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ABSTRACT

Monoamine oxidases (MAOs) A and B are flavoenzymes responsible for the metabolism of biogenic amines such as dopamine, serotonin and noradrenaline. In this work, we present atomic details of the rate-limiting step of dopamine degradation by MAO B, which consists of the hydride transfer from the methylene group of the substrate to the flavin moiety of the FAD prosthetic group. This article builds on our previous quantum chemical study of the same reaction using a cluster model (Vianello et al., Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2012) 7057), but now considering the full dimensionality of the hydrated enzyme with extensive configurational sampling. We show that MAO B is specifically tuned to catalyze the hydride transfer step from the substrate to the flavin moiety of enzyme and that it lowers the activation barrier by 12.3 kcal/mol compared to the same reaction in aqueous solution, a rate enhancement of more than 9 orders of magnitude. Taking into account the deprotonation of the substrate prior to the hydride transfer reaction assuming 1.9 kcal/mol, the activation barrier in the enzyme is calculated to be 16.1 kcal/mol, in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 16.5 kcal/mol. Additionally, we demonstrate that the protonation state of the active site residue Lys296 does not have an influence on the hydride transfer reaction.
INTRODUCTION

Monoamine oxidases (MAO) A and B are flavoenzymes involved in the metabolism of biogenic amines that include the monoamine neurotransmitters dopamine, serotonin and some histamine metabolites.\(^1\)\(^2\) MAOs regulate the concentrations of neurotransmitters in the central and peripheral nervous systems, having a major impact on cardiac output, blood pressure, sleep, mood, cognition, and movement.\(^3\)\(^4\) The two isoforms, MAO A and MAO B, differ in tissue distribution, substrate selectivity and inhibitor susceptibility.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Inhibitors that act mainly on MAO A are used in the treatment of depression due to their ability to raise serotonin concentrations, while inhibitors of MAO B decrease dopamine degradation and improve motor control in patients with Parkinson disease.\(^9\) Inhibition of MAOs may also have a significant neuroprotective effect, since the products of its catalytic reaction are hydrogen peroxide, aldehydes, and ammonia, which contribute to oxidative stress in the cell.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^12\)

MAOs convert amines to the corresponding imines by essentially transferring two electrons and two protons from the substrate to the flavin moiety of the covalently bound FAD prosthetic group, transforming the latter to FADH\(_2\). The resulting imine non-enzymatically reacts with water, either in the enzyme or in the cytoplasm, and decomposes to the corresponding aldehyde and ammonia (Figure 1).\(^13\)

Despite widespread use of MAO inhibitors and numerous experimental\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^17\) and computational\(^18\)\(^-\)\(^21\) studies there is still no consensus about the catalytic mechanism on atomic scale. The fundamental catalytic proposals to date are 1) the polar nucleophilic mechanism,\(^14\) 2) the single electron transfer or radical mechanism,\(^16\) and 3) the hydride transfer mechanism\(^17\) (Figure 2).
It has been established by studies on deuterated substrate analogues that the rate-limiting step is the cleavage of a carbon-hydrogen bond vicinal to the amino group\textsuperscript{14,22} and hence the catalytic proposals differ in the nature of the hydrogen transfer, namely a proton transfer in 1), a hydrogen atom transfer in 2) and a hydride transfer in 3). While the single electron transfer mechanism has been mainly ruled out by EPR studies,\textsuperscript{23} stopped-flow kinetic determinations,\textsuperscript{24} lack of magnetic field influence on the reaction,\textsuperscript{25} and molecular simulations,\textsuperscript{26} the question whether MAOs operate by the polar nucleophilic or hydride transfer mechanism remains open. Miller and Edmondson\textsuperscript{14} proposed the polar nucleophilic mechanism on the basis of a structure-activity study on a series of para-substituted benzylamine analogues, which showed that electron-withdrawing groups enhanced the rate of the reaction in human MAO A.\textsuperscript{14} An analogous study performed on rat MAO A also showed a similar correlation, in line with the polar nucleophilic mechanism.\textsuperscript{27} Contrary to the general assumption that both isoforms utilize the same mechanism a study on human MAO B showed an inverse correlation,\textsuperscript{28} suggesting positive charge build-up at the transition state, thus supporting the hydride transfer mechanism. In this study, the authors proposed that the rate-limiting step in human MAO A is proton transfer, whereas in human MAO B it is hydride transfer. However, the same authors had previously ruled out hydride transfer in human MAO B based on the nitrogen secondary kinetic isotope effects.\textsuperscript{29}

On the basis of similarities in continuous wave electronic paramagnetic resonance spectrum between D-amino acid oxidase, which was determined to operate by a hydride transfer mechanism,\textsuperscript{30} and monoamine oxidase Kay et al. suggested that hydride transfer mechanism should be considered for MAO as well.\textsuperscript{31} The availability of high-resolution crystal structures of MAO A\textsuperscript{32} and MAO B\textsuperscript{33} has opened the possibility to study the catalytic reaction by computational approaches.\textsuperscript{18} In recent years, there have been several computational studies
showing the prevailing energetic feasibility of the hydride transfer mechanism.\textsuperscript{26,34,35} Our group performed the first quantum mechanical study that convincingly demonstrated the feasibility of hydride transfer mechanism and showed by the NBO analysis that, because of the strong electron donating ability of the vicinal amino group, the atomic charge on the α-carbon atom of the substrate remains negative upon the hydride anion abstraction, which explains why in some instances the reaction could be facilitated even by the electron withdrawing substituents,\textsuperscript{26} in line with experimental findings.\textsuperscript{14,27} We also proposed the formation of an adduct that follows the hydride transfer and the subsequent deprotonation from the amino group to the N1 atom of flavin, resulting in neutral imine and FADH$_2$ co-factor (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{26} Akyüz and Erdem later utilized the ONIOM QM/MM approach to assess the energetics of hydride transfer and also arrived to the conclusion that hydride transfer is the most likely mechanism.\textsuperscript{34} Following their previous study, Atalay and Erdem performed a comparative computational study on a series of model systems and again demonstrated the prevailing feasibility of the hydride transfer reaction over the proton transfer reaction,\textsuperscript{35} in agreement with our results.\textsuperscript{26} Recently, Abad et al. performed a QM/MM study on human MAO B and showed there is substantial charge transfer from the substrate to flavin in the reactants and concluded that these results support the polar nucleophilic mechanism.\textsuperscript{20} However, we must emphasize that in our previous study, using a QM cluster model of the MAO B active site, we did not observe any such charge transfer\textsuperscript{26} and that Abad et al. failed to obtain the substrate-flavin adduct, which was originally proposed to facilitate the proton transfer\textsuperscript{14} (Figure 2).

In this article, we extend our static cluster model study of the rate-limiting step in MAO B to a fluctuating, full enzyme model, evaluating free energies using a semi-empirical QM/MM approach with extensive configurational sampling. Specifically, the quantum subsystem was
described by the empirical valence bond (EVB) method of Warshel and co-workers, allowing for direct evaluation of the catalytic effect of MAO B by comparing the activation free energy in enzyme to the corresponding reference reaction in gas phase or aqueous solution. We show that the MAO B enzyme is specifically tuned to catalyze the hydride transfer step from the substrate to the FAD cofactor and that it lowers the activation barrier by 12.3 kcal/mol compared to the aqueous solution, a rate enhancement of more than 9 orders of magnitude. Additionally, we demonstrate that the protonation state of Lys296 does not have an effect on the reductive half-reaction of the enzyme.

**COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

Catalysis is the reaction rate enhancement relative to the reference reaction. The ideal reference state for an enzymatic reaction is the corresponding uncatalyzed reaction in aqueous solution, since biology occurs in aqueous solution. However, considering the lack of direct experimental observables in aqueous solution, our calculated reference state for the MAO B catalyzed reaction is the corresponding hydride transfer in vacuum, as this is the state for which one is most likely to obtain reliable estimates of the activation barrier using accurate quantum chemical approaches (see Results and Discussion). For the gas phase reference reaction between lumiflavin and dopamine we used the optimized geometries of the reactants and transition state from our previous study. The gas phase energetics of the reaction were calculated using the M06-2X density functional developed by Truhlar and co-workers in conjunction with the 6-31+G(d,p) basis set, using the Gaussian09 suite of programs. The identity of the transition state structure was confirmed by frequency analysis and intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC)
All energy values were corrected to include the thermal correction to the Gibbs free energy as described in reference 46.

For the subsequent empirical valence bond (EVB) calculations, we used the MOLARIS simulation package and the ENZYMIX force field, with EVB activation barriers being obtained using the standard free energy perturbation/umbrella sampling (FEP/US) approach outlined in reference 48. The atomic coordinates were obtained from the high-resolution (1.6 Å) crystal structure of MAO B in complex with 2-(2-benzofuranyl)-2-imidazoline, obtained from the Protein Data Bank (accession code 2XFN). The protein structure was prepared for EVB simulation in UCSF Chimera by removing water and hetero ligands (2-(2-benzofuranyl)-2-imidazoline and N-dodecyl-N,N-dimethyl-3-ammonio-1-propanesulfonate) and keeping only the side chains with the highest occupancy where multiple conformations were present in the crystal structure. The charges of all relevant structures were fitted to the electrostatic potential according to the CHelpG scheme with UFF radii and calculated at the (CPCM)/B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level, utilizing the conductor-like polarized continuum (CPCM) solvent reaction field model of Tomasi and co-workers, as implemented in Gaussian09 suite of programs. The dopamine substrate was manually placed into the MAO B active site, based on optimizing the interactions of the dopamine with its environment using chemical intuition. As there is room for substantial conformational variability within the binding pocket, multiple conformations of the dopamine were tested.

EVB calculations were performed in vacuo (the reference state calibrated to QM calculations), as well as in an all-atom representation of aqueous solution and in the MAO B active site, to examine the effect of changing the environment on the reaction barrier. For all EVB calculations we used the same EVB region, consisting of lumiflavin moiety and dopamine (FAD and
dopamine in the enzyme). The reactive system, except for gas phase calculations, was solvated according to the surface-constrained all atom solvent model (SCAAS), using a spherical cut-off of 20 Å measured from the centroid of the reacting atoms. The spherical droplet was embedded in a 3 Å cubic grid of Langevin dipoles (22 Å spherical cut-off), which was in turn placed in a continuum with the dielectric constant of water. The cut-off for long-range interactions was 10 Å and the neighbor list was updated every 30 steps. All systems were subsequently relaxed with a step size of 1 fs for 50 ps at 30 K, 100 ps at 100 K and 500ps at 300 K using the ENZYMIX force field as implemented in the MOLARIS simulation package. The relaxed system was mutated from a reactant diabatic state to a product diabatic state (Figure 4) in 51 mapping frames, each consisting of a molecular dynamics simulation of 30 ps, using a 1 fs time step, for a total simulation time of 1530 ps. The EVB simulation of the reactive trajectory was performed 10 times starting from different initial configurations, giving rise to ten independent free energy profiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EVB parameterization and calculation of the energetics of the gas-phase reference reaction

Evaluation of the catalytic effect of an enzyme requires a definition of the reference state. The ideal reference state for an enzymatic reaction is the corresponding uncatalyzed reaction in aqueous solution. The same mechanism is assumed to be operational in the gas phase, aqueous solution and in protein. To our best knowledge, there are no reports in the literature about the kinetics of uncatalyzed reactions between flavins and phenethylamines or suitable model compounds. Thus, an accurate value of the activation barrier in aqueous solution cannot be obtained, although there were several studies performed on other hydride transfer reactions.
between various substrates and NAD\(^+\) cofactor, using model systems.\(^{57-59}\) The work performed by Hamilton\(^{60}\) and later by Mariano\(^{61}\) has shown that flavins react with amines and alcohols in aqueous solution on a timescale of days, but no time-resolved reaction profile is available.

Considering the lack of solution-phase experimental data, we parameterized our EVB Hamiltonian to reproduce reliable M062X/6-31+G(d,p) energetics in vacuo (\(\Delta \mathit{G}^\dagger_{\text{gas}}\) and \(\Delta \mathit{G}^\circ_{\text{gas}}\)). The system was subsequently moved to aqueous solution and to the MAO B active site using the same parameter set. This is a valid approximation, due to the demonstrated phase-independence of the EVB off-diagonal (\(H_{ij}\)) coupling term.\(^{62}\) This approach allows us to 1) explore the effect of changing the environment both to aqueous solution and to the more complex environment of the MAO B active site, and also 2) to obtain an approximation of the catalytic enhancement relative to aqueous solution using the EVB calculations. The gas phase reference reaction is an established approach.\(^{63,64}\)

We have shown in our previous study using the cluster model that transfer of the hydride anion from dopamine to flavin is the rate-limiting step.\(^{26}\) To model the hydride transfer step by EVB, we need to know the activation free energy (\(\Delta \mathit{G}^\dagger_{\text{gas}}\)) and the free energy (\(\Delta \mathit{G}^\circ_{\text{gas}}\)) of the reference reaction. While it is possible to obtain \(\Delta \mathit{G}^\dagger_{\text{gas}}\), the calculation of \(\Delta \mathit{G}^\circ_{\text{gas}}\) is complicated by the fact that, in the gas phase, the resulting FADH\(^{+}\) anion and dopamine cation form an adduct immediately upon hydride transfer (Figure 5). The absence of a stable transient intermediate, which represents the EVB product of the hydride transfer step, can be explained by the high energetic penalty associated with separating the charges in the gas phase, as is also reflected in the very high endergonicity of the reaction of 24.9 kcal/mol. To approximate the relative energy of the transient intermediate we therefore selected the point in the IRC profile where the structure of FADH moiety matches the geometry of the gas-phase optimized FADH\(^{+}\).
Furthermore, the IRC profile shows a marked change in the potential energy surface around the same point, indicating a viable transient intermediate. We must emphasize, that calibrating the EVB reaction to reproduce the reaction free energy 5 kcal/mol higher or lower than the energy of the transient intermediate does not appreciably influence the catalytic effect of the enzyme. This indicates that an estimate, rather than a precise value, of free energy of the reaction in the gas phase, is sufficient to calculate the catalytic effect of the enzyme.

Full geometrical optimization of the reactant, the transition state, and the adduct, including the thermal correction to Gibbs free energy, shows that the transition state and the adduct are 32.8 kcal/mol and 10.0 kcal/mol higher in energy than the reactants, respectively. As stated before, the transient intermediate cannot be fully optimized due to the formation of the adduct during the optimization. Nevertheless, taking into account the thermal correction, the product is 24.9 kcal/mol higher in energy than the reactants. The EVB gas-phase shift and coupling parameter were thus parameterized to reproduce the $\Delta G^\ddagger_{gas}$ of 32.8 kcal/mol and the $\Delta G^\circ_{gas}$ of 24.9 kcal/mol.

**The effect of the aqueous solution and the enzyme environment**

Using the same parameters as in the gas-phase EVB simulation the calculations are repeated in the aqueous solution and in the protein to assess the effect of the environment on the energetics of the hydride transfer reaction. The results are summarized in Table II and Figure 6.

Studies of the hydride transfer on a series of NAD$^+$ analogues in aqueous solution have shown that the typical free energy barrier for hydride transfer is ~20 kcal/mol.$^{65,66}$ However, while FAD and NAD$^+$ analogues are both very good acceptors of the hydride ion, NADH is a significantly better hydride donor than the $\alpha$-CH$_2$ group of dopamine. On this basis, we assume that the barrier for the hydride transfer between dopamine and FAD must be considerably higher. Our
calculations in the aqueous solution confirm this assumption as the reaction has a barrier of 26.5 kcal/mol, while the free energy of the reaction is drastically lowered to 4.2 kcal/mol. The decrease in the activation barrier and an even more pronounced decrease in the free energy demonstrate the favorable effect of solvation on the hydride transfer reaction. Lending more credence to the proposed mechanism, the angle between the hydride donor atom, the hydride, and the hydride acceptor atom (C-H-N5 angle in Figure 7) in the transition state is 157.3 degrees, in perfect agreement with the theoretical study on hydride transfer between FAD and NADH analogues performed by Andrés et al.\textsuperscript{67} that obtained values ranging from 158 to 161 degrees employing various levels of theory.

Taking the reaction into the MAO protein environment, we see further reduction of the barrier to 14.2 kcal/mol, while the reaction becomes exergonic with the reaction free energy of -0.6 kcal/mol. The reduction in the activation barrier compared to the aqueous solution is 12.3 kcal/mol, which corresponds to a rate-enhancement of more than 9 orders of magnitude. The reaction is not overly exergonic, thereby avoiding product entrapment, which could occur in the case of excess reaction free energy.

Experimental studies have shown that the deprotonated form of the substrate is essential for the reaction, but that the substrate is likely bound to the active site in its protonated form.\textsuperscript{68} Therefore, an intraenzymatic deprotonation must take place, which can be accomplished by several water molecules present in the enzyme. We have calculated in a previous study, that the deprotonation of the enzyme-bound dopamine to the bulk solvent costs 1.9 kcal/mol in terms of free energy,\textsuperscript{69} which, added to the calculated barrier for the reaction of 14.2 kcal/mol, gives an activation free energy of 16.1 kcal/mol. The latter value is in excellent agreement with the
experimental value of 16.5 kcal/mol, strongly supporting the proposed hydride transfer mechanism.

We have also observed that, for the efficient catalysis, the amino group of the substrate must orient toward the O4 atom of FAD to allow for hydrogen bonding (Figure 7). There is also considerably more space on this side of the active site cavity compared to the other, which allows for better accommodation of the substrate and proper positioning for the hydride transfer. Studies have shown, that MAO preferentially cleaves the pro-R hydrogen atom (H atom in Figure 7)14,70 and in this configuration the pro-R hydrogen atom of the dopamine CH₂ group is perfectly aligned to enable the hydride transfer (Figure 7).

**The effect of Lys296 protonation state on the hydride transfer reaction**

The Lys296 residue is a part of the FAD-H₂O-Lys296 motif conserved in many flavin-dependent oxidases71 and might play a significant role in the MAO catalysis. Although there is substantial agreement in the flavooxidase community that the role of the lysine is to activate the oxygen and facilitate the regeneration of the enzyme and that it does not have a role in the oxidation of the substrate,11,12 we have performed our calculations with both the ionized and unionized form of Lys296 to assess the effect of the ionization state of this residue on the hydride transfer reaction.

We have shown in a very recent study, that the pKₐ of the Lys296 residue with dopamine docked in the active site 10.872 and is, thus, predominantly present in its charged form at the physiological pH, however, the preferred ionization state is not necessarily the catalytically active one. Our results show, that the protonation state of Lys296 does not affect the reductive half-reaction of MAO B and that the barrier for the reaction is virtually unchanged at 14.0
kcal/mol (Table II). This finding is in full agreement with the results of Henderson-Pozzi and Fitzpatrick, which showed that in mouse polyamine oxidase the protonation state of the corresponding lysine only affects the oxidative half-reaction.\textsuperscript{71}

CONCLUSION

By using the empirical valence bond QM/MM approach we showed that MAO B lowers the barrier of the hydride transfer reaction by 12.3 kcal/mol, what corresponds to a rate-enhancement of more than 9 orders of magnitude compared to the corresponding reaction in aqueous solution. The barrier for the enzymatic reaction starting from the deprotonated substrate is 14.2 kcal/mol. Taking into account the free energy cost of dopamine deprotonation in the active site prior to the enzymatic reaction the reaction barrier is 16.1 kcal/mol, in excellent agreement with the available experimental value of 16.5 kcal/mol.\textsuperscript{15} Moreover, we have also shown that the protonation state of Lys296 does not have an effect on the hydride transfer reaction in MAO B, which is consistent with the proposed function of the lysine as stabilizing the superoxide required for the regeneration of the enzyme. In conjunction with additional experimental and computational work, the data presented here improve the understanding of mechanism of the catalytic activity and irreversible inhibition of MAO B, which can allow for the design of novel and improved MAO B inhibitors for antiparkinsonian and neuroprotective use.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** The catalytic cycle of monoamine oxidases is divided into the reductive half-reaction, in which the substrate is metabolized, and oxidative half-reaction, in which the enzyme is regenerated by molecular oxygen. The grey area represents the enzyme interior while the red dot represents the rate-limiting step of the reductive half-reaction.

**Figure 2.** Three major catalytic proposals for the mechanism of monoamine oxidase. The pivotal point is the nature of the hydrogen transfer, namely a hydrogen atom transfer in the radical mechanism 1), a proton transfer in polar nucleophilic mechanism 2), and a hydride transfer in the direct hydride transfer mechanism 3).

**Figure 3.** The complete two-step hydride transfer mechanism. In the first step, the hydride is transferred from the substrate to flavin and subsequently a weak covalent adduct is formed. In the following step two water molecules assist a proton transfer from the substrate amino group to the N1 atom of flavin.

**Figure 4.** Diabatic reactant and product states for the rate-limiting step of the two-step hydride transfer mechanism. The product later forms an adduct as shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 5.** Intrinsic reaction coordinate profile for the reaction between dopamine and flavin model in the gas-phase. The structures corresponding to the red points were subsequently
optimized and thermal correction to Gibbs free energy was included, slightly changing the relative energies (See gas results in Table II.). Note that the transient intermediate in this figure corresponds to EVB product in the main text.

**Figure 6.** Empirical valence bond free energy profiles for the reaction in the gas-phase (grey), aqueous solution (blue), protein with unionized Lys296 (green), and protein with ionized Lys296 (violet). Note that due to similarity of the energetics for these last two scenarios the profiles almost completely overlap. $\varepsilon$ is the generalized reaction coordinate defined by the difference between the potential surfaces of the reactant and product state.

**Figure 7.** MAO B active site, showing the residues enclosing the active site. The active site residues are shown in gray, FAD prosthetic group in orange, dopamine in light blue, and Lys296 in violet. Note the direction the amino group is pointing to, which facilitates the positioning of the pro-R hydrogen atom for hydride transfer.
### TABLES

**Table I.** Gas-phase optimized energetics of the hydride transfer reaction between dopamine and flavin model at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) level. All values include the thermal correction to Gibbs free energy. Please note that the reactant correspond to the Michaelis complex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reactant</th>
<th>Transition state</th>
<th>Transient intermediate</th>
<th>Adduct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta G_{\text{gas}})</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>24.9(^{[a]})</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) Unoptimized geometry (For details see Results and Discussion.)

**Table II.** Empirical valence bond free energies relative to the reactant. The average over 10 runs is shown with the corresponding standard deviation in the parentheses for the activation free energy (\(\Delta G^\ddagger\)) and reaction free energy (\(\Delta G^\circ\)), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reactant</th>
<th>Transition state ((\Delta G^\ddagger))</th>
<th>Products ((\Delta G^\circ))(^{[c]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>32.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>24.9 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>26.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>-0.6 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein(^{[b]})</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>-1.6 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) Lys296 unionized during the simulation.

\(^{[b]}\) Lys296 ionized during the simulation.

\(^{[c]}\) Note that the product corresponds to the geometry of the transient intermediate.
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**Figure 1.**

![Diagram showing the reaction of RCH₂-NH₂ with FADH₂ and H₂O₂ to form RCHO and NH₃.](image)

**Figure 2.**

1) Radical mechanism

![Radical mechanism diagram](image)

2) Polar nucleophilic mechanism

![Polar nucleophilic mechanism diagram](image)

3) Direct hydride transfer

![Direct hydride transfer diagram](image)
Figure 3.

Reactant diabatic state  Product diabatic state

Figure 4.
Figure 5.
Figure 6.
Figure 7.