DiVA – A Well Rooted and Growing Platform

Open Archive
Research Information

2008 New version
> 17 members
> DIVA - A completely new version. New demands, - new development!
> The requirement of bibliometrics and evaluation of Swedish research led to a completely new DIVA system covering the entire research output from a University.
> The metadata have been extended to describe different types of research publications.
> Merged bibliometrics and open access.
> Built on open source software.

2003 DIVA Consortium founded
> 5 members
> The publishing platform/database is now in use at 5 different universities.
> To ensure that DIVA could communicate with other databases we created a rich metadata model and built services to facilitate the export and import of data.
> We wanted to facilitate the creation of external web interfaces, so we extended DIVA with different types of feeds.

2000 Doctoral Theses
> From the beginning, the focus was to develop a platform and workflows for publishing doctoral theses.
> From the start, we focused on long-term preservation.

1999 Pilot Study
A well prepared pilot study laid the foundation for the development.

2010 Increased visibility and usage
> 27 members
> A growing number of universities use DIVA.
> We ensured increased visibility of DIVA publications in search tools and services such as Google, Google Scholar, OpenAIRE, Europeana and many more, since this is where the casual user finds our work.

2013 Into the future
> 34 members
> Next steps within the DIVA Consortium: to improve (or follow up) metadata standards and quality and to further customize DIVA.
> We have started discussions about sharing metadata and fulltexts in the DIVA publishing system between members, facilitating the administration of publications.
> Another thread is to look into the upcoming demands to make research data freely available and connect it to the publications.

Lessons learned
> Create well defined communication channels.
> Offer good APIs for third party services.
> Ensure metadata in a flexible way.
> Use standards where this is possible and feasible.

Prerequisites for successful collaboration
> Use a specific and well defined model for system management.
> Take decisions jointly with all consortium members.
> Create good import and export possibilities for different metadata formats.
> Give every consortium member the responsibility for their own publications.
> Find adequate conventions and allow each member to trademark their user interface.
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Members of the DIWA Consortium
Dalarna University
Eckerd School of Education
Georgia State University
Halmstad University
Jönköping University
Karlstad University
Kristianstad University
Linköping University
Linnaeus University
Mid Sweden University
Mälardalen University
Nationalmuseum
Red Cross University College
Royal College of Music
Royal Institute of Technology
Sofia University
Stockholm University
Stockholm School of Economics
Stockholm University
Swedish Environmental Protection Agency
Swedish History of Science, Medicine and Technology
Swedish Museum of Natural History
Swedish National Defence College
Södertörn University
The Nordic Africa Institute
The Norwegian University of Science and Technology
The Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute
The Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences
Uppsala University
University College of Arts, Crafts and Design
University of Gothenburg
University of Skövde
University West
Umeå University
Örebro University
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