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Abstract

The objective of this study was to identify the possible changes in mRNA and protein expression of High mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1) and its suggested receptors - receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) and Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR4 - in human cervix during pregnancy, term and preterm labor. Cervical biopsies were taken from 58 women: 20 at preterm labor, 24 at term labor, 10 at term not in labor and 4 from non-pregnant women. Real-time RT-PCR was used for mRNA analysis, immunohistochemistry and ELISA for protein analysis. HMGB1, RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4 were localized and had mRNA expression in cervix. There was more extranuclear HMGB1 in the cervical epithelium and stroma in preterm and term labor compared to the term not in labor (p<0.01). TLR2 mRNA expression was upregulated 5-fold in term labor and 3-fold in preterm labor compared to term not in labor and non pregnant controls (p<0.01). There was a significant lower expression of TLR2 and TLR4 mRNA in preterm labor compared to term (p<0.05). Lower mRNA expression of HMGB1 was found in the subgroup with preterm premature rupture of membranes than in the rest of preterm group (p<0.05), which was significantly higher than in term labor (p<0.05). In conclusion, extranuclear expression of HMGB1 during labor suggests a possible role of HMGB1 during the process of cervical ripening. Changes in mRNA expression of HMGB1, TLR2 and TLR4 in preterm labor, point towards possible differences in the mechanism of cervical ripening at preterm and term.
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1. Introduction

The mechanisms underlying the initiation of both preterm and term labor remain largely unknown (Goldenberg et al. 2008). For a successful delivery, uterine contractions must be coordinated with a softening of the cervix. Cervical softening involves intensive remodeling of the extracellular matrix, with extensive changes in concentration and composition of collagens (Uldbjerg et al. 1983) and proteoglycans (Westergren-Thorsson et al. 1998). This process can be also regarded as an inflammatory reaction associated with elevated levels of cytokines at the time of both preterm and term labor (Sennstrom et al. 2000; Tornblom et al. 2005a).

High-mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1) was discovered as a nuclear protein that migrates quickly during electrophoresis and it was named after this property (Lotze and Tracey 2005). Recently, it was demonstrated that HMGB1 has important extracellular cytokine-like functions and signals tissue injury and initiates inflammation and repair. HMGB1 is nowadays also considered to be an alarmin (Harris and Raucci 2006). It is involved in several diseases, such as lupus erythematosus, arthritis and atherosclerosis (Lotze and Tracey 2005). The receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) and Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR4 are involved in HMGB1-mediated signaling (Lotze and Tracey 2005). There are three major spliced variants of RAGE: full length, N-terminally truncated, and C-terminally truncated. The C-terminally truncated form of RAGE is secreted from the cell and is named endogenously secreted RAGE (esRAGE). The other forms of soluble RAGE (sRAGE) are cleaved from cell-surface RAGE by matrix metalloproteinases (Koyama et al. 2007).

Little is known regarding HMGB1 involvement in pregnancy and labor, though parturition is an inflammatory process. Human term placenta expresses HMGB1 and RAGE, but labor does not influence their expression (Holmlund et al. 2007). Placental TLR expression has
since been extensively studied in pregnancy and in labor (Patni et al. 2007), but only one study has investigated these receptors in human cervix after vaginal delivery and caesarean section (Hassan et al. 2006). Changes in concentrations of sRAGE and esRAGE in amniotic fluid have been seen in labor and during intra-amniotic infection (Romero et al. 2008). Nevertheless, no studies have been published on HMGB1 and its receptors in human cervix at preterm and term labor.

As labor is associated with a local cervical pro-inflammatory response, we wanted to identify and investigate the possible changes in mRNA and protein expression of HMGB1 and its suggested receptors (RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4) in human cervix during pregnancy, and term and preterm labor.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Patients

Data collection was carried out at Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden during 2006-2008. A total of 58 women were included. Women in preterm or term labor with singleton pregnancies were asked to participate in a study on PTB. Women who agreed to undergo cervical biopsy were included: 20 women undergoing preterm labor (PTL), 24 women undergoing normal term labor (TL). As controls, ten women who delivered at term by caesarean section prior to the onset of labor (TnotL) were recruited. Four non-pregnant women (NP) undergoing hysterectomy for benign conditions such as myomas, were used as a reference group, since several new substances were investigated in cervical tissue.

Preterm delivery was defined as delivery before the 37th week of gestation. The labor groups (PTL and TL) were in active labor and demonstrated a ripe cervix, with dilatation more than 4 cm. All except three of these patients were delivered vaginally. One patient in the PTL
group was delivered by emergency caesarean section due to breech presentation and two in the TL group due to protracted labor. Women in the TnotL group had unripe cervixes (with a Bishop score of <5 points) and were delivered by caesarean section prior to the onset of labor. The indications were breech presentation, humanitarian, earlier caesarean section or disproportion. None of the women included in the study suffered from pre-eclampsia, diabetes or other systemic disease.

Vaginal and urine cultures were taken from women in the PTL group. Nine of 20 women in this group had negative cultures, six had bacterial growth in at least one of the cultures (three with ureaplasma urealyticum and three with group B streptococcus) and five had candida growth. Ten of the PTL patients had preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM) defined as rupture of membranes at least one hour before contractions (Goldenberg et al. 2008). In the PPROM subgroup, four women had negative cultures, four had bacterial growth and two had candida growth in the cultures.

There were no significant differences between the groups of pregnant women with respect to maternal age, parity, previous preterm births or previous caesarean sections. For clinical data see Table 1.

Before the study, the approval of the local Ethics Committee of Karolinska Institute and the informed consent of each subject were obtained.

2.2 Sampling procedure

The biopsy was taken as described previously (Dubicke et al. 2008) and divided into three pieces. The samples for mRNA analysis were immediately immersed in RNALater® (Ambion Inc, Austin, TX, USA), kept at 4°C for 24 hours and thereafter frozen and stored at -70°C. The samples for protein analysis were frozen immediately and stored at -70°C. The biopsies for immunohistochemistry were rinsed in physiological saline solution and fixated in a
4% formaldehyde solution for a maximum of 24 hours, thereafter dehydrated in 70% ethanol solution and embedded in paraffin.

Not all subsequent analyses were performed on all 58 women, due to the limited amount of tissue retrieved from some of them.

2.3 Tissue homogenization and extraction of RNA

Tissue was homogenized with a dismembranation apparatus (Retsch KG, Haan, Germany). This was followed by either RNA extraction or protein extraction. Total RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.4 Treatment with DNAse and reverse transcription (RT)

The concentration of total RNA obtained was determined employing a NanoDrop™ 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). All samples had an OD260/OD280 ratio higher than 1.8. One µg of total RNA, pre-treated with 1 µl RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), was used for RT reaction, which was performed using SuperScript™ RNase H- Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) as described elsewhere (Tornblom et al. 2005a). The cDNA was stored at -70°C prior to use.

2.5 Real-Time RT-PCR

The levels of mRNA encoding HMGB1, esRAGE, RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4 were quantified by real-time RT-PCR with the Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as described previously (Dubicke et al. 2008). Appropriate primers and probes were purchased from commercially available Taqman® gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems). Assay IDs and Reference Sequence database accession numbers are presented in Table 2. For each reaction, 5 µl of diluted cDNA
(corresponding to 10 ng total RNA) was used. 18S, β-actin and cyclophilin A were used as endogenous controls. The geometric mean of these three endogenous controls was used for normalizing the mRNA levels for the gene of interest (Vandesompele et al. 2002). Relative gene expression was calculated using a ΔΔCT method, where the non-pregnant group was used as a control group. The geometric mean of CT of endogenous controls was subtracted from the CT of the respective gene, followed by subtraction of the median ΔCT value of the control group, giving the ΔΔCT. The amount of products doubles in each cycle, so the relative gene expression was calculated with the formula $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$, given in the manufacturer’s instructions. Serial dilutions of placental cDNA made from purchased total RNA (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) were used for validation of the experiment.

2.6 Protein extraction

For protein extraction, 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), including 0.01% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie Gmbh, Steinheim, Germany) and protease inhibitor cocktail 25 Complete™ (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany), was added to tissue homogenates. After centrifugation at 10000g, 4°C for 10 min, the supernatant was retrieved and stored in aliquots at -70 °C until analyzed. Total protein concentration was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.7 Determination of the protein levels of HMGB1 and sRAGE

The concentrations of HMGB1 and all soluble forms of RAGE (sRAGE) in the supernatants were determined employing a HMGB1 ELISA kit II (Shino-test Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan) and a Human RAGE ELISA kit (Quantikine®, R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) respectively according to the manufacturers’ instructions. The results were interpolated
from the standard reference curve provided with each kit. The sensitivity of the kits was 1 ng/ml for HMGB1 and 4 pg/ml for RAGE. The concentrations of HMGB1 and RAGE were normalized against the total protein concentration.

2.8 Immunohistochemical staining

For detection of HMGB1, RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4 tissue expression, slides were deparaffinized in Bioclear (Bio Optica, Milan, Italy) and rehydrated with ethanol. Subsequently, antigen was retrieved with microwave irradiation in ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) buffer (pH 9.0) (for HMGB1, RAGE, TLR2) or sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) (for TLR4). To block endogenous peroxidase activity, sections were treated with 0.3% H$_2$O$_2$, followed by incubation with 10% normal horse serum or normal goat serum. All antibodies used for staining are presented in Table 2. The primary antibodies were absorbed against 10% human serum for 2 hr before being added to the specimen. Subsequently, the slides were incubated with the respective primary antibody for 45 min at room temperature. Biotin-labeled horse anti-goat or goat-anti rabbit antibodies (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) were used for detection. In each assay, controls for specificity of the HMGB1 staining were included, based on parallel stainings with the primary antibodies pre-incubated with blocking peptides specific for each antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. or AbCam). All stainings were developed using an ABC ELITE and a DAB-kit (Vector Laboratories Inc.) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin.

For all immunohistochemical examinations, the immunoreactivity was checked in the squamous epithelium, the glandular epithelium, the vascular endothelium and five fields in the stroma. A semiquantitative scale from 0 to ++ + was used for the evaluating HMGB1 staining and that of the receptors RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4. The evaluation was
performed blindly by two independent investigators (A.D. and E.A.) using conventional light microscopy. The agreement between the investigators was > 90%. The discrepancy was never more than one scale step. The mean was calculated from evaluations of both observers and further used for statistical analysis.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Two independent groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. When more than two groups were compared, the Kruskal-Wallis test was applied, followed by multiple comparison with Dunn’s correction. Spearman’s rho was used for analyzing non-parametric correlations. Fisher’s exact test was used to test for non-random associations. In all cases a p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All calculations were performed with the STATISTICA 8.0 software (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad Software Inc, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1 mRNA expression

The mRNA expression of HMGB1 was 3-fold lower in the laboring groups (PTL and TL) than in the NP group (p<0.01) (Figure 1A). The same trend was seen comparing laboring groups to the TnotL group, but not statistically significant. The mRNA expression of RAGE followed a similar pattern, being 3-fold lower in the laboring groups than in the TnotL and the NP groups (p<0.05) (Figure 1B). esRAGE mRNA was undetectable in all the samples. In contrast, TLR2 mRNA expression was upregulated 5-fold in TL and 3-fold in PTL compared to TnotL and NP (p<0.01) (Figure 1C). There were no significant differences between the laboring groups and the TnotL and NP groups in mRNA expression of TLR4 (Figure 1D).
Comparing PTL and TL groups, no differences were registered in the mRNA expression of HMGB1 or RAGE (Figure 1A-B). However, there was a significant decrease in TLR2 and TLR4 mRNA expression in preterm labor compared to term (p<0.05) (Figure 1C-D). The mRNA expression of these two genes showed positive correlation (rho=0.57, p<0.001). There was no correlation between expression of HMGB1, RAGE, TLR2, TLR4 and gestational age. Subgroup analysis revealed lower mRNA expression of HMGB1 in the PPROM subgroup than in the rest of the PTL group (p<0.05), which was significantly higher than in the TL group (p<0.05) (Figure 2A). No statistically significant differences in mRNA expression were detected in the PTL subgroups, with positive vaginal and/or urinary cultures, but we observed a tendency towards lower expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in the group with bacterial growth in the cervical and/or urine cultures (Figure 2B-C).

### 3.2 Protein levels of HMGB1 and sRAGE

As expected, HMGB1 protein concentrations were high in all the samples. The highest HMGB1 concentration was in the NP group (p<0.05) (Figure 3A). The protein levels of sRAGE were 3-fold higher in the laboring groups than in the TnotL group (p<0.05). In the NP group sRAGE levels were equal to those in the laboring groups and 3-fold higher than in the TnotL group (p<0.05) (Figure 3B). No differences were registered between the PTL and the TL group in HMGB1 or sRAGE concentrations. There was no correlation between HMGB1 and sRAGE levels and gestational age. Subgroup analysis revealed no differences associated with positive vaginal or urinary cultures or PPROM.

### 3.3 Tissue protein expression

HMGB1, RAGE, TLR-2 and TLR-4 were all readily identified in the cervical tissue. All these proteins stained positively in squamous epithelium, vascular endothelium, glandular
epithelium and stroma. Overall, HMGB1 was expressed in the nuclei of virtually all cells in the tissue. In the laboring groups, we observed many empty nuclei and HMGB1-positivity close to the nuclear membrane in the squamous epithelium; whereas in the TnotL and the NP groups the nuclear HMGB1 protein expression was more evenly distributed in the entire nucleus (Figure 4A-D). We found empty nuclei in a vast majority of the laboring groups (8 of 9 samples in the PTL group and in 10 of 11 samples in the TL group). This observation was statistically significant compared to the TnotL group, where no empty nuclei were observed in any of the samples (p<0.01). More extranuclear staining was also seen in the stroma in the laboring groups (Figure 4F-I). The corresponding negative control sections demonstrated no staining (Figure 4E, J). The staining of HMGB1 was significantly lower in squamous epithelium and stroma in the laboring groups than in the TnotL group (p<0.05) (Figure 6A-B). There were large variations in RAGE expression between the patients within the same group and no obvious group-differences were registered (data not shown). There was a tendency towards lower staining of TLR2 in the squamous epithelium in the laboring groups (Figure 5A-D). This difference was statistically significant comparing TL to TnotL and NP (p<0.05) (data not shown). The nuclei in the squamous epithelium stained positive for TLR2 in TnotL, whereas in the laboring groups nuclei stained negatively (Figure 5A-D). Also, TLR2 expression in stroma and vessels was significantly lower in the laboring groups than in the TnotL group (Figure 6C, data in stroma). The corresponding negative control section showed no staining (Figure 5E). Further, TLR4 expression in squamous epithelium, stroma and vessels was significantly lower in the laboring groups than in the TnotL and the NP group (Figure 5F-I, Figure 6D data in stroma). The corresponding negative control showed no staining (Figure 5J).

No significant differences were registered in HMGB1, RAGE, TLR2 or TLR4 staining comparing the PTL and TL groups. Subgroup analysis in the PTL group revealed a tendency
to higher TLR4 protein expression in squamous epithelium in the PPROM subgroup than in
the rest of the PTL group (p=0.06), and was significantly higher than in the TL group
(p=0.04) (Figure 6E). There was a tendency towards higher TLR4 expression in squamous
epithelium in the group with bacterial infection than in the non-infected group (p=0.05)
(Figure 6F).

4. Discussion

We present the novel finding of both nuclear and cytoplasmic localization as well as mRNA
expression of HMGB1 in human cervix with distinct changes in the laboring cervix.

HMGB1 has pro-inflammatory properties and its release to the cytoplasm and further to the
extracellular milieu is associated with active stages of chronic inflammatory diseases such as
rheumatic arthritis, lupus erythematosus and others (Kokkola et al. 2002; Barkauskaite et al.
2007). Cervical ripening and parturition are considered to be inflammatory processes
(Sennstrom et al. 2000), but very little is known regarding the role of HMGB1 in the labor
process. Holmlund et al. recently demonstrated that placental HMGB1 protein expression did
not change during active labor, although there was a tendency towards higher cytoplasmic
HMGB1 expression in the decidua of preeclamptic pregnancies (Holmlund et al. 2007).

Here, we show release of HMGB1 from the nuclei in the squamous epithelium as well as
more cytoplasmic staining in the stroma in the cervix during preterm and term labor. This is
in agreement with earlier findings by our group of higher levels of pro-inflammatory
cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in the laboring cervix both at term and at
preterm (Tornblom et al. 2005a; Dubicke et al. 2008). The lower HMGB1 protein expression
in cervix observed during labor could be explained by a release of HMGB1 from nuclei to the
cytoplasm and/or the extracellular space and later to systemic circulation, a phenomenon also
seen in sepsis (Sunden-Cullberg et al. 2005). One striking finding here is that in analyzing the
subgroups of preterm labor, we found lower mRNA expression of HMGB1 in the PPROM group than in the rest of PTL group, where such expression was higher than in term labor. This suggests that PPROM and PTL could partly involve different mechanisms (Menon et al. 2001; Hajek et al. 2008) and that cervical ripening at preterm may differ from that at term. Interestingly, we saw high levels of both mRNA and protein expression of HMGB1 in the non-pregnant cervix. However, we used samples only from four older non-pregnant women, undergoing hysterectomy due to myomas, which could be associated with inflammatory reaction (Miura et al. 2006).

We observed the largest changes in HMGB1 immunoreactivity in the cervical squamous epithelium during labor. Earlier studies from our group have identified fetal fibronectine (Sennstrom et al. 1998), interleukin-8 (Sennstrom et al. 2000), MMP-8 (Sennstrom et al. 2003), corticotropin-releasing hormone (Klimaviciute et al. 2006) and syndican-1 (Sahlin et al. 2008) in the cervical epithelium. Together, this suggests that cervical epithelium is important in the signaling process during cervical ripening.

It has been proposed that HMGB1 signals through receptors including RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4. We detected both mRNA and protein expression of these receptors in human cervix. These findings indicate a functional role of HMGB1 in the cervix. We saw downregulation cell-surface RAGE mRNA during labor, but higher protein levels of sRAGE in laboring cervix compared to elective cesarean section. Soluble RAGE is thought to compete with RAGE and to block inflammatory action of HMGB1 (Geroldi et al. 2006). However, in vitro study has shown that sRAGE can also act as a pro-inflammatory and chemotactic molecule (Pullerits et al. 2006). We also found high levels of sRAGE in non-pregnant subjects, higher than in term pregnant women and equal to the levels in the laboring cervix. This finding is in line with findings in the maternal circulation, where higher sRAGE levels were registered in non-pregnant women, lower in pregnancy and again higher in threatening preterm labor.
Conversely, in amniotic fluid sRAGE levels decrease in spontaneous labor (Romero et al. 2008). This suggests different roles of sRAGE in different parts of the reproductive tract.

We found an upregulation of TLR2 mRNA during labor, which is consistent with earlier findings in fetal membranes (Kim et al. 2004). Hassan et al also described a TLR2 upregulation in microarray analysis of cervical tissue, but this was not confirmed by real-time RT-PCR (Hassan et al. 2006). Interestingly, we saw lower mRNA expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in the cervix at preterm labor compared to term, which confirms that there could be some differences in the process of cervical ripening at preterm (Tornblom et al. 2005b; Dubicke et al. 2008). Subgroup analysis showed a tendency for further downregulation in the group with bacterial infection. TLR2 and TLR4 could be downregulated in response to stimuli inducing or secreted during preterm pro-inflammatory labor. On the other hand, a lower baseline mRNA expression of TLR2 and TLR4 could render these women more susceptible to preterm labor, as polymorphisms in these genes are associated with impaired receptor function and preterm birth (Lorenz et al. 2002; Krediet et al. 2007). In vitro studies show downregulation of TLR4 in monocytes after stimulation with LPS (Amoudruz et al. 2005), which could be a possible mechanism for LPS tolerance. Moreover, lower levels of TLR2 are registered in trophoblasts from placenta with chorioamnionitis (Rindsjo et al. 2007). We also saw lower protein expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in the laboring groups. This is in agreement with previous findings of a strong trend towards lower TLR4 expression in placenta during labor (Kim et al. 2005). The lower protein levels of TLR2 and TLR4 could be necessary for successful delivery. However, higher levels of TLR2 and TLR4 are seen in fetal membranes in chorioamnionitis (Kim et al. 2004), suggesting a differential responsiveness to inflammatory and/or infectious stimuli within the placenta.
In the contrast to the reduced TLR4 mRNA expression, we saw a tendency towards higher tissue expression of TLR4 in the squamous epithelium in the group with bacterial infection, which could be due to translocation of TLR4 as a protective mechanism (Adams et al. 2007).

In our study, there was a discrepancy between mRNA expression and the protein levels of TLR2 and TLR4. Possible explanations of this could be temporal differences between mRNA synthesis and protein expression, shorter half-life of mRNA than protein and that mRNA was prepared from the whole cervical biopsy and immunoreactivity analyzed separately in epithelium, stroma, vessels and glands.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that HMGB1 and its receptors RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4 all are expressed and produced in the human cervix. Extranuclear expression of HMGB1 during labor suggests a possible role of HMGB1 during the process of cervical ripening. We have also demonstrated lower mRNA expression of TLR2 and TLR4, but higher expression of HMGB1, in preterm labor compared to term. This suggests possible differences in the mechanism of cervical ripening at preterm and at term.
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Table 1 Clinical data on women included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Preterm labor (PTL)</th>
<th>Term labor (TL)</th>
<th>Term not in labor (TnotL)</th>
<th>Non-pregnant (NP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>31 (24-38)</td>
<td>31 (23-40)</td>
<td>33 (26-42)</td>
<td>46 (37-49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-2)</td>
<td>0 (0-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous preterm births in the group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous caesarian sections in the group</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age in fgw&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34 (25-36)</td>
<td>40 (38-41)</td>
<td>39 (37-39)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age in days</td>
<td>239 (175-256)</td>
<td>282 (266-292)</td>
<td>272 (264-278)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with corticosteroids</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data is presented as median (range) if not otherwise stated.

<sup>a</sup> full gestational weeks
Table 2. Description of gene expression assays used for Real-time RT-PCR and anti-human antibodies used for immunohistochemical staining.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene/Protein</th>
<th>Assay ID</th>
<th>RefSeq</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Cat No</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>conc. µg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMGB1</td>
<td>Hs01923466_g1</td>
<td>NM_002128.4</td>
<td>AbCam (Cambridge, UK)</td>
<td>ab18256</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAGE</td>
<td>Hs00153957_m1</td>
<td>NM_001136.3</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc (Santa Cruz, CA, USA)</td>
<td>sc-8230</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>Hs00152932_m1</td>
<td>NM_003264.3</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc</td>
<td>sc-8689</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>Hs00152939_m1</td>
<td>NM_138554.2</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc</td>
<td>sc-8694</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esRAGE</td>
<td>Hs00542590_m1</td>
<td>NM_172197.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4319413E</td>
<td>X03205.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4352935E</td>
<td>NM_001101.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophilin A&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4326316E</td>
<td>NM_021130.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Reference Sequence database accession numbers

<sup>b</sup> 18s, β-actin and cyclophilin A were used as endogenous controls.
**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** mRNA expression of HMGB1 (A), RAGE (B), TLR2 (C) and TLR4 (D) in cervical tissue. Preterm labor (PTL), term labor (TL), term not in labor (TnotL), non-pregnant (NP). The box represents 25-75% of all data with the line through the box representing the median value. The whiskers extend to the range (min/max). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

**Figure 2.** mRNA expression of HMGB1, TLR2 and TLR4 in the subgroups of preterm labor group. mRNA expression of HMGB1 in preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), the rest of preterm group in labor (PTL) and term in labor group (TL) (A). mRNA expression of TLR2 (B) and TLR4 (C) in the preterm group with bacterial infection (PTL b), with candida infection (PTL c), the group with negative cultures (PTL n) and term in labor group (TL). Every point in the scatter plot represents one sample and the mark is median value. *p<0.05.

**Figure 3.** Protein levels of HMGB1 (A) and sRAGE (B) in cervical tissue. Preterm labor (PTL), term labor (TL), term not in labor (TnotL), non-pregnant (NP). The box represents 25-75% of all data with the line through the box representing the median value. The whiskers extend to the range (min/max). *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

**Figure 4.** Immunohistochemical staining of HMGB1 in the squamous epithelium (left column) and in the stroma (right column) in cervical tissue at preterm labor (A, F), term labor (B, G), term not in labor (C, H) and non-pregnant state (D, I). Negative controls (E, J). Magnification x400; mark 50 µm (A-E). Magnification x1000; mark 20 µm (F-J).

**Figure 5.** Immunohistochemical staining of TLR2 (left column) and TLR4 (right column) in the squamous epithelium in cervical tissue at preterm labor (A, F), term labor (B, G), term not in labor (C, H) and non-pregnant state (D, I). Negative controls (E, J). Magnification x400.

Mark 50 µm.
Figure 6. Quantification of immunohistochemical staining of HMGB1 in the stroma (A) and epithelium (B), TLR2 in the stroma (C) and TLR4 in the stroma (D). Preterm labor (PTL), term labor (TL), term not in labor (TnotL), non-pregnant (NP). The box represents 25-75% of all data with the line through the box representing the median value. The whiskers extend to the range (min/max).

TLR4 in the squamous epithelium in preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), the rest of PTL group and TL group (E); in the group with bacterial infection (PTL b), with candida infection (PTL c), the group with negative cultures (PTL n) and TL group (F). Every point in the scatter plot represents one sample and the mark is median value. Semiquantative scale 0 to ++. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
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