Carbon monoxide concentration in donated blood: relation to cigarette smoking and other sources
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BACKGROUND: Carbon monoxide (CO) is normally present in the human body due to endogenous production of CO. CO can also be inhaled by exposure to external sources such as cigarette smoke, car exhaust, and fire. The purpose of this study was to investigate CO concentrations in blood from 410 blood donors at the blood center in Umeå, Sweden. To further evaluate the effects of cigarette smoking on CO concentrations, the elimination time for CO was examined in six volunteer smokers after a smoked cigarette.

STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS: Blood samples from whole blood donors were obtained during the blood center’s routine operation. In connection with blood donations, demographic and behavioral data were collected from the donors. The CO concentration was determined using gas chromatography.

RESULTS: The majority of blood donors had approximately the same CO concentration (mean, 84.5 μmol/L). In 6 percent of the samples, the concentrations were higher than 130 μmol per L. The highest CO concentration was 561 μmol per L. The main source for these high CO concentrations appeared to be cigarette smoking. In the volunteer smokers, the elimination time after a smoked cigarette varied significantly, with elimination half-lives from 4.7 to 8.4 hours.

CONCLUSION: These results show that blood bank red blood cell bags may have CO concentrations above the physiologic level. The time interval between cigarette smoking and blood donation seems to be a particularly important factor for elevated CO concentrations.

ABBREVIATIONS: COHb = carboxyhemoglobin; ROC = receiver operating characteristic.
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Elevations in COHb levels in banked blood may be of clinical importance. Cigarette smoking has been found to be a major source of CO in banked blood.\textsuperscript{5} In one study, COHb levels over air quality standard (1.5% COHb) were found in 49 percent of 101 units of banked blood, with the highest COHb fraction of 12 percent.\textsuperscript{6} The authors concluded that it is not advisable to transfuse such COHb-rich blood to susceptible patients. In another study, fractions up to 9.3 percent COHb in blood were found, and these authors concluded that number of cigarettes normally smoked every day related to the COHb fraction.\textsuperscript{7} The suggestion in that study was that all banked blood should be screened and labeled with the measured COHb fraction. Other studies have found fractions as high as 13.5 percent COHb in blood from blood donors\textsuperscript{8} and 9.6 percent COHb in banked blood.\textsuperscript{9} All of these studies give rise to important questions. What are the CO concentrations in banked blood today? Is cigarette smoking still the most important external source for CO? Therefore, we designed this study with the aim to investigate CO concentrations in blood from blood donors in our blood center. A further aim of this study was to clarify the impact of cigarette smoking on CO concentrations in banked blood and, if possible, determine the kinetics of CO in smokers.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Demographics over the studied population}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
Demographic & Number (%) & Number of responses \\
\hline
Gender & & \\
Female & 161 (40) & 406 \\
Male & 245 (60) & \\
\hline
Age (years) & & \\
\leq 29 & 197 (48) & 407 \\
30-39 & 64 (16) & \\
40-49 & 66 (16) & \\
\geq 50 & 80 (20) & \\
\hline
Living environment & & \\
Living in the city & 295 (74) & 401 \\
Living outside & 106 (26) & \\
\hline
Combustion with wood/pellet & & \\
Yes & 72 (19) & 384 \\
No & 272 (71) & \\
\hline
Smoking habit & & \\
Cigarette smokers & 34 (8.4) & 405 \\
Smoking pipe & 4 (1.0) & 402 \\
Smoking cigar & 6 (1.5) & 404 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

This study was approved by the Regional Ethic Committee in Umeå, Sweden.

\textbf{Blood collection}

During September 2006, all blood donors arriving at the blood center at Umeå University Hospital were asked if they would participate in the study. After providing written informed consent, they completed a questionnaire about age, smoking history, living environment, workplace, and wood combustion. The questions in the questionnaire were designed to include possible sources for CO contamination, such as exposure to traffic or incomplete combustion. Elapsed time between cigarette smoking and blood donation was also assessed in the questionnaire. A separate blood sample was taken during the original blood donation, for analysis of CO and Hb. The samples were collected in 1.8-mL tubes (Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) containing 0.13 mol per L sodium citrate. To elucidate the adequate size of the study group, a pilot study was conducted which showed elevated CO concentrations in 5 of 40 investigated bags. Based on a minimum of 50 donors with elevated CO concentrations, a study group size of 410 was considered large enough to investigate not only how many donors had increased concentrations of CO but also which external sources might have contributed to this increase. No donors were excluded from the study. Some donors did not answer the whole questionnaire, but their blood samples were still included in the CO analysis. All individuals answering specific questions have been included in that specific calculation; the different numbers of responses can be seen in Table 1.

\textbf{Analysis}

Analyses of CO concentrations in blood were performed using a method described in a recent report.\textsuperscript{10} In short, samples containing 400 \(\mu\)L of blood and a glass bead were put into gas-tight containers. Reagent (saponin and sulfuric acid) was added and the gas phase was injected and analyzed on a gas chromatograph with flame ionization detection fitted with a nickel catalyst. This assay had fine reproducibility (coefficient of variation, 1.5%) and low detection limit (12 \(\mu\)mol/L). Hb was measured using hemoximetry (OSM3 hemoximeter, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) and a hematology analyzer (Sysmex K-4500, Sysmex Corp., Chou-ku, Japan). Results from the gas chromatographic method were measured in \(\mu\)mol per L and transformed to COHb fractions using the Hb concentration and the formula\textsuperscript{11}

\[
\text{COHb} = \frac{C \times 64400}{4 \times \text{Hb}},
\]

where COHb is the carboxyhemoglobin fraction and C is the CO concentration expressed in mol per L. The constant 64400 is the molecular mass of Hb in mammals. The
constant 4 represents the four binding sites of Hb to CO. Hb is the Hb concentration (g/L).

Blood storage in bags and the CO concentration
Blood bags (Baxter International, Inc., Deerfield, IL) at the blood center in Umeå was prepared using 450 mL of whole blood in 63 mL of citrate-phosphate-dextrose and 100 mL of saline-adrenaline-glucose-mannitol. Blood samples from 10 bags were collected using the aliquots obtained using a tube stripper and analyzed for CO and Hb on the day of donation. The bags were then stored (+4°C) in the blood center for 1 week before new blood samples were collected for reanalysis.

CO kinetics after a smoked cigarette
Blood samples from volunteers were collected before and after they smoked one cigarette (from the volunteer’s preferred brand). Blood samples were also drawn 1, 3, and 5 hours after the smoked cigarette. Blood was collected in 1.8-mL tubes (Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson) containing 0.13 mol per L sodium citrate and CO and Hb were analyzed. Individual half-life (t_{1/2}) of CO was calculated using the formula

\[ t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{K_{el}}, \]  

where \( K_{el} \) is the elimination constant calculated from log-linear regression analysis of CO concentration versus time:

\[ K_{el} = -\frac{d\ln C}{dt}. \]  

Statistical analysis
The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test for normality, and a p value of less than 0.05 was used to reject a null hypothesis of normal distribution. Since blood CO concentrations obtained in this study in general do not follow normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.001), nonparametric tests have been used in all of the statistical calculations. Differences between groups were analyzed using the U test (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). For multiple-group comparisons, the Kruskal-Wallis test (SPSS, Inc.) was used. When comparing frequencies in two groups, the chi-square test for independence was used (SPSS, Inc.). When comparing CO concentrations on two occasions, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used (SPSS, Inc.). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis on the CO concentration was performed using computer software (Analyse-it add-in, Analyse-it Software, Ltd., Leeds, England) for a computer spreadsheet program (Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) after grouping the data in smokers versus nonsmokers.\(^\text{12}\) ROC analysis on CO concentrations was also made with regard to recent smoking (≤3 hr since the last cigarette) versus late smoking (>6 hr since the last cigarette). Nonsmokers were also included in the latter group. The group with 3 to 6 hours between smoking and blood donation was discarded because it only consisted of one subject. Pearson’s \( r \) for the Hb concentration versus CO concentration was calculated. Pearson’s \( r \) was also calculated for the CO concentrations versus COHb values given by the formula for this transformation (Equation [1]).

RESULTS

Demographics
A total of 410 persons participated in the study. The results from the questionnaire are presented in Table 1. The proportion of smokers (cigarette, cigar, and pipe) was similar in the female and the male groups, 8.7 and 9.8 percent, respectively. The number of smokers was higher (p < 0.01, Pearson chi-square) with increasing age. The number of smokers in the group under 30 years was 7 percent, whereas 24 percent were smokers in the group over 49 years.

CO concentrations
Individual blood concentrations of CO are shown in Fig. 1. The majority (94%) had concentrations below 130 \( \mu \text{mol} \) per L. The CO concentrations in this group were normally distributed (\( p = 0.072, \) Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) with a mean of 84.5 \( \mu \text{mol} \) per L and a standard error of the mean of 0.8. Six percent of the blood samples were found to have CO concentrations over 130 \( \mu \text{mol} \) per L, and some samples contained up to 561 \( \mu \text{mol} \) per L. Transformation
of the concentrations according to Equation (1) resulted in a maximum fraction of 5.7 percent COHb. The CO concentration given in mol per L and the calculated COHb values correlated strongly ($r = 0.99$).

**CO in relation to smoking habit**

A significant difference between CO concentrations in smokers (cigarette, cigar, and pipe) and nonsmokers was found ($p < 0.001$, U test). The median concentration was 142 µmol per L (range, 63-561 µmol/L) or 1.5 percent COHb (range, 0.8%-5.3%) in smokers and 83 µmol per L (range, 41-311 µmol/L) or 0.9 percent COHb (range, 0.3%-4.4%) in nonsmokers. The group of cigarette smokers had a median CO concentration of 229 µmol per L (range, 63-561 µmol/L) or 2.4 percent COHb (range, 0.8%-5.3%), whereas cigar and pipe smokers had concentrations at levels similar to those of nonsmokers. In Fig. 2, CO concentrations (median and quartiles) grouped by cigarette consumption are shown. A significant difference was detected when nonsmokers were compared with two of the smoking groups; in the third smoking group the number of persons was too small to be included in the statistical calculations.

**CO in relation to smoking history**

Figure 3 shows the CO concentration at different time intervals between cigarette smoking and blood donation. There was a significant difference in CO concentration in the group who smoked cigarettes less than 1 hour before the blood donation compared with the nonsmoking group and with the group with subjects having smoked cigarettes more than 6 hours before leaving the blood sample. In the group who smoked cigarettes 1 to 3 hours before blood donation the number of persons is too small to be included in the statistical calculations but the median concentration is similar to that in the group who smoked less than 1 hour before blood donation.

**CO in relation to other factors than smoking**

Comparison of CO concentration in the nonsmoking group with age and living environment (U test) as well as combustion with wood/pellets and workplace (Kruskal-Wallis) resulted in no differences in concentrations in relation to any of these possible factors. However, male nonsmokers had significantly higher ($p < 0.001$, U test) concentrations of CO (median, 87 µmol/L) compared with female nonsmokers (median, 78 µmol/L). Two nonsmokers of male sex had CO concentrations in the same range as those found in the smoking group (291 and 311 µmol/L). After removing these possible outliers, the significant difference ($p < 0.001$) between the sexes remained. Male nonsmokers also had higher ($p < 0.001$, U test) Hb concentrations (median, 147 g/L) compared with female nonsmokers (median, 131 g/L). However, there was no significant difference in COHb fraction between men and women (U test). When sex differences in the whole population (smokers and nonsmokers) were compared, the results remained, with a difference in CO and Hb concentration between the sexes but no difference in COHb fraction. There was no significant correlation ($r = 0.190$) between Hb and CO concentrations.

**ROC analysis**

In the first ROC analysis, a cutoff value of 100 µmol per L CO resulted in a sensitivity of 67.6 percent and a specificity
of 84.4 percent to discriminate between nonsmokers and smokers. At a cutoff value of 135 µmol per L CO, the sensitivity was 100 percent and the specificity was 98.9 percent to detect recent cigarette smoking (less than 3 hr ago) in contrast to late cigarette smoking (more than 6 hr ago). Thus, an elevated CO concentration is a very strong indicator of recent cigarette smoking.

Storage of blood bags
One week of storage, according to the routine procedure, resulted in a median increase of 6 µmol per L CO in the blood bags compared with the CO concentration in the bags measured on the same day as the blood was donated (range of the difference, −13 to +26 µmol/L). This difference was not significant (p = 0.169, Wilcoxon).

CO elimination kinetics after a smoked cigarette
Results from six smoking volunteers are shown in Fig. 4. Individual CO concentrations decreased over time after smoking a cigarette. However, CO concentrations before and 5 hours after smoking a cigarette were never reduced to the same level as the median CO concentration in nonsmoking blood donors. The median elimination half-life was 5.3 hours (range, 4.7-8.4 hr). One individual had consistently higher CO concentrations as well as the longest half-life. This person was a heavier smoker (21-40 cigarettes per day) than the other individuals (<21 cigarettes per day).

DISCUSSION
The present study shows that blood samples from 94 percent of the donors had CO concentrations below 130 µmol per L. Mean CO concentration for this group was 84.5 µmol per L, which we interpret to be the “normal” level for healthy local subjects. Transformation of 130 µmol per L using Equation (1) gives a COHb fraction of 1.5 percent at a Hb level of 140 g per L. Another study has described levels below 1.5 percent COHb in blood bags not to exceed air quality standards. This also corresponds well to a healthy physiologic level of 0.43 and 1.6 percent COHb in other populations. In our study, concentrations over 130 µmol per L CO could be seen in 6 percent of the studied subjects, some of which demonstrated almost six times the mean level for this group.

It is not known what clinical effect transfused blood with CO concentrations above the physiologic level may have, if any. In adults, the volume from one blood bank RBC bag is small in comparison with the total blood volume, so the influence of one bag on the CO concentration in the whole body is probably minimal. Assuming a normal male (70 kg) with a basal CO concentration of 80 µmol per L and a blood volume of 4.9 L, receiving a transfusion of one blood bag (250 mL) containing 560 µmol per L CO, this would result in a theoretical concentration of 103 µmol per L after transfusion. This is in the range of physiologic concentrations of CO. On the other hand, if a premature child (<2.8 kg) with a blood volume of less than 250 mL has a blood exchange with one bag (250 mL) containing 560 µmol per L CO, the theoretical end concentration would be 560 µmol per L. In another study investigating if elevated CO concentrations in blood bags influenced blood CO in children, the results showed a two- to sevenfold increase in CO concentration in the child after transfusion. With undeveloped lungs and reduced gas exchange capacity, as can occur in some newborns, there could be a risk of reduced oxygen transport when such blood is used for blood exchange.

The biologic effect of CO is complex. On the one hand, CO has toxic effects, and on the other hand it can have positive biologic effects; it is probably a matter of dose. At what concentration CO is toxic has not yet been determined. In a retrospective study it was stated that a fraction of 23 percent COHb leads to loss of consciousness. Effects of CO in healthy men during exercise can be observed even at low CO fractions (4.8%-21.2% COHb). Studies on patients with angina pectoris showed that COHb at fractions from 2.0 to 4.5 percent shortened the time to onset of pain during exercise and also increased the duration of pain. Thus, in various patient groups,
negative effects of CO are probably seen at lower CO concentrations than in healthy persons. The reason for the toxic effects can probably differ between persons based on their conditions. Effects on the central nervous system and in the mitochondria can be the main mechanisms of clinical toxicity in healthy persons, whereas the influence of CO on oxygen delivery is probably more important in persons with an impaired capacity of oxygen delivery.

In our study we detected concentrations up to 561 µmol per L CO in the donor’s blood and this finding indicates even higher concentrations in donated units, since the hematocrit (Hct) concentration in the bags is higher than in the donor’s blood. A rough calculation to determine the Hct in the blood donor can be done using the Hb concentration analyzed from the donor’s blood (Hb × 0.3 = Hct). The blood center separates the blood with the goal to achieve 50 to 70 percent Hct in the bags. Assuming that the Hct in the blood unit is 60 percent, this would imply a higher CO concentration by a factor of 1.1 to 1.7 in the bags compared with the donor’s blood. Applying this calculation to our data would imply that 23 percent of the bags theoretically could exceed 1.5 percent COHb, with a highest fraction of 7.2 percent COHb.

Cigarette smoking appears to be the main factor causing increased blood concentrations of CO. The time interval between cigarette smoking and blood donation seems to be a particularly important factor. In our study, we investigated elimination kinetics of CO after smoking a cigarette in six volunteers and our results give an indication of the elimination time for CO in smokers. Since all six volunteers did not have the same elimination half-life (range, 4.7-8.4 hr), there are probably other factors involved that need to be further investigated. Only six individuals is not an adequate group size and it would be interesting to study the elimination time in smokers in a larger study. In another study, kinetics for smokers have been investigated and the result showed two-compartmental kinetics with half-lives of 1.6 hours from the first compartment and 30.9 hours from the second. Another study supporting the hypothesis that CO could have a second slower elimination phase showed that former smokers had higher COHb fractions than nonsmokers. Yet another study suggests that blood donors should avoid cigarettes 24 hours before blood donation.

The cutoff value from the ROC analysis was chosen to obtain the best sensitivity in this application, since the specificity is of less importance. Choosing a cutoff of 100 µmol per L CO for smokers versus nonsmokers resulted in a sensitivity of 67.6 percent, that is, the probability that we correctly will determine smokers as smokers. On the other hand, we have 15.1 percent probability to classify nonsmokers as smokers. The other ROC analysis grouping recent versus late smoking showed that at a cutoff of 135 µmol per L, we will classify true recent smokers correctly with 100 percent probability with 1.3 percent risk of determining nonrecent smokers as recent. However, the cutoff from the ROC analysis does not reflect or take into consideration any biologic effects.

CO concentrations in the blood did not correlate with any of the other factors investigated in this study (e.g., workplace, combustion, living environment, and age). In this study, female nonsmokers had lower CO concentrations than male. This is surprising and difficult to explain. Women have higher endogenous production of CO during menstruation. In contrast, the calculated COHb fractions do not reveal any sex differences. Since the Hb concentration is higher in men, one could expect this to be the explanation. However, this would imply that CO correlates with Hb, which is not the case.

During the storage of blood bags, an increase in median CO concentration of 6 µmol per L was found. Since this difference was not significant, the conclusion is that the bags may be stored for 1 week in a refrigerator without changes in CO concentration. Similar results have been obtained by others. In a study by Uchida and coworkers, a decrease in COHb fraction during storage was observed.

In conclusion, this study shows that a proportion of banked blood (6%) demonstrated CO concentrations above 130 µmol per L, which we consider to be the upper physiologic level, with a highest measured concentration of 561 µmol per L. The main source for CO was cigarette smoking. The time interval between smoking and blood donation seems to be a particularly important factor regarding the CO concentration in blood.
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