. 3). The baseline expression levels of the signalling pathways are perhaps to be expected, particularly in steady-state conditions, as stress signals are conveyed via kinase activity and direct protein interactions, rather than high expression of the signalling pathway per se. An exception to this would be the upregulation of one of the HogA orthologues in W. ichthyophaga at hypo- and hyper-osmotic stress (Konte and Plemenitaš, 2013); however, this was not observed in X. bisporus. Figure 3 shows three genes that were significantly upregulated at low water activity: the transmembrane sensor, Msb2p, discussed above; catalase, CatA, to deal with reactive oxygen species; and, GfdA, a NAD+ dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase involved in metabolism of glycerol, the main compatible solute in X. bisporus (discussed in greater detail below). The three genes have a postulated role in growth during stress, but it is somewhat surprising that so few other osmotic stress genes were significantly differentially transcribed. Miskei and colleagues (2009) identified seven transcriptional activators and eight downstream genes proposed to be involved in the osmotic stress response. Of these, five activators were present in X. bisporus, including the key regulator MsnA (Msn2p, Msn4p in S. cerevisiae; Causton et al., 2001). Four of the eight proposed downstream genes were present in X. bisporus, of which only GfdA was significantly upregulated at low water activity. The baseline expression of the three other genes can be explained by our steady-state growth conditions on high sugar: GppA, glycerol 3-phosphate phosphatase is not rate limiting in glycerol synthesis (Påhlman et al., 2001); Pmp3 is a cation transporter (Navarre and Goffeau, 2000); and the

Xeromyces does not upregulate stress-response genes at extremely low water activity

In fungi, responses to osmotic stress centre on mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation of the key regulator HogA. This signal transduction cascade appears to be well conserved among fungi, ranging from the osmo-sensitive ascomycete Saccharomyces cerevisiae (see Saito and Posas, 2012), to basidiomycete osmophiles/halophiles W. sebi and W. ichthyophaga (Padamsee et al., 2012; Konte and Plemenitaš, 2013). HogA and other sensory, regulatory and downstream elements involved in stress response in Aspergillus were depicted by Miskei and colleagues (2009), and the majority of the osmotic stress response elements are also present in the genome of X. bisporus (Fig. 3; Table S1). The ShoA transmembrane sensor and signal transduction pathway are present, and the additional transmembrane sensor in this pathway, Msb2p, a transmembrane mucin that acts in concert with the actin cytoskeleton (Tatebayashi et al., 2007; Tanaka et al., 2014), was significantly differentially upregulated in X. bisporus (homologue of AN7041, 7-fold; Table S1).
Fig. 3. Osmotic stress sensing, signal transduction and response genes expressed in *X. bisporus* based on genes identified in *Aspergillus* species by Miskei and colleagues (2009). Genes present in *Aspergillus* but absent in *X. bisporus* are denoted by dotted lines. Genes somewhat upregulated or downregulated at 0.68 aw cf. 0.89 (optimum) are shown in pale pink or blue respectively. Only three genes in this schematic were significantly differentially transcribed [upregulated, marked with (!)], namely Msb2p, CatA and GfdA. In *A. nidulans*, ShoA and PbsA/B do not interact (Furukawa et al., 2005; denoted by ×); the status of such an interaction in *X. bisporus* is unknown.
Stl1 H+ symport/glycerol transporter is only transiently induced (Ferreira et al., 2005). The most highly up-regulated gene, an orthologue of AN5540, can also be attributed to our specific growth conditions with glucose: fructose in the low water activity medium, as this is putatively identified as a major facilitator superfamily transporter with similarities to a fructose transporter. It appears that the Na+ exporting ATPase, EnaA, which is critical for growth on salt by S. cerevisiae (Benito et al., 2002), is absent in X. bisporus, which may explain its poor growth in the presence of salts. In contrast, metal ion transporters such as Ena are both differentially expressed and present in multiple copy in the halophiles H. werneckii (Gunde-Cimerman and Plemenitaš, 2006; Lenassi et al., 2013) and, to a lesser extent, W. ichthyophaga (Zajc et al., 2013).

The common genes that are differentially expressed in response to a variety of stresses, including osmotic stress, have been termed the environmental stress response, and typically, genes associated with carbohydrate and protein metabolism, intracellular signalling, and damage by ROS and to DNA are upregulated under stress, whereas protein synthesis and growth related processes are downregulated (Saito and Posas, 2012). Using the enrichment test in BLAST2GO (Conesa et al., 2005), no gene ontology (GO) terms in the X. bisporus transcriptome were found to be enriched at low or optimal water activity compared with all annotated genes. In other words, no specific functions or processes seem to be upregulated at these conditions as judged from computational gene ontology. Manual inspection of the list of upregulated genes at low water stress yielded only two catalases, CatA (noted above) and CatB, and five genes putatively involved with DNA repair (orthologues of RSC1, YAF9, RAD52/radC and bimD; Table S2); whereas a number of superoxide dismutases were present in X. bisporus, none were differentially expressed. It is possible that the statistical tests for individual genes do not reveal subtle fluctuations in entire pathways. However, the overall impression given by the differentially transcribed is that of a fungus showing few signs of extreme osmotic stress, apart from decreased growth rate and increased glycerol production.

Two genes that were upregulated sevenfold at low water activity are connected to glycerol metabolism: glycerone kinase (orthologue of dak1) and NAD-dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GfdA; known as Gpd1 in S. cerevisiae, H. werneckii and W. ichthyophaga). These both have dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) as a substrate/product. DHAP is the transition molecule from which intermediates of glycolysis can be directed to glycerol production via either dihydroxyacetone (glycero) or glycerol-3-phosphate, both pathways being present in Aspergillus (Salazar et al., 2009), but only the latter pathway in S. cerevisiae and halophiles H. werneckii and W. ichthyophaga (Lenassi et al., 2011; Saito and Posas, 2012; Zajc et al., 2013). As in Aspergillus, putative enzymes to produce glycerol via both pathways are present in X. bisporus; however, only genes for the first steps, DHAP to either dihydroxyacetone (GfdA) or glycerol-3-phosphate (dak1), were significantly upregulated. An alternative FAD-dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (orthologue of gut2) was also transcribed but only slightly upregulated at low water activity (Fig. 4). This is in contrast to S. cerevisiae, which in response to osmotic shock showed an approximately fourfold increase in expression of both genes leading to the conversion of DHAP via glycerol-3-phosphate to glycerol (Soufi et al., 2009). However, Pahlman and colleagues (2001) noted that overexpression of the glycerol-3-phosphatase (gpp) in S. cerevisiae did not enhance glycerol production, suggesting that this second step from glycerol-3-phosphate to glycerol is not rate limiting. The importance of the first step, DHAP to glycerol-3-phosphate, is also suggested by duplicate copies of gpd1 in the halophiles H. werneckii and W. ichthyophaga (Lenassi et al., 2011; Zajc et al., 2013); however, glycerol synthesis genes in X. bisporus appear to be present only in single copy.

In X. bisporus, two other genes products for glycolysis after the DHAP/glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate stage were also distinctly upregulated (eightfold): these were glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gpdA) and phosphoglycerate kinase (pgkA). It can thus be postulated that reactions leading to and from DHAP have a role in modulating glycerol flux at low water activity. Glycerol production may also be modulated at a post-transcriptional level via direct interaction of cytosolic signal transduction elements with enzymes (Bouwman et al., 2011). Indeed, glycerol has a key role in osmoregulation as it is the smallest of the sugar alcohols and confers the greatest reduction in water activity on a molar basis compared with the other sugar alcohols accumulated by fungi during osmotic stress (arabitol, erythritol and mannitol; Hallsworth, 1995). Xeromyces bisporus accumulates nearly solely glycerol as a compatible solute as its entire growth is shifted towards conditions of low water activity (Hocking and Norton, 1983).

Sparse mycelium of X. bisporus produces copious amounts of glycerol

Xeromyces bisporus followed the general pattern for fungi (Hocking and Norton, 1983; Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2009), and both produced and accumulated greater concentrations of glycerol at low water activity stress (0.69) than at optimal water activity (0.82–0.86) (Fig. 5). The common foodborne mould, Aspergillus niger, displayed a
similar trend (optimum > 0.98 \(a_w\), low water activity stress at 0.82–0.86). *Aspergillus niger*, can be described as xerotolerant rather than xerophilic because while it can grow at fairly low \(a_w\) ∼0.85 (Pitt and Hocking, 2009), maximal growth occurs at high \(a_w\). For both species, the majority of glycerol produced leaked into the agar as it is a small molecule and difficult to retain within the cell at high concentrations. Hocking (1986) noted that aging colonies re-absorbed glycerol that had been secreted into the medium; hence, samples in our study were standardized to colony diameter 40 mm (on a 90 mm agar plate), presumed to represent active linear growth, before reabsorption could occur. The xerophilic *X. bisporus* produced approximately twice the amount of glycerol per colony area than the xerotolerant *A. niger*. Furthermore, *X. bisporus* displayed an additional peak of high glycerol production in conditions of hypo-osmotic stress (0.94 \(a_w\), i.e. above its optimum).

We tested the hypothesis that increased glycerol production was due to increased mycelial density, i.e. that both species produced roughly equivalent amounts of glycerol per cell, but the mycelium of *X. bisporus* was more densely packed than that of *A. niger* for the same colony area. We compared the wet weights of similar size colonies grown in identical conditions (0.89 \(a_w\)). Indeed, the wet weight of *X. bisporus* mycelium was some 16% greater per square centimetre than that of *A. niger* (Fig. 6). The mycelia of both species at 0.89 \(a_w\) had a
dry, ‘washed’ weight of rinsable components contributed to the wet weight. The dried, to estimate the proportion that soluble, ‘washable’/were dried or, alternatively, rinsed with water and then membranes. These mycelia from similar-sized colonies moist, viscous consistency when harvested from the filter agar. Error bars denote standard deviation of duplicate samples.

Estimated partitioning of wet mycelial weight into water, washable/rinsable solutes and dry mycelial contents for X. bisporus FRR 525 and A. niger N400 grown to 40 mm diameter on MY50G agar. Error bars denote standard deviation of duplicate samples.

Fig. 6. Estimated partitioning of wet mycelial weight into water, washable/rinsable solutes and dry mycelial contents for X. bisporus and A. niger. Error bars denote standard deviation of duplicate samples.

membrane fatty acid saturation is increased at low water activity

Like the accumulation of compatible solutes such as glycerol (Grant, 2004), it is common for microbes to respond to osmotic stress by altering various membrane components, such as fatty acids, to hinder the massive efflux of water and compatible solutes from the cell (reviewed by Gostincˇar et al., 2009). Xeromyces bisporus and other ascomycete moulds commonly isolated from high sugar substrates displayed a trend to increase fatty acid saturation (i.e. decreased unsaturation index, UI) during growth at increasing sugar concentrations (decreasing water activities) when compared with the optimum (Fig. 8). The five species examined comprised one non-xerophile (Penicillium roqueforti, optimum aw ∼ 0.99), one xerotolerant species (A. niger) and three xerophilic species, X. bisporus, Xc. xerophilum and Eurotium

Fig. 7. Xeromyces bisporus CBS 328.83. CryoSEM investigation after 28 days of growth. The pictures show the presence of bundles of (A) hyphae, (B) an ascospore and (C) a stalked conidium. Bars = 20 μm (B, C), 100 μm (A). Reprinted from Pettersson and colleagues (2011) with permission from Elsevier.
amstelodami, with optimum $a_w < 0.95$ and good growth at 0.85 (Pitt and Hocking, 2009). The three xerophilic species all showed a fairly gradual decrease in UI over a broad range of water activities, whereas the non-xerophilic and xerotolerant species showed a sharp decline in UI when approaching the limits for their growth. These non-xerophilic/xerotolerant species decreased the amount of double-unsaturated linoleic acid (18:2) and correspondingly increased the mono-unsaturated oleic acid (18:1) (data not shown), likely leading to a more rigid membrane as part of their adaptive response. Similar trends of decreasing linoleic and increasing oleic acids also led to the gradual decrease in UI in the xerophilic species. Phylogenetic patterns in fatty acids profiles were also observed, with the related species A. niger, E. amstelodami and P. roqueforti displaying somewhat higher overall UI than those of the closely related, extreme xerophiles, X. bisporus and Xc. xerophilum. This raises the question if low UI per se is a mechanism for growth in conditions of extreme osmotic stress – both X. bisporus and Xc. xerophilum can grow below 0.7 $a_w$; however, despite their phylogenetic relatedness, the two extreme xerophiles differed in fatty acid profiles. Both contained a lower proportion of linoleic acid (10–30% of total fatty acids) than the Aspergillus/Penicillium group (30–65% of total); however, X. bisporus was characterized by the highest proportion of oleic acid (50–65% of total) among the five species examined, whereas Xc. xerophilum yielded the highest proportions of stearic acid (18:0, 15–20% of total) and palmitic acid (16:0, 15–25% of total), hence its overall low UI.

The increased saturation of membrane fatty acids as an adaptive response to osmotic stress has been described in the non-xerophilic (osmo-sensitive) model yeast, S. cerevisiae. In contrast, the halophilic yeasts, Debaryomyces hansenii and H. werneckii, tend to decrease saturation levels in more saline conditions, thus increasing membrane fluidity (Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2009). It has thus been proposed that the tendency for S. cerevisiae to increase, instead of decreasing, fatty acid saturation in response to osmotic stress leads to its osmo-sensitivity, but this is clearly not the case for the mould xerophiles, which appear to share the same strategy as S. cerevisiae. In the halotolerant Aureobasidium pullulans and halophilic H. werneckii, increases in fatty acid desaturation are associated with increased expression of ∆9-desaturase, ∆12-desaturase and elongase (Gostinčar et al., 2009); furthermore, in H. werneckii, these are present in duplicate copy in the genome (Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2009). In X. bisporus, the following genes encoding key enzymes of fatty acid synthesis and modification were all present as single copies in the genome and were actively transcribed: fasA fatty acid synthase, $α$-subunit; fasB fatty acid synthase, β-subunit; accA acetyl-CoA carboxylase; two elongases similar to FEN1 and ELO1 in S. cerevisiae; sdeA 9-stearic acid desaturase similar to OLE1 in S. cerevisiae; and odeA ∆12-oleic acid desaturase. None of these genes are differentially transcribed in X. bisporus at 0.68 $a_w$; thus, the activities leading to the observed modifications in fatty acids at low water activity are likely to be under post-transcriptional (allosteric) control, as is typical for such enzymes (Cossins et al., 2002; O’Quin et al., 2010).

Modification of fatty acid saturation is only one of the mechanisms to regulate membrane fluidity in response to osmotic stress. Other mechanisms include altering components such as the lipid head group, or sterol-phospholipid ratio, the latter employed by the halophilic yeasts D. hansenii and H. werneckii (Gostinčar et al., 2009; Gunde-Cimerman et al., 2009). The transcriptome of X. bisporus suggests that such modifications could also be involved in its growth at low water activity, as a number of genes putatively involved in phospholipid, sterol and sphingoglycolipid metabolism are differentially expressed during growth at 0.68 $a_w$ (Table 4). The ergosterol biosynthetic pathway is modulated in such conditions by downregulation of two genes – IDI1, an
Table 4. Notable genes associated with membrane and cell wall structure significantly differentially expressed by *X. bisporus* during growth at 0.68 air compared with optimum ∼0.89 air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>A. nidulans best blast hit (systematic name)</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae best blast hit (standard name)</th>
<th>Differential expression (log2-fold)</th>
<th>Predicted protein function</th>
<th>Function of <em>A. nidulans</em> best blast hit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0003353</td>
<td>AN7625 INO1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Inositol-3-phosphate synthase</td>
<td>Putative myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism; intracellular, menadione stress-induced protein; palA-dependent expression independent of pH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0006068</td>
<td>AN6211 plaA*</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Phospholipase a2</td>
<td>Putative phospholipase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism; calcium-dependent phospholipase A2 activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0003810</td>
<td>−4.0</td>
<td>Lysophospholipase carboxylesterase family protein</td>
<td>Has domain(s) with predicted phosphoric diester hydrolase activity and role in lipid metabolic process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0001978</td>
<td>AN1855</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>Conserved hypothetical protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0008196</td>
<td>AN2261 PGS1</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>Cdp-diacylglycerol-glycerol-3-phosphate 3-phosphatidyltransferase</td>
<td>Putative phosphatidylycerine synthase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0001248</td>
<td>AN5599 SCT1</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase</td>
<td>Putative glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0004837</td>
<td>AN1671</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>Pap2 domain protein</td>
<td>Putative diacylglycerol pyrophosphate phosphatase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0002941</td>
<td>AN8216 swoH*/YNK1</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>Nucleoside diphosphate kinase</td>
<td>Putative nucleoside diphosphate kinase with a predicted role in phospholipid metabolism; required for normal hyphal growth and conidiation; mutants display increased hyphal cell lysis; transcript upregulated in response to camptothecin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0001074</td>
<td>AN6177 fioA</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Oxysterol binding protein</td>
<td>Putative flotillin orthologue, involved in maintenance of sterol-rich plasma membrane domains; required for apical localization of cell-end marker proteins; mutation causes abnormal hyphal morphology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0006913</td>
<td>AN9063 SWH1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Oxysterol binding protein</td>
<td>Orthologue(s) have cytosol localization, SWH1 also involved in sterol transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0009835</td>
<td>AN6506 ERG3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Sterol delta-desaturase</td>
<td>Putative C-4 sterol methyl oxidase with a predicted role in sterol metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0008571</td>
<td>AN432 MCR1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>NADH-cytochrome b5</td>
<td>Orthologue(s) have cytochrome-b5 reductase activity, role in cellular response to oxidative stress, ergoster biosynthetic process and integral to mitochondrial outer membrane, mitochondrial intermembrane space localization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0008091</td>
<td>AN7575 ERG26</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>C-3 sterol dehydrogenase</td>
<td>Putative C-3 sterol dehydrogenase with a predicted role in sterol metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0008055</td>
<td>AN1798 YEH2</td>
<td>−3.4</td>
<td>Ab-hydrolyase associated</td>
<td>Orthologue(s) have sterol esterase activity, role in cell wall mannospolysaccharide biosynthetic process, sterol metabolic process and integral to membrane, plasma membrane localization; YEH1 involved in sterol homeostasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00010929</td>
<td>AN579 IDI1</td>
<td>−2.2</td>
<td>Isopentenyl-diphosphate delta-isomerase</td>
<td>Putative isopentenyl-diphosphate delta-isomerase with a predicted role in sterol metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0006648</td>
<td>AN0918 SCS7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Fatty acid</td>
<td>Putative ceramide hydroxylase with a predicted role in sphingoglycolipid metabolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0002432</td>
<td>AN4992 LRO1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase</td>
<td>Orthologue(s) have phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase activity, role in triglyceride biosynthetic process and endoplasmic reticulum localization; LRO1 involved in ceramide metabolic process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell wall structure-glycolipids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0011803</td>
<td>AN4761 pmtB*/PMT1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Protein mannosyltransferase 1</td>
<td>Subfamily 1 protein O-mannosyltransferase; required for normal hyphal growth and conidiopeptide development, one of seven related proteins involved in O-glycosylation, which is essential for cell wall rigidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
isopentenyl-diphosphate Δ-isomerase (step 4 in the pathway from mevalonate to ergosterol) and ERG26, C3 sterol dehydrogenase/C4 decarboxylase (steps 12 and 17) – and the upregulation of step 23, ERG3 Δ-desaturase. Furthermore, an orthologue of flotillin is upregulated, floA, with the putative function of maintenance of sterol-rich plasma domains. Ceramides may also interact with ergosterol as structural molecules in the membrane, and two genes for ceramide metabolism were upregulated. Differential expression of a number of genes involved in cell wall structure and remodelling points to a role for cell wall rigidity/flexibility during osmotic stress, as noted in the extremely xerophilic yeast, Zygosaccharomyces rouxii (Přibylová et al., 2007), and the halophile W. ichthyophaga and related species (Kralj Kunčič et al., 2013; Zajc et al., 2014). Four mannosyltransferases, two of which appear to be a duplication of PMT1, were upregulated – these have predicted roles in O-glycosylation, which contributes to cell wall rigidity, as well as anchoring membrane proteins to the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane via glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor that attaches some membrane proteins to the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane.

Table 4. cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Differential expression (log2-fold)</th>
<th>Predicted protein function</th>
<th>Function of A. nidulans best blast hit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00005453</td>
<td>AN1811</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Gpi mannosyltransferase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0001557</td>
<td>AN4761</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Subfamily 1 protein O-mannosyltransferase, required for normal hyphal growth and conidiophore development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0002231</td>
<td>AN4947</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Dolichol-phosphate mannosyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00008055</td>
<td>AN1798</td>
<td>−3.4</td>
<td>Ab-hydrolase associated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00008038</td>
<td>AN1551</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Putative beta-glucosidase with predicted role in degradation of glucans; covalently bound cell wall protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00004183</td>
<td>AN2582</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Has domain(s) with predicted role in cell wall macromolecule catabolic process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0001977</td>
<td>AN10243</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Inositol-pentakisphosphate 2-kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_0000460</td>
<td>AN3148</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Orthologue(s) have role in fungal-type cell wall organization and cytoplasm, nucleus localization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00002853</td>
<td>AN0472</td>
<td>−2.2</td>
<td>Endo-beta-glucanase eng1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBISP_00010798</td>
<td>AN3112</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>UDP-galactopyranose mutase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Xeromyces bisporus as a model chaophile

In their characterization of known and novel xerophiles from high- and low-solute environments, Williams and Hallsworth (2009) proposed that X. bisporus exemplifies a new class of stress-tolerant organisms, chaophiles (Hallsworth et al., 2007), which show a physiological preference for chaotropic conditions, i.e. conditions that disorder rather than stabilize macromolecular structures. Their classification of X. bisporus as a chaophile was based on its growth on glycerol-supplemented medium at 0.653 $a_w$ (20.80 kJ kg$^{-1}$; three of four strains tested), glycerol being a highly chaotropic solute at high concentrations. In addition, optimal growth of X. bisporus at low $a_w$ occurred at 30°C, instead of around 22°C for the xerophiles from other genera – high temperature, again, pointing to increased disorder of cellular structures. In contrast, growth of other xerophiles at their lowest $a_w$ limits was only possible on media containing mixed kosmotropic and chaotropic solutes, i.e. close to neutral chaotropicity. Our lowest $a_w$ media contained glucose : fructose, somewhat chaotropic; and on such media, X. bisporus preferentially synthesized and then leaked or secreted glycerol over its entire $a_w$ range. Chin and colleagues (2010) reported that retarded growth at low temperatures (kosmotropic conditions, generating highly ordered macromolecular structures) by the xerophilic isolate JW07JP13 (species not stated) was better ameliorated by accumulation of chaotrophic fructose from the medium than by internal synthesis of glycerol. Preferential accumulation of fructose over glucose from the medium was not observed for X. bisporus growing at low water activities on glucose : fructose medium (data not shown). Instead, it synthesized glycerol, in keeping both with glycerol’s efficacy as a compatible solute, and with the proposed classification of X. bisporus as a chaophile preferring high concentrations of this solute, which is more chaotropic than fructose ($\sim$6.34 versus $+4.56$ kJ kg$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$). Xerochrysium xerophilum (formerly C. xerophilum) is phylogenetically closely related to X. bisporus (Pettersson et al., 2011; Pitt et al., 2013), and Williams and Hallsworth (2009) reported one strain, FRR 0530, which grew better on glycerol at 0.686 $a_w$ (18.05 kJ kg$^{-1}$) than on other solutes. It was notable that both Xc. xerophilum and X. bisporus displayed lower UI of membrane fatty acids than Aspergillus and Penicillium. Perhaps the plasma membrane rigidity conferred by highly saturated fatty acids is partly counteracted by the accumulation of destabilizing, chaotropic glycerol, as a means to maintain membrane permeability even at low $a_w$. Both species also have a preference for 30°C when growing at $a_w$ minima (Leong et al., 2011; Pitt et al., 2013), a temperature that is thought to increase disorder of cellular structures (Williams and Hallsworth, 2009). If so, then perhaps Xeromyces and Xerochrysium may represent a new phylogenetic cluster of chaophiles.

Conclusion

The high sugar environment which is the niche of X. bisporus is unique among low-water habitats in that nutrients are available in abundance. The fruits and berries which are its presumed natural habitat begin first as an open habitat, capable of supporting a diverse microbiota (Cray et al., 2013a). Xeromyces bisporus is, in practice, never isolated from this open habitat, and we have demonstrated at the genomic level that it is a true S-strategist, showing the apparent loss of all gene clusters to produce secondary metabolites, key molecules for competition and interaction with other organisms. It is only upon senescence and drying of the fruit, which concentrates the sugars and decreases the water activity that the habitat becomes closed and X. bisporus begins to thrive. Indeed, this narrow but comfortable niche has driven few notable changes in its genome and transcriptome – it is a sexually reproducing species, with functional genes for growth in osmotic stress conditions, but only in single copy. Even at very low 0.68 $a_w$, a clear transcriptomic stress response is notable only by its absence. If microbial weeds are those that come to dominate open habitats, having combative traits and being able to quickly adapt to a variety of stresses (Cray et al., 2013a), then X. bisporus must represent a ‘non-weed’ at the other end of the spectrum – non-combative and apparently unstressed in its extreme low-water environment. It has a generous attitude to potential competitors – they are welcome to share the nutrients only if they can grow with so little water available.

Given that X. bisporus is so similar at the genomic level to, say, Aspergillus spp., how can it thrive at so much lower $a_w$? Two distinctive physiological traits hint at possible strategies. First, X. bisporus appears to constitutively produce excessive amounts of glycerol, increasingly so in conditions of hyper- or hypo-osmotic stress. So much is produced that leaked or secreted solutes and their associated water comprise the bulk of the mycelial wet weight. This strategy could be employed by X. bisporus to modulate the micro-environment around its mycelium. Second, a low UI of membrane fatty acids, coupled with high glycerol content, and modifications to phospholipids, sterols and cell wall, could point to a unique ‘chaophilic’ stress response. Xeromyces bisporus and Xc. xerophilum are model candidates for defining the molecular mechanisms that support the chaophilic lifestyle.

Experimental procedures

**Genome (growth, DNA extraction, sequencing)**

Xeromyces FRR 525 was grown on MY50G ($\sim$ 0.89 $a_w$; Pitt and Hocking, 2009) on filter membranes (40 mm diameter,
hydrophilic mixed cellulose esters, Metricel®, Pall Corporation, MI). Inocula were prepared in 60% glycerol (w/w), and 5 µl was placed in the centre of each membrane, two membranes per 90 mm Petri dish. Plates were incubated at 30°C until the colonies covered the membranes, after which mycelium was harvested from the surface of the membranes for the DNA extraction. Mycelium was re-suspended and washed in TE buffer prior to phenol-chloroform extraction as described by Sambrook and Russell (2001). The DNA pellet was re-suspended in sterile de-ionized water, and aliquots containing 10 µg of pure DNA were sent for whole genome sequencing. In total, three genomic libraries were sequenced: (i) shotgun DNA library, single-end, on Roche 454 FLX at SmI sequencing facility, Stockholm, Sweden at 15× coverage; (ii) Roche 454 FLX mate-pair library with 5 Kb inserts at National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI), SciLifeLab sequencing facility at KTH, Stockholm, Sweden at 5× coverage; and (iii) Illumina paired-end library with 110 + 110 bp on HiSeq 2000 at SNP&SEQ facility of NGI-SciLifeLab Uppsala, Sweden at 100× coverage, following the standard protocols. Data were uploaded to the UPPMAX computation facility at Uppsala University, Sweden.

Transcriptome (growth, RNA extraction, sequencing)

Xeromyces bisporus FRR 525 was grown at optimal (~0.89 a_w) and low (0.68 a_w) water activities on filter membranes as described above; a glucose : fructose (1:1) mixture was used in the low a_w medium to aid dissolution of the solute. Plates were incubated at 30°C until the colonies covered the membranes (14 days for 0.89 a_w; and 70 days for 0.68 a_w), after which mycelium was harvested from three independent plates separately for each water activity and immediately homogenized in TRIzol® reagent (LifeTechnologies, Sweden). RNA was then extracted following the manufacturer’s protocol, dissolved in sterile de-ionized water and pooled from the three independent plates (per water activity). Pooled RNA samples were then sequenced on ABi SOLID 4 at the Uppsala Genome Center, NGI-SciLifeLab, Uppsala, Sweden. Prior to library construction, rRNA was removed by RIBOMINUS module (LifeTechnologies, Sweden), and mRNA libraries were sequenced using the standard stranded SOLID protocol, yielding 40 mln reads per pool. Data were uploaded to the UPPMAX computation facility at Uppsala University, Sweden.

Genome assembly

The genome was assembled using NEWBLER 2.5 (Roche Diagnostics Corporation) with the single- and paired-end 454 reads and default settings. The Illumina reads were quality-filtered, and sequencing adapters were removed using Trimmomatic 0.13 (Bolger et al., 2014). The reads were then used to correct for homopolymers and other sequencing errors in the genome assembly using NESONI 0.58 (http://www.vicbioinformatics.com/software.nesoni.shtml), with default settings. The genome was unmasked before annotation.

Genome annotation and analysis

Three separate lines of evidence were used to infer gene structures independently and then combined to create a reference annotation. First, fungal protein sequences were extracted from Refseq and aligned to the genome using EXONERATE (Slater and Birney, 2005) to resolve introns. Then the self-training gene finder GENEMARK-ES (Ter-Hovhannisyan et al., 2008) was used to find genes de novo. Transcripts were also assembled de novo from our SOLID RNA-seq reads using a combination of OASES (Schulz et al., 2012) and VELVET (Zerbino and Birney, 2008), and cleaned using SEQCLEAN (http://sourceforge.net/projects/seqclean/). The resulting transcripts were aligned to the genome using PASA (Haas et al., 2003), which also joins overlapping transcripts when necessary. The protein alignment, the de novo predictions and the aligned transcripts were then combined into single gene models using EVIDENCE MODELER (Haas et al., 2008). To ensure that novel transcripts also were included, CUFFLINKS 2.01 (Trapnell et al., 2010) was run without a reference annotation to assemble expressed transcripts based on TOPHAT 1.3.2 (Trapnell et al., 2009) alignments of the SOLID RNA-seq reads. Finally, the CUFFLINKS annotation was merged with the EVIDENCE MODELER annotation into a final annotation using Cuffmerge, also in the CUFFLINKS package. The annotation GFF3-file is available for download at doi:10.5879/BILS/ga00001.

Functional annotation was done using the web-service BLAST2GO (Conesa et al., 2005). The built-in InterProScan function was used to add additional GO terms based on inferred functional domains.

Differential expression

Cuffdiff in the CUFFLINKS 2.01 package was used to investigate differential expression based on the TOPHAT-mapped SOLID RNA-seq reads and the annotation described above and default values. Cuffdiff calculates a statistic for the significance of the observed change in expression and calls isoforms as significantly differentially expressed after a comparison with the false discovery rate (Trapnell et al., 2013). These significantly differentially expressed isoforms were then investigated manually to ensure that they were not incorrectly annotated. Enrichment of GO terms at low and optimal water activity compared with all annotated genes was investigated using the enrichment test function of BLAST2GO.

Screening for secondary metabolite production

Seventeen strains of X. bisporus were cultured and analysed for secondary metabolite production. The same strains were used by Pettersson and colleagues (2011) (Table S4). The strains were cultured on MYC50G: malt extract (OXOID, CM0059) 10 g; yeast extract (Biokar A1202HA) 2.5 g; corn steep liquor (Sigma C-4648) 5 g; ZnSO4.7H2O 0.001 g; CuSO4.5H2O 0.0055 g; Agar (BBB 10030 SO-Bi-Gel, Bie & Berntsen) 10 g; double-distilled water 450 ml. Components were steamed and mixed, and water added to 500 ml. Glucose (D+) (BDH 10117) 500 g was added with stirring. The medium was steamed for a further 30 min before pouring into 90 mm Petri dishes.

The cultures were incubated in the dark for 39 days at 30°C and 37°C. The cultures incubated at 37°C were not analysed because of very poor growth. From 30°C plates, 1.5–2 cm² of fungal culture and medium cut by scalpel and additional
mycelium from approximately two colonies (scraped by scalpel) were transferred to a 16 ml vial. One millilitre of extraction solvent [ethyl acetate–dichloromethane–methanol, 3:2:1 v/v/v, containing 1% (v/v) formic acid] was added and left for 60 min in an ultrasonic bath. The extracts were transferred to clean 2 ml vials and evaporated in a rotational vacuum concentrator (RVC, Christ Martin, Osterode, Germany). The residue was dissolved in 400 μl methanol, ultrasonicated for 10 min and filtered through a 0.45-μm PTFE syringe filter (SRI, Eatontown, NJ, USA).

All analyses were performed on an Agilent HP 1100 liquid chromatograph with a DAD system (Waldbronn, Germany). One microlitre of the extract was injected on an Agilent Hypersil BDS-C18 column with 3 μm particles, 125 × 2 mm I.D. column with an Agilent 10 × 2 mm HP Supersphere 100 RP18 guard column. The analysis was done at 40°C and a flow rate of 0.3 ml min⁻¹ with a water–acetonitrile gradient, starting at acetonitrile–water (15:85, v/v) going to 100% acetonitrile in 20 min, maintaining 100% acetonitrile for 5 min, before returning to the start conditions in 2 min and equilibrating for 5 min. Trifluoroacetic acid, 50 μl l⁻¹, was added to the water. The UV spectra were collected by DAD every 0.4 s from 200 to 600 nm with a resolution of 2 nm.

Glycerol production

Xeromycites bisporus strains FRR 525 and A. niger N400 were inoculated and grown at a range of water activities on filter membranes as described above, on agar media based on MY50G, with equal amounts of glucose and fructose as the controlling solutes. Plates were incubated at 30°C until the colonies covered the membranes (12–89 days for X. bisporus at 0.94–0.69 aₜ; 2–20 days for A. niger at 0.94–0.82 aₜ), after which mycelium and agar were harvested for analysis of glycerol content. At harvest, the water activity of the plates was measured by the dew-point technique (AquaLab CX-2, Decagon devices, Pullman, WA, USA).

Mycelia were scraped from the membranes with a spatula, transferred to a plastic tube and weighed. The membrane and agar under the colony plus a 5 mm margin were cut from the Petri dish, transferred to a stomacher bag and weighed. Samples were frozen at −20°C until analysis. Four replicate samples (membranes) were collected at each water activity.

Extraction of glycerol from mycelium was based on the method of Hallsworth and Magan (1997). Mycelia in 2 ml distilled water were sonicated for 4 min (with 1 s on/off pulse) using a Vibra CellVCX 400 (Sonics & Materials, Newton, CT, USA) equipped with a tapered microtip (6.5 mm diameter, amplitude 178 μm). Samples were cooled in an ice-water vessel during sonication. Mycelial extracts were boiled for 6.5 min, centrifuged at 2000 r.p.m. for 10 min to precipitate coarse debris, and filtered (0.2 μm) in preparation for HPLC analysis. As glycerol is highly water soluble and heat stable, recovery was presumed to be 100%.

Agar samples were homogenized with 20 ml distilled water (Stomacher 400, Seward, UK) for 2 min, and the extract collected after vacuum filtration through 1F paper (Munktell, Sweden). A 1 ml aliquot was filtered (0.2 μm) in preparation for HPLC analysis.

Glycerol in mycelial and agar extracts was quantified using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system. Extracts (5 μl) were injected onto a Rezex ROA-organic acid H⁺ column (300 × 7.8 mm, Phenomenex, Denmark) at 60°C with mobile phase 5 mM H₂SO₄ at 0.6 ml min⁻¹. Glycerol was detected with a refractive index detector and quantified by comparison with known standards.

Estimation of unwashed and washed mycelial dry weights

Mycelia of A. niger and X. bisporus grown on 40 mm filter membranes on MY50G were harvested as described above. Mycelia were transferred to pre-weighed filter membranes and the wet weight noted. To estimate the total (unwashed) dry weight, mycelia were directly dried overnight at 70°C. Alternatively, mycelia on filter membranes were first rinsed with 100 ml water using a vacuum filtration system, followed by drying in order to estimate the washed mycelial dry weight. Estimations were performed in duplicate.

Analysis of membrane fatty acids

Xeromycites bisporus strains FRR 525, FRR 2347 and CBS 328.83 were grown in approximately 50 ml liquid culture at 30°C on media based on MY50G, with differing amounts of glucose (or glucose : fructose mixture at aₜ ≤ 0.80) to generate water activities from 0.96 to 0.72. Cultures were inoculated in at least duplicates, and mycelia were harvested after an appropriate amount of growth was observed (49–306 days, depending on aₜ) by filtration through Miracloth (Calbiochem, EMD Chemicals, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and rinsing several times with distilled water. Excess water was pressed from the mycelial pellet, which was then freeze-dried. Membrane lipids were extracted from freeze-dried material according to Bligh and Dyer (1959) and methylated using boron trifluoride as described by Morrison and Smith (1964). Lipids were quantified by gas chromatography (Kaszynki et al., 2013) and the UI calculated.

Fatty acids (16:0, 18:0, 16:1, 18:1, 18:2 and 18:3) were identified and their relative amounts were determined from peak areas of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES). The UI was calculated as:

\[
UI = (\%16:1 + \%18:1) + (\%18:2 × 2) + (\%18:3 × 3)/100
\]

Membrane fatty acids of a number of other common spoilage moulds of varying xerophilicity were also analysed for comparison with X. bisporus, on similar media spanning the water activity range for each species. The strains and conditions examined were as follows: A. niger J681 (N400) at 0.99–0.80 aₜ; E. amstelodami CBS 518.65 at 0.98–0.78 aₜ; Xc. xerophilum CBS 153.67 at 0.98–0.78 aₜ; and P. roquefortii J268 (IBT 6754) at 0.99–0.88 aₜ.
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Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

**Fig. S1.** Example chromatogram obtained from culture extract of X. bisporus FRR20 grown on malt yeast corn steep agar with 50% glucose at 30°C for 39 days. Trace 1 shows HPLC-DAD1 A at 210 nm, and trace 2 shows HPLC-DAD1 B at 280 nm. The peaks at the beginning and end of the chromatogram are from the substrate.

**Table S1.** Genes involved in sensing, signal transduction and response to osmotic stress in X. bisporus based on those previously identified in *Aspergillus* spp. (Miskei *et al.*, 2009).

**Table S2.** Differentially expressed transcripts of *X. bisporus* FRR 525 at low water activity (∼0.89) compared with low (0.68). Table sorted in descending order on log2 fold change of expression. *Names given for best blast hits in* A. nidulans *and S. cerevisiae*.

**Table S3.** Differentially expressed transcripts of *X. bisporus* FRR 525 at optimal water activity (∼0.89) compared with low (0.68). Table sorted in descending order on log2 fold change of expression. *Names given for best blast hits in* A. nidulans *and S. cerevisiae*.

**Table S4.** Strains of *X. bisporus* assayed for secondary metabolite production by HPLC-DAD.