



Search for invisible Higgs boson decays in vector boson fusion at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration*



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 September 2018
 Received in revised form 12 February 2019
 Accepted 9 April 2019
 Available online 15 April 2019
 Editor: M. Doser

ABSTRACT

We report a search for Higgs bosons that are produced via vector boson fusion and subsequently decay into invisible particles. The experimental signature is an energetic jet pair with invariant mass of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV and $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV missing transverse momentum. The analysis uses 36.1 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS detector at the LHC. In the signal region the 2252 observed events are consistent with the background estimation. Assuming a 125 GeV scalar particle with Standard Model cross sections, the upper limit on the branching fraction of the Higgs boson decay into invisible particles is 0.37 at 95% confidence level where 0.28 was expected. This limit is interpreted in Higgs portal models to set bounds on the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section. We also consider invisible decays of additional scalar bosons with masses up to 3 TeV for which the upper limits on the cross section times branching fraction are in the range of 0.3–1.7 pb.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

We present a search for the decays of the Higgs boson [1,2], produced via the vector boson fusion (VBF) process [3,4], into invisible particles ($\chi \bar{\chi}$) with an anomalous and sizable $\mathcal{O}(10)\%$ branching fraction. The hypothesis under consideration [5–16] is that the Higgs boson might decay into a pair of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMP) [17,18], which may explain the nature of dark matter (see Ref. [19] and the references therein). The search carried out for the 125 GeV Higgs boson is repeated for hypothetical scalars with masses up to 3 TeV. The search is independent on the decay of the mediator because the final state particles are invisible to the detector, while it is dependent on its E_T^{miss} distribution (defined below) because that quantity is reflective of the mediator's p_T distribution.

The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} of proton-proton (pp) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS detector at the LHC in 2015 and 2016. The experimental signature of the VBF production process is a pair of energetic quark jets with a wide gap in pseudorapidity (η) corresponding to the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV value of the invariant mass (m_{jj}) of the highest- p_T jets in the event.¹ The signature for the decay pro-

cess is the $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV value of the missing transverse momentum (E_T^{miss}) that corresponds to the Higgs boson p_T . The VBF topology offers a powerful rejection of the strongly produced² backgrounds due to single vector boson plus two jets, and the multijet background produced from QCD processes. In this analysis, the Higgs production via the gluon fusion mechanism is subdominant to VBF and is considered as part of the signal.

Direct searches for invisible Higgs decays look for an excess of events over Standard Model expectations. The absence of an excess is interpreted as an upper limit on the branching fraction of invisible decays (\mathcal{B}_{inv}) assuming the Standard Model production cross section [20] of the 125 GeV Higgs boson. Other published results have targeted a variety of production mechanisms—gluon fusion, VBF, W or Z associated production [21–25]—to set upper limits on \mathcal{B}_{inv} . The best limits are from the statistical combination of search results for which ATLAS reports an observed (expected) limit of 0.26 (0.17) [26] and CMS reports 0.26 (0.20) [27] at 95% confidence level (CL). For these combinations the single input with the highest expected sensitivity is VBF, the channel pursued here. For the VBF channel using Run-1 data, ATLAS reports 0.28 (0.31) [28] and CMS reports 0.43 (0.31) [29]. In a more recent update of the VBF channel using Run-2 data, ATLAS reports 0.37 (0.28) [this paper] CMS reports 0.33 (0.25) [27].

Global fits to the measurements of visible decay channels of the Higgs boson place indirect constraints on the beyond-the-SM

* E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point in the center of the detector and the z -axis along the beam direction. The x -axis points from the interaction point to the center of the LHC ring; the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$, where θ is the polar angle.

² For the W and Z background processes in this paper, electroweak (EW) refers to diagrams that are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\text{EW}}^4)$ or greater, while strong refers to diagrams that are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ or greater accompanied by $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\text{EW}}^2)$.

decay branching fraction \mathcal{B}_{BSM} . The \mathcal{B}_{BSM} is the sum of \mathcal{B}_{inv} that represents invisible decays and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{undet}}$ that represents the channels that are undetected, i.e., those that are not included in the following combination. For \mathcal{B}_{BSM} using Run-1 data, ATLAS reports 0.49 (0.48) [30] and CMS reports 0.57 (0.52) [31] with similar but not identical assumptions. A combination of ATLAS and CMS results using Run-1 data gives 0.34 (0.39) [32]. In a more recent update using Run-2 data, CMS reports an observed limit on $\mathcal{B}_{\text{undet}}$ of 0.38 [33]. As noted in Ref. [28], there is complementarity between the direct search for invisible Higgs decays and the indirect constraints from the global fits.

In this analysis, several changes and improvements are made with respect to the previous ATLAS paper on this topic [28]. The trigger and hadronic objects are defined considering the simultaneous pp collisions in the same and nearby bunch crossings (pileup) (Section 2). The leading backgrounds are simulated using state-of-the-art QCD predictions (Section 3). The event selections are changed to retain a good sensitivity despite the higher pileup. The analysis extracts the signal yield using a binned likelihood fit to the m_{jj} spectrum in 3 bins to increase the signal sensitivity (Section 4). The estimation of the important and dominant background for the $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$ process (denoted $Z_{\nu\nu}$) relies only on the Z_{ee} and $Z_{\mu\mu}$ control samples, and is not affected by theoretical uncertainties of the W -to- Z extrapolation (Section 5). The systematic uncertainties are evaluated separately for each m_{jj} bin (Section 6). The search is repeated for other scalars with masses up to 3 TeV, which can easily be reinterpreted for models not considered in this Letter (Section 7). Several aspects of the analysis have not changed compared to the ATLAS Run-1 analysis—e.g., subdetector descriptions, transfer factor method, Higgs portal models—and details of these may be found in Ref. [28].

2. Detector, trigger, and analysis objects

ATLAS is a multipurpose particle physics detector with a forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry consisting of a tracking system, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and a muon system [34].

The trigger to record events in the sample containing the VBF signal candidates used a two-level E_T^{miss} algorithm with thresholds adjusted throughout the data-taking period to cope with varying levels of pileup [35,36]. The LEVEL-1 system used coarse-granularity analog sums of the energy deposits in the calorimeter towers to require $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$. The second-level HIGH LEVEL TRIGGER system [37] used jets that are reconstructed from calibrated clusters of cell energies [38] and requires $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 70\text{--}110 \text{ GeV}$ depending on the luminosity and the pileup level. The trigger efficiency [39] for signal events is 98% for $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 180 \text{ GeV}$ when comparing the trigger selection with the offline E_T^{miss} definition that contains additional corrections.

The triggers to record the control samples for background studies used lepton and jet algorithms [40]. The samples with leptonic W and Z decays were collected with a single-electron or -muon trigger with $p_T > 24 \text{ GeV}$ (26 GeV) and an isolation requirement in 2015 (towards the end of 2016). The sample of multijet events was collected using a set of low-threshold single-jet triggers with large prescale values to keep the event rate relatively low.

For each event, a vertex is reconstructed from two or more associated tracks (t) with $p_T > 400 \text{ MeV}$. If multiple vertices are present, we consider the one with the largest $\sum_t (p_{T,t})^2$ as the primary vertex of our candidates.

Leptons ($\ell = e, \mu$) are identified to help characterize events with leptonic final states from decays of vector bosons. Since the signal process contains no leptons, such events are used for the background estimation, which is described in Section 5. Electrons

(muons) must have $p_T > 7 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.47$ (2.5), and satisfy an isolation requirement. Electrons are reconstructed by matching clustered energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter to tracks from the inner detector [41,42] and muons by matching inner detector and muon spectrometer tracks [43]. For electrons (muons) with a p_T value of at least 30 GeV (20–100 GeV), the reconstruction efficiency 80% (96%) with a rejection factor of around 500 (600). All leptons must originate from the primary vertex.

Jets are reconstructed from topological clusters in the calorimeters using the anti- k_t algorithm [44] with a radius parameter $R = 0.4$. Jets must have $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 4.5$. The subset of jets with $p_T < 60 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$ are jet vertex tagged (jvt) [45] to suppress pileup effects, using tracking and vertexing. The jvt is 92% efficient for the jets in the signal process from the primary interaction with a rejection factor of around 100 for pileup jets with p_T value in the range of 20–50 GeV [45].

Cleaning requirements help suppress non-collision backgrounds [46]. Fake jets due to noisy cells are removed by requiring a good fit to the expected pulse shape for each constituent calorimeter cell. Fake jets induced by beam-halo interactions with the LHC collimators are removed by requirements on their energy distribution and the fraction of their constituent tracks that originate from the primary vertex.

In events with identified leptons, an overlap removal procedure is applied to resolve the ambiguities in cases where a jet is also identified in the same $\eta\text{-}\phi$ area, which could occur in situations such as having a heavy-flavor hadron decay within a jet [47]. The lepton–jet overlap in ΔR distance³ is resolved sequentially as follows. If an electron is near a jet with $\Delta R < 0.2$, the jet is removed to avoid the double counting of electron energy deposits. If a remaining jet is near an electron with $0.2 \leq \Delta R < 0.4$, the electron is removed. If a muon is near a jet with $\Delta R < 0.4$ and the jet is associated with at least (less than) three charged tracks with $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$, the muon (jet) is removed.

The E_T^{miss} variable is the magnitude of the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta, $-\sum_i \vec{p}_{T,i}$, where i represents both the “hard objects” and the “soft term.” The hard objects consist of leptons and jets, which are individually reconstructed and calibrated; the list excludes pileup jets, which are removed by a jvt requirement. The soft term is formed from inner detector tracks not associated with the hard objects, but matched to the primary vertex. In the search region, the E_T^{miss} produced by the Higgs decay is balanced in the transverse plane by the dijet system.

The jvt procedure is intended to remove pileup jets, but can cause large fake E_T^{miss} if it removes a high- p_T jet from the hard scatter, e.g., a jet from a p_T -balanced three-jet event. In order to reduce this, a correlated quantity H_T^{miss} —defined as $|\sum_j \vec{p}_{T,j}|$, where j represents all jets without the jvt requirement—is required to be $H_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$. In the three-jet example, H_T^{miss} would be near zero.

The E_T^{miss} significance (S_{MET}) is used only in events with one identified electron in the final state and is defined as $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{p_{T,j_1} + p_{T,j_2} + p_{T,e}}$, where the p_T quantities are for leading jet (j_1), subleading jet (j_2), and electron, respectively. The use of this quantity to reduce the contamination from jets misidentified as electrons is discussed in Section 5.

3. Event simulation

Monte Carlo simulation (MC) consists of an event generation followed by detector simulation [48] using GEANT4 [49]. Simulated events were corrected for the small differences between data and

³ The distance variable is defined as $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$.

MC in the trigger, the lepton identification efficiency, and the jet energy scale and resolution using dedicated data samples.

For the signal process, the VBF events were generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) in QCD using **POWHEG-BOX2** [50]; inclusive NLO electroweak corrections were applied using **HAWK** [51]. The generated events were interfaced with **PYTHIA8** [52] for hadronization and showering, using the **AZNLO** tune [53] and the **NNPDF3.0 NNLO** PDF set [54]. The gluon fusion events were generated using **POWHEG-NNLOPS** [55] with the **PDF4LHC15** PDF set [56] interfaced to a fast detector simulation [57–59]. The cross section for ggF (VBF) was computed at $N^3\text{LO}$ (NNLO) in QCD and NLO (NLO) in electroweak. The showering simulation followed the same procedure as for the VBF sample. For both the VBF and gluon fusion events, the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\nu$ process is included in the sample as invisible decays of the Higgs boson. Additional scalars with masses up to 3 TeV were simulated as described above for VBF signal process, assuming a full width of 4 MeV.

The W and Z events were generated using **SHERPA2.2.1** [60] with **COMIX** [61] and **OPENLOOP**s [62] matrix-element generators, and merged with **SHERPA** parton shower [63] using the **ME+PS@NLO** prescription [64]. The **NNPDF3.0 NNLO** PDF set was used. In terms of the order of the various processes, the strong production was calculated at NLO for up to two jets and leading order (LO) for the third and fourth jets. The electroweak production was calculated at LO for the second and third jets. The levels of the interference between electroweak and strong processes were computed with **MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO** [65]. The interference on the total expected background is only 0.1% and thus neglected.

Other potential background processes involve top quarks, dibosons, and multijets. Top quarks and dibosons were generated with **POWHEG** interfaced with **PYTHIA** and **EVTGEN** [66], which simulate the heavy-flavor decays. The diboson backgrounds include electroweak-mediated processes. The multijet estimate does not directly use the MC.

To each hard-scatter MC event, pileup collisions (30 on average) were added to mimic the environment of the LHC. The pileup collisions, simulated with **PYTHIA8** [52] using **MSTW2008** PDF [67] and the A2 set of tuned parameters [68], were subsequently reweighted to reproduce the pileup distribution in data.

The sizes of the MC samples vary depending on the process. The effective luminosity ranges for the MC samples varies depending on the process and on the selections, which are defined in Section 4. For the W process, the MC sample is approximately half of that of the data selected for the W control region and also half for the signal region. For the Z process, the MC sample for the $Z_{\ell\ell}$ subprocess is approximately twice that of data in the Z control region; the MC sample for $Z_{\nu\nu}$ subprocess is approximately the same as that of data in the signal region.

4. Event selection

All events must have a primary vertex. The selection listed below divides the data sample into a signal-enriched search region (SR) and background-enriched control regions (CR). The control regions and the statistical fit are discussed in detail in Section 5. The rest of this section focuses on the SR and the prefit event yields.⁴

For the SR, an event is required to have

- no isolated electron or muon,
- a leading jet with $p_T > 80\text{ GeV}$,
- a subleading jet with $p_T > 50\text{ GeV}$,

⁴ “Prefit” indicates that the event yields are not adjusted according to the statistical treatment of the background predictions, which is described in the second half of Section 5. “Postfit” labels the quantities that come out of the fit procedure.

Table 1

Event yields in the signal region (SR) and control regions (CR) summed over lepton charge and flavor. The yields are the prefit values for $m_{jj} > 1\text{ TeV}$. The observed data (N), the background estimate (B), and the signal (S for $m_H = 125\text{ GeV}$ with $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = 1$) are given. The B and S values for individual processes are rounded to a precision commensurate with the sampling uncertainty associated with the finite MC sample size. For all processes the fractions of electroweak production [EW] are given. “Other” is defined in the text.

Description	SR		W CR		Z CR	
	Yield	[EW]	Yield	[EW]	Yield	[EW]
N , observed	2252		1602		166	
B , expected	2243		1648		183	
$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$	1111	[18%]	–	–	–	–
$Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu$	12	[9%]	38	[9%]	181	[23%]
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	10	[16%]	11	[16%]	–	–
$W \rightarrow ev, \mu\nu$	540	[16%]	1400	[30%]	–	–
$W \rightarrow \tau\nu$	533	[20%]	130	[34%]	–	–
Other	36		67		2	
S , signal	1070		–	–	–	–
VBF	930		–	–	–	–
Gluon fusion	140		–	–	–	–

- no additional jets with $p_T > 25\text{ GeV}$,
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 180\text{ GeV}$,
- $H_T^{\text{miss}} > 150\text{ GeV}$.

The two jets are required to have the following properties:

- not be aligned with \vec{E}_T^{miss} , $|\Delta\phi_{j-\text{MET}}| > 1$,
- not be back-to-back, $|\Delta\phi_{jj}| < 1.8$,
- be well separated in η , $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| > 4.8$,
- be in opposite η hemispheres, $\eta_{j_1} \cdot \eta_{j_2} < 0$,
- $m_{jj} > 1\text{ TeV}$.

The SR includes background events containing a W or Z plus two jets, where the W decays into ev , $\mu\nu$, and $\tau\nu$, and the Z decays into two neutrinos. Here the leptons from the W decays are not reconstructed since they would otherwise be rejected by the selection.

Table 1 gives the prefit SR yields in the first column. The VBF production process gives the biggest contribution (87%) to the signal sample (fixed as $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = 1$). The contribution from gluon fusion accompanied by parton radiation is small (13%) and other production modes contribute negligibly. The fraction of VBF signal events that pass the signal region event selections, defined as acceptance times reconstruction efficiency, is 0.7%. As is discussed in Section 7, the signal significance is improved by considering three bins of m_{jj} defined as follows: $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5\text{ TeV}$, $1.5 < m_{jj} \leq 2\text{ TeV}$, and $m_{jj} > 2\text{ TeV}$. The prefit S/B ratio (for $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = 1$) in these bins is approximately 0.3, 0.4, 0.8, respectively.

For the backgrounds, both the strong production and the EW production contribute in the SR. The strong production processes contributes more than 70% of the backgrounds in all of the m_{jj} bins. There is variation in the EW fractions for the background processes due to a combination of the following factors: known differences in the production diagrams between W and Z , differences in kinematic acceptance for the particular W or Z decay, and differences in the MC sample size for each EW process.

5. Control samples and statistical treatment

The main backgrounds in the SR, comprising of 98% of the background, are the W and Z processes. The minor backgrounds, comprising the remaining 2%, are the diboson, $t\bar{t}$, and multijet processes. Accurate estimation of the W and Z processes is the biggest challenge of the analysis. The main background yields are extracted using dedicated control samples in data.




Fig. 1. Data-to-MC yield comparisons in the 27 subsamples used in the statistical fit. The observed data N (dots) are superimposed on the *prefit* backgrounds B (stacked histogram with shaded systematic uncertainty bands). The hypothetical signal S (empty blue histogram) is shown on top of B for $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}=1$. The bottom panels show the ratios of N (dots) and $B+S$ (blue line) to B with the systematic uncertainty band shown on the line at 1. The 1, 2, and 3 bin labels corresponds to $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5 \text{ TeV}$, $1.5 < m_{jj} \leq 2 \text{ TeV}$, and $m_{jj} > 2 \text{ TeV}$, respectively. The “e fakes” refers to $S_{\text{MET}} < 4\sqrt{\text{GeV}}$ selection and is determined by the fit, so *postfit* values are shown for the purposes of illustration. The diboson contribution is included in the electroweak (EW) W and Z bosons.

This section is organized as follows. First, the two main CR are described and the associated prefit yields are given. Second, the fit parameters are defined along with a discussion of the contamination in the W_{ev} subsample. Third, the fit procedure is described and the postfit yields are stated. Lastly, the minor backgrounds and the estimation of the multijet processes are described.

The W CR requires one identified lepton with a p_T threshold of 30 GeV, but the selections are otherwise identical to those of the SR. The initial $\ell\nu$ selection is divided by lepton flavor, charge, and, for the $e\nu$ final state, a passing selection on $S_{\text{MET}} > 4\sqrt{\text{GeV}}$ to define four W CR subsamples ($W_{\mu^+\nu}$, $W_{\mu^-\nu}$, $W_{e^+\nu}^{\text{HIGH}}$, $W_{e^-\nu}^{\text{HIGH}}$). The complementary failed selection on S_{MET} defines the two “fake-enriched” subsamples ($W_{e^+\nu}^{\text{LOW}}$, $W_{e^-\nu}^{\text{LOW}}$). The E_T^{miss} is calculated by adding the calibrated leptons to the sum.

The Z CR is based on the same selection criteria as the SR, but the lepton veto is replaced by the requirement of two same-flavor opposite-sign leptons ℓ with $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 25 \text{ GeV}$. The sample is divided by lepton flavor, but not by charge (Z_{ee} , $Z_{\mu\mu}$). The leading lepton- p_T threshold is the same as above, and the subleading lepton- p_T threshold is 7 GeV. The E_T^{miss} is calculated as is done above.

Table 1 gives the prefit CR yields for the inclusive selection of $m_{jj} > 1 \text{ TeV}$ for the W (Z) CR in the third (fourth) columns. These prefit yields are the inputs for the statistical fit described below. The samples are very pure, as the relative contribution of the W (Z) CR is 95% (99%) from W (Z) decays. The definitions of the main normalizations parameters in the fit are

$$\begin{aligned} (B_W^{\text{SR}})_{\text{estimate}} &= N_W^{\text{CR}} \cdot B_W^{\text{SR}} / B_W^{\text{CR}} = B_W^{\text{SR}} \cdot N_W^{\text{CR}} / B_W^{\text{CR}} \\ (B_Z^{\text{SR}})_{\text{estimate}} &= N_Z^{\text{CR}} \cdot B_Z^{\text{SR}} / B_Z^{\text{CR}} = B_Z^{\text{SR}} \cdot N_Z^{\text{CR}} / B_Z^{\text{CR}}, \\ &\quad \alpha \text{ transfer} \qquad \beta \text{ normalization} \end{aligned}$$

where the event yields are for the observed data (N) and the MC estimate of the background (B). The transfer factor α is the SR-to-CR ratio of the MC yields, and is a quantity useful for visualizing how the systematic uncertainties partially cancel out. The normalization β is the data-to-MC ratio in the CR, which is extracted from the fit. The analysis is performed in three m_{jj} bins i , so i also indexes α and β .

For the W_{ev}^{HIGH} subsample in the W CR, a yield parameter v_{fake} is introduced to quantify the “e fakes,” the group of electron candidates that are not prompt electrons. This contamination occurs most often when a jet from a multijet event identified as an electron candidate. The underlying idea is that the W decays (multijet)

have high (low) E_T^{miss} resolution event-by-event. Since S_{MET} is a proxy for E_T^{miss} resolution, a passing (failing) selection on $S_{\text{MET}} > 4\sqrt{\text{GeV}}$ provides a W_{ev}^{HIGH} (W_{ev}^{LOW}) subsample depleted (enriched) in e fakes. In the fake-enriched W_{ev}^{LOW} subsample, about a third of the events are due to e fakes. (For the W_{ev} process, the E_T^{miss} comes from the neutrino. For this reason, the kinematic bias in E_T^{miss} due to the S_{MET} selection was found to be negligible at the 1% level.) The resulting subsamples are tied together by a fixed ratio ρ_{fake} , which is determined using a separate “pure-fake” region.

The pure-fake region (F_{ev}) is defined by a selection on the electron likelihood (\mathcal{L}_e). Since \mathcal{L}_e is optimized to separate electrons from backgrounds originating from dijet processes [41], requiring that the candidate’s \mathcal{L}_e value fail the TIGHT definition [42], while satisfying a looser definition, selects the F_{ev} data sample. As done above, the S_{MET} selection creates two subsamples (F_{ev}^{HIGH} , F_{ev}^{LOW}). The F_{ev}^{LOW} -to- F_{ev}^{HIGH} ratio of the number of events in data is ρ_{fake} , with the small amount of prompt W contamination subtracted using MC.

Model testing uses a profile likelihood-ratio test statistic [69] in the CL_S -modified frequentist formalism [70]. The statistical treatment considers a total of 27 bins: three m_{jj} bins for each of nine subsamples (one for the SR, four for the W CR, two for the fake-enriched subsamples, two for the Z CR). A maximum-likelihood fit to the observed data in each m_{jj} bin sets an upper limit⁵ using a one-sided confidence level, on \mathcal{B}_{inv} for the 125 GeV Higgs boson and on the product $\sigma_{\text{scalar}}^{\text{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ for a scalar of different mass. The prefit comparisons of data and MC are shown for all subsamples in Fig. 1.

The fit procedure extracts the nine floating parameters introduced above (β_W , β_Z , v_{fake} for each m_{jj} bin). After the fit, the postfit β parameters are consistent with the SM prefit prediction within their 1σ uncertainties. The postfit comparisons of data and expected backgrounds are shown in Fig. 2 for the two key variables, m_{jj} and E_T^{miss} , for the W and Z CR. The m_{jj} (E_T^{miss}) plot groups the backgrounds to show the dependence of the distribution shape on the production mechanism (final state).

⁵ The likelihood is a product of Poisson functions, one for each sample of N events while expecting λ , a Gaussian function for each systematic uncertainty, and a Poisson function for the number of MC events. In the simple scenario with only W and Z backgrounds, the λ for the SR would be $S + \beta_W \cdot B_{\text{SR}}^W + \beta_Z \cdot B_{\text{SR}}^Z$, with each quantity multiplied by the response function for a systematic uncertainty. For the W CR it is $\beta_W \cdot B_{\text{CR}}^W$ and for the Z CR it is $\beta_Z \cdot B_{\text{CR}}^Z$. See, e.g., Ref. [71].




Fig. 2. Distribution of event yields in the Z (top) and W (bottom) control regions. The postfit normalizations for m_{jj} (left) and E_T^{miss} (right) are summed over the subsamples. The E_T^{miss} distributions start at 180 GeV as indicated. The observed data N (dots) are superimposed on the sum of the backgrounds B (stacked histogram with shaded systematic uncertainty bands). The breakdown of the B is given in the lower left box in each panel. The bottom panels show the ratios of N to B with the systematic uncertainty band shown on the line at 1. The “other,” as listed in Table 1, contribute a few events at low values of m_{jj} and E_T^{miss} , and are omitted. The last bin in each plot contains the overflow.

The postfit value of ν_{fake} (the product $\rho_{\text{fake}} \cdot \nu_{\text{fake}}$) is the absolute number of e fake events in the W_{ev}^{HIGH} (W_{ev}^{LOW}) subsamples. Since there is a ν_{fake} parameter for each bin i , the m_{jj} shape is also predicted. Apart from determining the ρ_{fake} value, which is fixed in the fit, F_{ev} is not part of the fit model. We note that the $W_{ev}^{\text{HIGH}}-W_{ev}^{\text{LOW}}$ samples are split by charge, because W^\pm production is not symmetric in pp collisions. However, the same ν_{fake} parameter is used for both charges because the e fakes are expected to be symmetric in charge since they originate mostly from multijet events.

The remaining processes—top quarks, dibosons, multijets—contribute negligibly to the SR (called “other” in Table 1). The first two are estimated with MC using nominal cross sections. The multijet contribution is very small, but it is a difficult process to estimate. It is a potentially dangerous background because those events that pass the E_T^{miss} selection are mostly due to instrumental effects.

The billionfold-or-more reduction of multijets after the event selection makes it impractical to simulate, so a data-driven method based on a rebalance-and-smear strategy [72] is used. The assumption is that the E_T^{miss} is due to jet mismeasurement in the detector response to jets and neutrinos from heavy-flavor decays [73,74]. Using the jet-triggered sample, the jet momenta are rebalanced by a kinematic fit, within their experimental uncertainties, to obtain the balanced value of the jets’ p_T . The rebalanced jets are smeared according to jet response templates, which are obtained from MC and validated with dijet data. The rebalance-and-smear method predicts both the shape of the E_T^{miss} distribution and the absolute normalization. The procedure is verified in a $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ -sideband validation region (VR) with 95% purity of QCD multijet events. This VR is defined by $1.8 < |\Delta\phi_{jj}| < 2.7$ and the loosening of the other requirements ($|\Delta\eta_{jj}| > 3$, $m_{jj} > 0.6$ TeV, and allow a third leading jet with $25 < p_T < 50$ GeV, but no other jets with $p_T > 25$ GeV). The




Fig. 3. Distribution of event yields in the multijet validation region for m_{jj} (left) and E_T^{miss} (right). The m_{jj} plot shows the $100 < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 120$ GeV subset of the right plot as indicated by the arrow. The N observed data (dots) are superimposed on the sum of the B backgrounds (stacked histogram). The systematic uncertainty band applies only to the multijet component. The statistical uncertainties are relatively large because of the weighting of the trigger samples with large prescale values. See the caption of Fig. 2 for other plotting details.

comparison of the predictions and the data in the VR shows good agreement (Fig. 3). The multijet component is obtained using the rebalance-and-smear method with the associated systematic uncertainty bands, while the non-multijet components are obtained using MC.

6. Uncertainties

Experimental and theoretical sources of uncertainties as well as the correlations between the various sources are described. The resulting impact of the uncertainties on the yields and on the signal sensitivity is summarized later in Table 2.

Experimental sources of uncertainty are due mainly to the jet energy scale and resolution [75], E_T^{miss} soft term [76], and lepton measurements [42,43]. In order to reduce fluctuations due to limited MC sample size, the uncertainties in number of expected events for the variations of jet energy scale and resolution for the strong and electroweak background samples are averaged. This is motivated by the similarities of the kinematics and the detector effects for the two production processes for each m_{jj} bin. The uncertainty related to lepton identification or veto has a non-negligible (negligible) effect on α_W (α_Z) because of the following scenarios. The W_{ev} background is significant in the SR, which results in an uncertainty for the cases related to the lepton veto. The Z_{ee} background is negligible in the SR, because the selection requires there to be no leptons.

The following experimental sources have small or negligible impact in the final result. The pileup distribution and luminosity [77,78] have a relatively small impact. The trigger efficiency modeling, for both the lepton triggers for the CR and E_T^{miss} triggers for the SR, are not listed in Table 2. Their impact on the events yields was at the 1% level and their impact on the signal sensitivity are found to be negligible.

Theoretical sources of uncertainty are due mainly to scale choices in fixed-order matrix-element calculations. For the background processes, QCD scales are varied for the resummation scale (resum.), renormalization scale (renorm.), factorization scale (fact.), and ckkw matching scale. The first three scales in the list—technically called q^2 , μ_R , μ_F , respectively—are varied by a factor of two [79,80]. For the ckkw matching scale between the matrix element and the parton shower [60], the central value and the considered variations are 20^{+10}_{-5} GeV. The higher-order electroweak corrections to the strongly produced W or Z are found to be negligible.

Table 2

Sources of uncertainty. The first set shows Δ , the relative improvement of the 95% CL upper limit on \mathcal{B}_{inv} when the source of uncertainty is “removed” by fixing it to its best-fit value. The “visual” column shows bars whose lengths from the center tick are proportional to Δ . The second set shows the effect on the yields and the α transfer factors for the $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5$ TeV bin. The yields are for the signal process in the SR (S), Z MC in the SR (B_{SR}^Z), and Z MC in the CR (B_{CR}^Z). The α_Z is given to demonstrate the reduction in the uncertainty in the ratio $B_{\text{SR}}^Z/B_{\text{CR}}^Z$. The individual yields for the W are not shown because the cancellation effects are similar to the Z counterparts. The value for “3rd jet veto” corresponds only to the uncertainty related to jet bin migration for signal processes; the corresponding effect for the background processes are evaluated in the various jet energy and theoretical variations. The abbreviations for the theoretical sources are described in the text. The ‘-’ indicates that the quantity is not applicable. The “combined” rows at the bottom are not simple sums of the rows above because of the Δ metric; the symbols ($\dagger, \ddagger, *$) are parenthetically defined in the table. The penultimate (last) row shows the summary impact of removing the systematic uncertainties due to the experimental and theoretical sources (as well as statistical uncertainties of the MC samples).

Source	\mathcal{B}_{inv} improve. [%] using all m_{jj} bins		Yields, α changes (%) in $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5$ TeV				
	Δ	visual	S	B_{SR}^Z	B_{CR}^Z	α_Z	α_W
Experimental (\dagger)							
Jet energy scale	10		12	7	8	8	6
Jet energy resol.	2		2	0	1	1	4
$E_{\text{miss}}^{\text{soft term}}$	1		2	2	2	2	2
Lepton id., veto	2		-	-	-	0	4
Pileup distrib.	1		3	1	2	3	1
Luminosity	0		2	2	2	-	-
Theoretical (\ddagger)							
Resum. scale	1		-	2	3	0	2
Renorm., fact.	2		-	20	19	1	2
CKKW matching	4		-	2	3	1	5
PDF	0		1	1	2	1	1
3rd jet veto	2		7	-	-	-	-
Statistical							
MC sample (*)	12		4	5	9	10	9
Data sample	21		6	5	12	12	6
Combined							
All \dagger sources	17		-	-	-	-	-
All \ddagger sources	10		-	-	-	-	-
Combine \dagger, \ddagger	28		-	-	-	-	-
Combine $\dagger, \ddagger, *$	42		-	-	-	-	-

The effects of the theoretical variations are evaluated with a sample of generated MC events prior to reconstruction, which is larger than the reconstructed sample. Moreover, in order to reduce fluctuations due to limited MC statistics, the effect of the resummation and CKKW variations as a function of m_{jj} are determined by a linear fit, using m_{jj} values below the selection for the SR and a sample with loosened selection on $\Delta\eta_{jj}$ and $\Delta\phi_{jj}$. We verified that an additional systematic uncertainty associated with the extrapolation is dominated by the statistical fluctuations in the varied samples.

For both signal and background, the effects of the choice of a parton distribution function (PDF) set have a relatively small impact. The variations are considered using an ensemble of PDFs within the NNPDF set [54] and the standard deviation of the distribution is taken as the uncertainty.

For the signal process, the effect of the scale uncertainty on the third-jet veto for the gluon fusion plus two-jet contribution is evaluated using the jet-bin method [81]. The similar effect for the VBF contribution is evaluated by comparing the scale varied samples before and after the third-jet veto. The impact on the Higgs signal yield is dominated by the VBF contribution, which is around 7%.

Statistical uncertainties are due to the data and MC sample sizes.

Systematic uncertainties are assumed to be either fully correlated or uncorrelated. The uncertainties from the following sources in each independent m_{jj} bin are correlated between the SR and CR: QCD scales, PDF, and lepton measurements. The theoretical uncertainties due to QCD scales are uncorrelated between the following pairs: signal vs. background, electroweak vs. strong production, and W vs. Z production. Theoretical uncertainties are fully uncorrelated between bins of m_{jj} , while the experimental uncertainties are fully correlated, both of which are expected to be conservative assumptions.

One major difference between Ref. [28] and this paper—with the former (latter) employing (not employing) the W -to- Z extrapolation strategy—is that we now have a larger $Z_{\ell\ell}$ control sample. We found that the final limit result based on the statistical uncertainty of the enlarged $Z_{\ell\ell}$ control sample is similar to the result assuming the theoretical uncertainties on the W -to- Z ratio (including the associated MC sample statistical uncertainties). This being the case, this paper adopts the method that is less dependent on theoretical assumptions.

The sources of uncertainty are grouped into the three main categories given above (Table 2). The impact of each source is measured in two ways: (1) on the 95% CL upper limit on \mathcal{B}_{inv} and (2) on the event yields and α transfer factors. Impact (1) assesses the percentage improvement of the \mathcal{B}_{inv} limit if that source of uncertainty is removed after fixing the associated parameter to its best-fit value. Impact (2) demonstrates that the systematic uncertainties in the individual yields partially cancel out for many of the theoretical sources. However, for many of the experimental sources the cancellation is not achieved due to limited MC statistics of the varied samples. For example, the effects of varying the renormalization and factorization scales change the MC yield in the Z SR (B_{SR}^Z in Table 2) and the Z CR (B_{CR}^Z) by about 20%, but the α_Z transfer factor changes by only 1%. In Table 2, only the $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5$ TeV yields are shown for the purpose of illustrating the partial cancellation in the ratio.

In general, the uncertainties are higher with m_{jj} . The MC sample statistics is the largest source of systematic uncertainties, with the uncertainty increasing with m_{jj} due to limited number of simulated events. The theory uncertainties are also higher with m_{jj} values for the same reason. The experimental jet energy uncertainties are also affected by the limited sample size, with larger fluctuations because of fluctuations that do not cancel for each individual systematic variations. For the sources contributing the




Fig. 4. Contributions to the relative uncertainty in the transfer factors α_Z (left) and α_W (right) in the three m_{jj} bins of the SR. The theoretical uncertainties from the sources noted in the legend are combined in quadrature.




Fig. 5. Distribution of event yields in the signal region for m_{jj} (left) and E_T^{miss} (right). The E_T^{miss} distributions start at 180 GeV and shows the most sensitive $m_{jj} > 2$ TeV subset of the SR as indicated by the arrow. The *postfit* normalizations for m_{jj} (E_T^{miss}) distributions use separate background, B , normalizations in the three (one) m_{jj} bins of $1 < m_{jj} \leq 1.5$ TeV, $1.5 < m_{jj} \leq 2$ TeV, and $m_{jj} > 2$ TeV ($m_{jj} > 2$ TeV), and sum the contributions from W and Z bosons (electroweak and strong production modes). The hypothetical signal S (empty blue histogram) is shown on top of B for $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = 1$. The bottom panels show the ratios of N (dots) and $B + S$ (blue line) to B with the systematic uncertainty band shown on the line at 1. The bin width in the m_{jj} plots (E_T^{miss}) is 500 GeV (50 GeV except for the first bin with the non-zero entry, which is 20 GeV). See the caption of Fig. 2 for other plotting details.

largest uncertainties, the α_Z and the α_W variations in the three m_{jj} bins are shown graphically in Fig. 4.

The combination of uncertainties from various sources shows that the dominant category has a systematic origin (penultimate row of Table 2). The lack of MC statistical precision for background processes with $m_{jj} > 2$ TeV has the largest impact on \mathcal{B}_{inv} . We note that the Δ values are percent improvements of the final limit on \mathcal{B}_{inv} , so they do not add in quadrature or in any such standard statistical combinations.

7. Results and interpretations

The 2252 observed events in the SR are divided among the three m_{jj} bins defined previously: 952, 667, and 633 events. These values are consistent with the background-only postfit yields of the sum of the background processes of 2100 events, which are divided among the three m_{jj} bins: 850 ± 113 , 660 ± 90 , and 590 ± 81 , respectively. The uncertainty represents the combined effect due to experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties. These postfit values are also consistent with the prefit predictions. The expected signal yields (for $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = 1$ for VBF and gluon fusion) are 300, 310, and 460, respectively, and the last m_{jj} bin has the highest sensitivity with $S/B \approx 0.8$.

The postfit SR event distributions of m_{jj} and E_T^{miss} are shown in Fig. 5, and we observe agreement, within uncertainties, between the data and the expected backgrounds.




Fig. 6. Upper limits on (a) the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section using Higgs portal interpretations of \mathcal{B}_{inv} at 90% CL vs. m_{WIMP} and (b) the VBF cross section times the branching fraction to invisible decays at 95% CL vs. m_{scalar} . The top plot shows results from Ref. [85–87].

The left plot in Fig. 5 also shows that the S/B ratio rises with increasing m_{jj} values, which motivates our division of the SR into multiple bins. The total electroweak contribution in the SR is relatively small at $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ (Table 1), but the much flatter distribution of m_{jj} makes it an important contribution to the final result. As noted in Section 5, the background estimation is done independently for each m_{jj} bin to reduce the dependence on m_{jj} modeling.

The fit, assuming the 125 GeV Higgs boson, gives the observed (expected) upper limit on \mathcal{B}_{inv} of 0.37 (0.28^{+0.11}_{-0.08}) at 95% CL, and 0.32 (0.23^{+0.11}_{-0.10}) at 90% CL, where the uncertainties placed on the expected limit represent the 1σ variations. With this result, connections to WIMP dark matter can be made in the context of Higgs portal models [82]. The limit on \mathcal{B}_{inv} can be used to set limit on the Higgs-WIMP coupling by the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section formulae ($\sigma_{\text{WIMP-nucleon}}$). In this paper, scalar and Majorana fermion WIMP models are considered [11,83,84].

The overlay of the interpretation of this result with the limits from some of the direct detection experiments [85–87] shows the complementarity in coverage (Fig. 6(a)). For the scalar WIMP interpretation cross sections are excluded at values ranging from $\mathcal{O}(10^{-42})$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-45}) \text{ cm}^2$ and for the Majorana fermion WIMP interpretation the exclusion range is from $\mathcal{O}(10^{-45})$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-46}) \text{ cm}^2$, depending on the WIMP mass. The uncertainty band in the plot uses an updated computation of the nucleon form factors [88].

The correlation between \mathcal{B}_{inv} and $\sigma_{\text{WIMP-nucleon}}$ is presented in the effective field theory framework assuming that the new-physics scale is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV [28], well above the scale probed at the Higgs boson mass. Adding a renormalizable mechanism for generating the fermion WIMP masses could modify the above-mentioned correlation [89].

In place of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, the same selection is applied to additional scalars with masses (m_{scalar}) of up to 3 TeV assuming only VBF production. The fraction of VBF signal events that pass the signal region event selections corresponding to the

acceptance times efficiency ranges from 0.6–3%. The signal efficiency for the inclusive $m_{jj} > 1 \text{ TeV}$ selection increases with the mass of the scalar boson, because the VBF jets is more forward with higher mass, and thus have more events at higher values of m_{jj} . The limit on $\sigma_{\text{scalar}}^{\text{VBF}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ as a function of m_{scalar} is shown in Fig. 6(b). The 95% confidence level upper limits on the cross section times branching fraction are in the range of 0.3–1.7 pb.

8. Conclusions

A search for Higgs boson decays into invisible particles is presented using the 36.1 fb^{-1} of pp collision data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ collected in 2015 and 2016 by the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The targeted signature is the VBF topology with two energetic jets with a wide gap in η and large E_T^{miss} .

Assuming the Standard Model cross section for the 125 GeV Higgs boson, an upper limit of 0.37 is set on \mathcal{B}_{inv} at 95% CL. This result is interpreted using Higgs portal models to exclude regions in the $\sigma_{\text{WIMP-nucleon}}$ vs. m_{WIMP} parameter space to exclude cross section values ranging from $\mathcal{O}(10^{-42})$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-46}) \text{ cm}^2$, depending on the WIMP mass and the WIMP model.

Searches for invisible decays of scalars with masses of up to 3 TeV are reported for the first time from ATLAS in the VBF production mode. These results are rather general and can be used for further interpretations.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFC, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, Canarie, CRC and Compute Canada, Canada; COST, ERC, ERDF, Horizon 2020, and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF, Greece; BSF-NSF and GIF, Israel; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain; The Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [90].

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex].
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30, arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex].
- [3] S. Dittmaier, C. Mariotti, G. Passarino, R. Tanaka (Eds.), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 1. Inclusive Observables, 2011, CERN-2011-002, arXiv:1101.0593 [hep-ph].
- [4] S. Dittmaier, C. Mariotti, G. Passarino, R. Tanaka (Eds.), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 2. Differential Distributions, 2012, CERN-2012-002, arXiv:1201.3084 [hep-ph].
- [5] I. Antoniadis, M. Tuckmantel, F. Zwirner, Phenomenology of a leptonic goldstino and invisible Higgs boson decays, Nucl. Phys. B 707 (2005) 215, arXiv:hep-ph/0410165.
- [6] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, J. March-Russell, Neutrino masses from large extra dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 024032, arXiv:hep-ph/9811448.
- [7] S. Kanemura, S. Matsumoto, T. Nabeshima, N. Okada, Can WIMP dark matter overcome the nightmare scenario?, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 055026, arXiv:1005.5651 [hep-ph].
- [8] A. Djouadi, O. Lebedev, Y. Mambrini, J. Quevillon, Implications of LHC searches for Higgs-portal dark matter, Phys. Lett. B 709 (2012) 65, arXiv:1112.3299 [hep-ph].
- [9] R.E. Shrock, M. Suzuki, Invisible decays of Higgs bosons, Phys. Lett. B 110 (1982) 250.
- [10] D. Choudhury, D.P. Roy, Signatures of an invisibly decaying Higgs particle at LHC, Phys. Lett. B 322 (1994) 368, arXiv:hep-ph/9312347.
- [11] O.J.P. Eboli, D. Zeppenfeld, Observing an invisible Higgs boson, Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 147, arXiv:hep-ph/0009158.
- [12] H. Davoudiasl, T. Han, H.E. Logan, Discovering an invisibly decaying Higgs at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 115007, arXiv:hep-ph/0412269.
- [13] R.M. Godbole, M. Guchait, K. Mazumdar, S. Moretti, D.P. Roy, Search for ‘invisible’ Higgs signals at LHC via associated production with gauge bosons, Phys. Lett. B 571 (2003) 184, arXiv:hep-ph/0304137.
- [14] D. Ghosh, R. Godbole, M. Guchait, K. Mohan, D. Sengupta, Looking for an invisible Higgs signal at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 725 (2013) 344, arXiv:1211.7015 [hep-ph].
- [15] G. Belanger, B. Dumont, U. Ellwanger, J.F. Gunion, S. Kraml, Status of invisible Higgs decays, Phys. Lett. B 723 (2013) 340, arXiv:1302.5694 [hep-ph].
- [16] D. Curtin, R. Essig, S. Gori, P. Jaiswal, A. Katz, Tao Liu, Zhen Liu, D. McKeen, J. Shelton, M. Strassler, Z. Surujon, B. Tweedie, Y.-M. Zhong, Exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 075004, arXiv:1312.4992 [hep-ph].
- [17] H. Goldberg, Constraint on the photino mass from cosmology, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1419.
- [18] J.R. Ellis, J.S. Hagelin, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Olive, M. Srednicki, Supersymmetric relics from the big bang, Nucl. Phys. B 238 (1984) 453.
- [19] D. Clowe, et al., A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter, Astrophys. J. 648 (2006) L109, arXiv:astro-ph/0608407.
- [20] D. de Florian, C. Grojean, F. Maltoni, C. Mariotti, A. Nikitenko, M. Pieri, P. Savard, M. Schumacher, R. Tanaka (Eds.), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector, FERMILAB-FN-1025-T, 2016, CERN-2017-002-M, arXiv:1610.07922 [hep-ph].
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for invisible particles produced in association with single-top-quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 79, arXiv:1410.5404 [hep-ex].
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Search for invisible decays of Higgs bosons in the vector boson fusion and associated ZH production modes, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2980, arXiv:1404.1344 [hep-ex].
- [23] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for invisible decays of the Higgs boson produced in association with a hadronically decaying vector boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 337, arXiv:1504.04324 [hep-ex].
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Search for dark matter in proton-proton collisions at 8 TeV with missing transverse momentum and vector boson tagged jets, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2016) 083, Erratum: J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2017) 035, arXiv:1607.05764 [hep-ex].
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for an invisibly decaying Higgs boson or dark matter candidates produced in association with a Z boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 776 (2018) 318, arXiv:1708.09624 [hep-ex].
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, Combination of searches for invisible Higgs boson decays with the ATLAS experiment, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2649407>, 2018.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Search for invisible decays of a Higgs boson produced through vector boson fusion in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, arXiv:1809.05937 [hep-ex].

- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for invisible decays of a Higgs boson using vector-boson fusion in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* 01 (2016) 172, arXiv:1508.07869 [hep-ex].
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Searches for invisible decays of the Higgs boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$, 8, and 13 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2017) 135, arXiv:1610.09218 [hep-ex].
- [30] ATLAS Collaboration, Constraints on new phenomena via Higgs boson couplings and invisible decays with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2015) 206, arXiv:1509.00672 [hep-ex].
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Precise determination of the mass of the Higgs boson and tests of compatibility of its couplings with the standard model predictions using proton collisions at 7 and 8 TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 75 (2015) 212, arXiv:1412.8662 [hep-ex].
- [32] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and constraints on its couplings from a combined ATLAS and CMS analysis of the LHC pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2016) 045, arXiv:1606.02266 [hep-ex].
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Combined measurements of Higgs boson couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, arXiv:1809.10733 [hep-ex], 2018.
- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08003.
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS transverse-momentum trigger performance at the LHC in 2011, ATLAS-CONF-2014-002, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1647616>, 2014.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, Analytical description of missing transverse-momentum trigger rates in ATLAS with 7 and 8 TeV data, ATL-DAQ-PUB-2017-002, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2292378>, 2017.
- [37] ATLAS TDAQ Collaboration, The ATLAS data acquisition and high level trigger system, *J. Instrum.* 11 (2016) P06008.
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 490, arXiv:1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [39] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 317, arXiv:1611.09661 [hep-ex].
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, Trigger menu in 2016, ATL-DAQ-PUB-2017-001, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2242069>, 2017.
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron efficiency measurements with the ATLAS detector using 2012 LHC proton-proton collision data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 195, arXiv:1612.01456 [hep-ex].
- [42] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron efficiency measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015 LHC proton-proton collision data, ATLAS-CONF-2016-024, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2157687>, 2016.
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 292, arXiv:1603.05598 [hep-ex].
- [44] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, Anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, arXiv:0802.1189 [hep-ex].
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 581, arXiv:1510.03823 [hep-ex].
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, Selection of jets produced in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector, ATLAS-CONF-2015-029, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2037702>, 2015.
- [47] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with hadronically decaying τ -leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 99 (2019) 012009, arXiv:1808.06358 [hep-ex].
- [48] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].
- [49] GEANT4 Collaboration, GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250.
- [50] P. Nason, C. Oleari, NLO Higgs boson production via vector-boson fusion matched with shower in POWHEG [POWHEG-BOX r1655], *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2010) 037, arXiv:0911.5299 [hep-ph].
- [51] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, Electroweak and QCD corrections to Higgs production via vector-boson fusion at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2003) 013002, arXiv:0710.4749 [hep-ex].
- [52] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum distribution in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2014) 145, arXiv:1406.3660 [hep-ex].
- [54] R.D. Ball, et al., Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2015) 040, arXiv:1410.8849 [hep-ph].
- [55] K. Hamilton, P. Nason, E. Re, G. Zanderighi, NNLOPS simulation of Higgs boson production, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2013) 222, arXiv:1309.0017 [hep-ph].
- [56] J. Butterworth, et al., PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, *J. Phys. G* 43 (2016) 023001, arXiv:1510.03865 [hep-ph].
- [57] W. Lukas, Fast simulation for ATLAS: Atlfast-II and ISF, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 396 (2012) 022031.
- [58] ATLAS Collaboration, E_T^{miss} performance in the ATLAS detector using 2015-2016 LHC pp collisions, ATLAS-CONF-2018-023, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2625233>, 2018.
- [59] ATLAS Collaboration, FastCaloSim performance plots, SIM-2018-001, <http://cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PLOTS/SIM-2018-001/>, 2018.
- [60] T. Gleisberg, et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [61] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, Comix, a new matrix element generator, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2008) 039, arXiv:0808.3674 [hep-ph].
- [62] F. Cascioli, P. Maierhofer, S. Pozzorini, Scattering amplitudes with open loops, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (2012) 111601, arXiv:1111.5206 [hep-ph].
- [63] S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A parton shower algorithm based on Catani-Seymour dipole factorisation, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2008) 038, arXiv:0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [64] S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements + parton showers. The NLO case, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2013) 027, arXiv:1207.5030 [hep-ph].
- [65] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [66] D.J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 462 (2001) 152.
- [67] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [68] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>, 2011.
- [69] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1554, Erratum: *Eur. Phys. J. C* 73 (2013) 2501, arXiv:1007.1727 [physics.data-an].
- [70] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL(s) technique, *J. Phys. G* 28 (2002) 2693.
- [71] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation and measurement of Higgs boson decays to WW^* with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 92 (2015) 012006, arXiv:1412.2641 [hep-ex].
- [72] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for squarks and gluinos with the ATLAS detector in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 4.7 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV proton-proton collision data, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 012008, arXiv:1208.0949 [hep-ex].
- [73] CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in the multijet and missing transverse momentum final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2014) 055, arXiv:1402.4770 [hep-ex].
- [74] CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics with jets and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2011) 155, arXiv:1106.4503 [hep-ex].
- [75] ATLAS Collaboration, Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* 96 (2017) 072002, arXiv:1703.09665 [hep-ex].
- [76] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, arXiv:1802.08168 [hep-ex], 2018.
- [77] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 653, arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex].
- [78] G. Avoni, et al., The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P07017.
- [79] E. Bothmann, M. Schönherr, S. Schumann, Reweighting QCD matrix-element and parton-shower calculations, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 590, arXiv:1606.08753 [hep-ph].
- [80] S. Dittmaier, C. Mariotti, G. Passarino, S. Heinemeyer, R. Tanaka (Eds.), Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs Properties, 2013, Tech. Rep. CERN-2013-004, FERMILAB-CONF-13-667-T, arXiv:1307.1347 [hep-ph], 2013.
- [81] I.W. Stewart, F.J. Tackmann, Theory uncertainties for Higgs and other searches using jet bins, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 034011, arXiv:1107.2117 [hep-ph].
- [82] B. Patt, F. Wilczek, Higgs-field portal into hidden sectors, MIT-CTP-3745, arXiv:hep-ph/0605188, 2006.
- [83] P.J. Fox, R. Harnik, J. Kopp, Y. Tsai, Missing energy signatures of dark matter at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 056011, arXiv:1109.4398 [hep-ph].
- [84] A. De Simone, G.F. Giudice, A. Strumia, Benchmarks for dark matter searches at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2014) 081, arXiv:1402.6287 [hep-ph].
- [85] D.S. Akerib, et al., Results from a search for dark matter in the complete LUX exposure, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118 (2017) 021303, arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO].
- [86] X. Cui, et al., Dark matter results from 54-ton-day exposure of PandaX-II experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (2017) 181302, arXiv:1708.06917 [astro-ph.CO].
- [87] E. Aprile, et al., Dark matter search results from a one tonne \times year exposure of XENON1T, arXiv:1805.12562 [astro-ph.CO], 2018.
- [88] M. Hoferichter, P. Klos, J. Menéndez, A. Schwenk, Improved limits for Higgs-portal dark matter from LHC searches, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (2017) 181803, arXiv:1708.02245 [hep-ph].

- [89] S. Baek, P. Ko, W.-I. Park, Invisible Higgs decay width versus dark matter direct detection cross section in Higgs portal dark matter models, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 055014, arXiv:1405.3530 [hep-ph].
- [90] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>, 2016.

The ATLAS Collaboration

- M. Aaboud ^{34d}, G. Aad ⁹⁹, B. Abbott ¹²⁵, O. Abdinov ^{13,*}, B. Abeloos ¹²⁹, D.K. Abhayasinghe ⁹¹,
 S.H. Abidi ¹⁶⁴, O.S. AbouZeid ³⁹, N.L. Abraham ¹⁵³, H. Abramowicz ¹⁵⁸, H. Abreu ¹⁵⁷, Y. Abulaiti ⁶,
 B.S. Acharya ^{64a,64b,0}, S. Adachi ¹⁶⁰, L. Adamczyk ^{81a}, J. Adelman ¹¹⁹, M. Adersberger ¹¹², A. Adiguzel ^{12c,ah},
 T. Adye ¹⁴¹, A.A. Affolder ¹⁴³, Y. Afik ¹⁵⁷, C. Agheorghiesei ^{27c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra ^{137f,137a},
 F. Ahmadov ^{77,af}, G. Aielli ^{71a,71b}, S. Akatsuka ⁸³, T.P.A. Åkesson ⁹⁴, E. Akilli ⁵², A.V. Akimov ¹⁰⁸,
 G.L. Alberghi ^{23b,23a}, J. Albert ¹⁷³, P. Albicocco ⁴⁹, M.J. Alconada Verzini ⁸⁶, S. Alderweireldt ¹¹⁷,
 M. Aleksa ³⁵, I.N. Aleksandrov ⁷⁷, C. Alexa ^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos ¹⁰, M. Alhroob ¹²⁵, B. Ali ¹³⁹, G. Alimonti ^{66a},
 J. Alison ³⁶, S.P. Alkire ¹⁴⁵, C. Allaire ¹²⁹, B.M.M. Allbrooke ¹⁵³, B.W. Allen ¹²⁸, P.P. Allport ²¹,
 A. Aloisio ^{67a,67b}, A. Alonso ³⁹, F. Alonso ⁸⁶, C. Alpigiani ¹⁴⁵, A.A. Alshehri ⁵⁵, M.I. Alstaty ⁹⁹,
 B. Alvarez Gonzalez ³⁵, D. Álvarez Piqueras ¹⁷¹, M.G. Alvaggi ^{67a,67b}, B.T. Amadio ¹⁸,
 Y. Amaral Coutinho ^{78b}, L. Ambroz ¹³², C. Ameling ²⁶, D. Amidei ¹⁰³, S.P. Amor Dos Santos ^{137a,137c},
 S. Amoroso ⁴⁴, C.S. Amrouche ⁵², C. Anastopoulos ¹⁴⁶, L.S. Ancu ⁵², N. Andari ²¹, T. Andeen ¹¹,
 C.F. Anders ^{59b}, J.K. Anders ²⁰, K.J. Anderson ³⁶, A. Andreazza ^{66a,66b}, V. Andrei ^{59a}, C.R. Anelli ¹⁷³,
 S. Angelidakis ³⁷, I. Angelozzi ¹¹⁸, A. Angerami ³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov ^{120b,120a}, A. Annovi ^{69a}, C. Antel ^{59a},
 M.T. Anthony ¹⁴⁶, M. Antonelli ⁴⁹, D.J.A. Antrim ¹⁶⁸, F. Anulli ^{70a}, M. Aoki ⁷⁹, L. Aperio Bella ³⁵,
 G. Arabidze ¹⁰⁴, J.P. Araque ^{137a}, V. Araujo Ferraz ^{78b}, R. Araujo Pereira ^{78b}, A.T.H. Arce ⁴⁷, R.E. Ardell ⁹¹,
 F.A. Arduh ⁸⁶, J-F. Arguin ¹⁰⁷, S. Argyropoulos ⁷⁵, A.J. Armbruster ³⁵, L.J. Armitage ⁹⁰, A Armstrong ¹⁶⁸,
 O. Arnaez ¹⁶⁴, H. Arnold ¹¹⁸, M. Arratia ³¹, O. Arslan ²⁴, A. Artamonov ^{109,*}, G. Artoni ¹³², S. Artz ⁹⁷,
 S. Asai ¹⁶⁰, N. Asbah ⁴⁴, A. Ashkenazi ¹⁵⁸, E.M. Asimakopoulou ¹⁶⁹, L. Asquith ¹⁵³, K. Assamagan ²⁹,
 R. Astalos ^{28a}, R.J. Atkin ^{32a}, M. Atkinson ¹⁷⁰, N.B. Atlay ¹⁴⁸, K. Augsten ¹³⁹, G. Avolio ³⁵, R. Avramidou ^{58a},
 M.K. Ayoub ^{15a}, G. Azuelos ^{107,au}, A.E. Baas ^{59a}, M.J. Baca ²¹, H. Bachacou ¹⁴², K. Bachas ^{65a,65b},
 M. Backes ¹³², P. Bagnaia ^{70a,70b}, M. Bahmani ⁸², H. Bahrasemani ¹⁴⁹, A.J. Bailey ¹⁷¹, J.T. Baines ¹⁴¹,
 M. Bajic ³⁹, C. Bakalis ¹⁰, O.K. Baker ¹⁸⁰, P.J. Bakker ¹¹⁸, D. Bakshi Gupta ⁹³, E.M. Baldin ^{120b,120a},
 P. Balek ¹⁷⁷, F. Balli ¹⁴², W.K. Balunas ¹³⁴, J. Balz ⁹⁷, E. Banas ⁸², A. Bandyopadhyay ²⁴, S. Banerjee ^{178,k},
 A.A.E. Bannoura ¹⁷⁹, L. Barak ¹⁵⁸, W.M. Barbe ³⁷, E.L. Barberio ¹⁰², D. Barberis ^{53b,53a}, M. Barbero ⁹⁹,
 T. Barillari ¹¹³, M-S. Barisits ³⁵, J. Barkeloo ¹²⁸, T. Barklow ¹⁵⁰, N. Barlow ³¹, R. Barnea ¹⁵⁷, S.L. Barnes ^{58c},
 B.M. Barnett ¹⁴¹, R.M. Barnett ¹⁸, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy ^{58a}, A. Baroncelli ^{72a}, G. Barone ²⁶, A.J. Barr ¹³²,
 L. Barranco Navarro ¹⁷¹, F. Barreiro ⁹⁶, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa ^{15a}, R. Bartoldus ¹⁵⁰, A.E. Barton ⁸⁷,
 P. Bartos ^{28a}, A. Basalaev ¹³⁵, A. Bassalat ¹²⁹, R.L. Bates ⁵⁵, S.J. Batista ¹⁶⁴, S. Bathamous ^{34e}, J.R. Batley ³¹,
 M. Battaglia ¹⁴³, M. Bause ^{70a,70b}, F. Bauer ¹⁴², K.T. Bauer ¹⁶⁸, H.S. Bawa ^{150,m}, J.B. Beacham ¹²³,
 M.D. Beattie ⁸⁷, T. Beau ¹³³, P.H. Beauchemin ¹⁶⁷, P. Bechtle ²⁴, H.C. Beck ⁵¹, H.P. Beck ^{20,r}, K. Becker ⁵⁰,
 M. Becker ⁹⁷, C. Becot ⁴⁴, A. Beddall ^{12d}, A.J. Beddall ^{12a}, V.A. Bednyakov ⁷⁷, M. Bedognetti ¹¹⁸, C.P. Bee ¹⁵²,
 T.A. Beermann ³⁵, M. Begalli ^{78b}, M. Begel ²⁹, A. Behera ¹⁵², J.K. Behr ⁴⁴, A.S. Bell ⁹², G. Bella ¹⁵⁸,
 L. Bellagamba ^{23b}, A. Bellerive ³³, M. Bellomo ¹⁵⁷, P. Bellos ⁹, K. Belotskiy ¹¹⁰, N.L. Belyaev ¹¹⁰,
 O. Benary ^{158,*}, D. Benchkroun ^{34a}, M. Bender ¹¹², N. Benekos ¹⁰, Y. Benhammou ¹⁵⁸,
 E. Benhar Noccioli ¹⁸⁰, J. Benitez ⁷⁵, D.P. Benjamin ⁴⁷, M. Benoit ⁵², J.R. Bensinger ²⁶, S. Bentvelsen ¹¹⁸,
 L. Beresford ¹³², M. Beretta ⁴⁹, D. Berge ⁴⁴, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann ¹⁶⁹, N. Berger ⁵, L.J. Bergsten ²⁶,
 J. Beringer ¹⁸, S. Berlendis ⁷, N.R. Bernard ¹⁰⁰, G. Bernardi ¹³³, C. Bernius ¹⁵⁰, F.U. Bernlochner ²⁴,
 T. Berry ⁹¹, P. Berta ⁹⁷, C. Bertella ^{15a}, G. Bertoli ^{43a,43b}, I.A. Bertram ⁸⁷, G.J. Besjes ³⁹,
 O. Bessidskaia Bylund ^{43a,43b}, M. Bessner ⁴⁴, N. Besson ¹⁴², A. Bethani ⁹⁸, S. Bethke ¹¹³, A. Betti ²⁴,
 A.J. Bevan ⁹⁰, J. Beyer ¹¹³, R.M. Bianchi ¹³⁶, O. Biebel ¹¹², D. Biedermann ¹⁹, R. Bielski ⁹⁸, K. Bierwagen ⁹⁷,
 N.V. Biesuz ^{69a,69b}, M. Biglietti ^{72a}, T.R.V. Billoud ¹⁰⁷, M. Bindi ⁵¹, A. Bingul ^{12d}, C. Bini ^{70a,70b},
 S. Biondi ^{23b,23a}, M. Birman ¹⁷⁷, T. Bisanz ⁵¹, J.P. Biswal ¹⁵⁸, C. Bittrich ⁴⁶, D.M. Bjergaard ⁴⁷, J.E. Black ¹⁵⁰,
 K.M. Black ²⁵, T. Blazek ^{28a}, I. Bloch ⁴⁴, C. Blocker ²⁶, A. Blue ⁵⁵, U. Blumenschein ⁹⁰, Dr. Blunier ^{144a},
 G.J. Bobbink ¹¹⁸, V.S. Bobrovnikov ^{120b,120a}, S.S. Bocchetta ⁹⁴, A. Bocci ⁴⁷, D. Boerner ¹⁷⁹, D. Bogavac ¹¹²,
 A.G. Bogdanchikov ^{120b,120a}, C. Bohm ^{43a}, V. Boisvert ⁹¹, P. Bokan ¹⁶⁹, T. Bold ^{81a}, A.S. Boldyrev ¹¹¹,
 A.E. Bolz ^{59b}, M. Bomben ¹³³, M. Bona ⁹⁰, J.S. Bonilla ¹²⁸, M. Boonekamp ¹⁴², A. Borisov ¹²¹, G. Borissov ⁸⁷,
 J. Bortfeldt ³⁵, D. Bortoletto ¹³², V. Bortolotto ^{71a,61b,61c,71b}, D. Boscherini ^{23b}, M. Bosman ¹⁴,
 J.D. Bossio Sola ³⁰, K. Bouaouda ^{34a}, J. Boudreau ¹³⁶, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker ⁸⁷, D. Boumediene ³⁷,

- C. Bourdarios 129, S.K. Boutle 55, A. Boveia 123, J. Boyd 35, I.R. Boyko 77, A.J. Bozson 91, J. Bracinik 21, N. Brahimi 99, A. Brandt 8, G. Brandt 179, O. Brandt 59a, F. Braren 44, U. Bratzler 161, B. Brau 100, J.E. Brau 128, W.D. Breaden Madden 55, K. Brendlinger 44, A.J. Brennan 102, L. Brenner 44, R. Brenner 169, S. Bressler 177, B. Brickwedde 97, D.L. Briglin 21, D. Britton 55, D. Britzger 59b, I. Brock 24, R. Brock 104, G. Brooijmans 38, T. Brooks 91, W.K. Brooks 144b, E. Brost 119, J.H. Broughton 21, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom 82, D. Bruncko 28b, A. Bruni 23b, G. Bruni 23b, L.S. Bruni 118, S. Bruno 71a,71b, B.H. Brunt 31, M. Bruschi 23b, N. Bruscino 136, P. Bryant 36, L. Bryngemark 44, T. Buanes 17, Q. Buat 35, P. Buchholz 148, A.G. Buckley 55, I.A. Budagov 77, M.K. Bugge 131, F. Bührer 50, O. Bulekov 110, D. Bullock 8, T.J. Burch 119, S. Burdin 88, C.D. Burgard 118, A.M. Burger 5, B. Burghgrave 119, K. Burka 82, S. Burke 141, I. Burmeister 45, J.T.P. Burr 132, D. Büscher 50, V. Büscher 97, E. Buschmann 51, P. Bussey 55, J.M. Butler 25, C.M. Buttar 55, J.M. Butterworth 92, P. Butti 35, W. Buttiger 35, A. Buzatu 155, A.R. Buzykaev 120b,120a, G. Cabras 23b,23a, S. Cabrera Urbán 171, D. Caforio 139, H. Cai 170, V.M.M. Cairo 2, O. Cakir 4a, N. Calace 52, P. Calafiura 18, A. Calandri 99, G. Calderini 133, P. Calfayan 63, G. Callea 40b,40a, L.P. Caloba 78b, S. Calvente Lopez 96, D. Calvet 37, S. Calvet 37, T.P. Calvet 152, M. Calvetti 69a,69b, R. Camacho Toro 133, S. Camarda 35, P. Camarri 71a,71b, D. Cameron 131, R. Caminal Armadans 100, C. Camincher 35, S. Campana 35, M. Campanelli 92, A. Camplani 39, A. Campoverde 148, V. Canale 67a,67b, M. Cano Bret 58c, J. Cantero 126, T. Cao 158, Y. Cao 170, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido 35, I. Caprini 27b, M. Caprini 27b, M. Capua 40b,40a, R.M. Carbone 38, R. Cardarelli 71a, F.C. Cardillo 50, I. Carli 140, T. Carli 35, G. Carlino 67a, B.T. Carlson 136, L. Carminati 66a,66b, R.M.D. Carney 43a,43b, S. Caron 117, E. Carquin 144b, S. Carrá 66a,66b, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya 35, D. Casadei 32b, M.P. Casado 14,g, A.F. Casha 164, M. Casolino 14, D.W. Casper 168, R. Castelijn 118, F.L. Castillo 171, V. Castillo Gimenez 171, N.F. Castro 137a,137e, A. Catinaccio 35, J.R. Catmore 131, A. Cattai 35, J. Caudron 24, V. Cavalieri 29, E. Cavallaro 14, D. Cavalli 66a, M. Cavalli-Sforza 14, V. Cavasinni 69a,69b, E. Celebi 12b, F. Ceradini 72a,72b, L. Cerdá Alberich 171, A.S. Cerqueira 78a, A. Cerri 153, L. Cerrito 71a,71b, F. Cerutti 18, A. Cervelli 23b,23a, S.A. Cetin 12b, A. Chafaq 34a, D. Chakraborty 119, S.K. Chan 57, W.S. Chan 118, Y.L. Chan 61a, J.D. Chapman 31, D.G. Charlton 21, C.C. Chau 33, C.A. Chavez Barajas 153, S. Che 123, A. Chegwidden 104, S. Chekanov 6, S.V. Chekulaev 165a, G.A. Chelkov 77,at, M.A. Chelstowska 35, C. Chen 58a, C.H. Chen 76, H. Chen 29, J. Chen 58a, J. Chen 38, S. Chen 134, S.J. Chen 15c, X. Chen 15b,as, Y. Chen 80, Y-H. Chen 44, H.C. Cheng 103, H.J. Cheng 15d, A. Cheplakov 77, E. Cheremushkina 121, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli 34e, E. Cheu 7, K. Cheung 62, L. Chevalier 142, V. Chiarella 49, G. Chiarella 69a, G. Chiodini 65a, A.S. Chisholm 35, A. Chitan 27b, I. Chiu 160, Y.H. Chiu 173, M.V. Chizhov 77, K. Choi 63, A.R. Chomont 129, S. Chouridou 159, Y.S. Chow 118, V. Christodoulou 92, M.C. Chu 61a, J. Chudoba 138, A.J. Chuinard 101, J.J. Chwastowski 82, L. Chytka 127, D. Cinca 45, V. Cindro 89, I.A. Cioară 24, A. Ciocio 18, F. Cirotto 67a,67b, Z.H. Citron 177, M. Citterio 66a, A. Clark 52, M.R. Clark 38, P.J. Clark 48, C. Clement 43a,43b, Y. Coadou 99, M. Cobal 64a,64c, A. Coccato 53b,53a, J. Cochran 76, A.E.C. Coimbra 177, L. Colasurdo 117, B. Cole 38, A.P. Colijn 118, J. Collot 56, P. Conde Muiño 137a,137b, E. Coniavitis 50, S.H. Connell 32b, I.A. Connolly 98, S. Constantinescu 27b, F. Conventi 67a,av, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar 132, F. Cormier 172, K.J.R. Cormier 164, M. Corradi 70a,70b, E.E. Corrigan 94, F. Corriveau 101,ad, A. Cortes-Gonzalez 35, M.J. Costa 171, D. Costanzo 146, G. Cottin 31, G. Cowan 91, B.E. Cox 98, J. Crane 98, K. Cranmer 122, S.J. Crawley 55, R.A. Creager 134, G. Cree 33, S. Crépé-Renaudin 56, F. Crescioli 133, M. Cristinziani 24, V. Croft 122, G. Crosetti 40b,40a, A. Cueto 96, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann 146, A.R. Cukierman 150, J. Cúth 97, S. Czekierda 82, P. Czodrowski 35, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa 58b, C. Da Via 98, W. Dabrowski 81a, T. Dado 28a,y, S. Dahbi 34e, T. Dai 103, F. Dallaire 107, C. Dallapiccola 100, M. Dam 39, G. D'amen 23b,23a, J. Damp 97, J.R. Dandoy 134, M.F. Daneri 30, N.P. Dang 178,k, N.D. Dann 98, M. Danninger 172, V. Dao 35, G. Darbo 53b, S. Darmora 8, O. Dartsi 5, A. DattaGupta 128, T. Daubney 44, S. D'Auria 55, W. Davey 24, C. David 44, T. Davidek 140, D.R. Davis 47, E. Dawe 102, I. Dawson 146, K. De 8, R. De Asmundis 67a, A. De Benedetti 125, M. De Beurs 118, S. De Castro 23b,23a, S. De Cecco 70a,70b, N. De Groot 117, P. de Jong 118, H. De la Torre 104, F. De Lorenzi 76, A. De Maria 51,t, D. De Pedis 70a, A. De Salvo 70a, U. De Sanctis 71a,71b, A. De Santo 153, K. De Vasconcelos Corga 99, J.B. De Vivie De Regie 129, C. Debenedetti 143, D.V. Dedovich 77, N. Dehghanian 3, M. Del Gaudio 40b,40a, J. Del Peso 96, Y. Delabat Diaz 44, D. Delgove 129, F. Deliot 142, C.M. Delitzsch 7, M. Della Pietra 67a,67b, D. Della Volpe 52, A. Dell'Acqua 35, L. Dell'Asta 25, M. Delmastro 5, C. Delporte 129, P.A. Delsart 56, D.A. DeMarco 164, S. Demers 180, M. Demichev 77, S.P. Denisov 121, D. Denysiuk 118, L. D'Eramo 133, D. Derendarz 82, J.E. Derkaoui 34d, F. Derue 133, P. Dervan 88, K. Desch 24,

- C. Deterre ⁴⁴, K. Dette ¹⁶⁴, M.R. Devesa ³⁰, P.O. Deviveiros ³⁵, A. Dewhurst ¹⁴¹, S. Dhaliwal ²⁶,
 F.A. Di Bello ⁵², A. Di Ciaccio ^{71a,71b}, L. Di Ciaccio ⁵, W.K. Di Clemente ¹³⁴, C. Di Donato ^{67a,67b},
 A. Di Girolamo ³⁵, B. Di Micco ^{72a,72b}, R. Di Nardo ¹⁰⁰, K.F. Di Petrillo ⁵⁷, A. Di Simone ⁵⁰, R. Di Sipio ¹⁶⁴,
 D. Di Valentino ³³, C. Diaconu ⁹⁹, M. Diamond ¹⁶⁴, F.A. Dias ³⁹, T. Dias Do Vale ^{137a}, M.A. Diaz ^{144a},
 J. Dickinson ¹⁸, E.B. Diehl ¹⁰³, J. Dietrich ¹⁹, S. Díez Cornell ⁴⁴, A. Dimitrieva ¹⁸, J. Dingfelder ²⁴,
 F. Dittus ³⁵, F. Djama ⁹⁹, T. Djobava ^{156b}, J.I. Djuvsland ^{59a}, M.A.B. Do Vale ^{78c}, M. Dobre ^{27b},
 D. Dodsworth ²⁶, C. Doglioni ⁹⁴, J. Dolejsi ¹⁴⁰, Z. Dolezal ¹⁴⁰, M. Donadelli ^{78d}, J. Donini ³⁷, A. D'onofrio ⁹⁰,
 M. D'Onofrio ⁸⁸, J. Dopke ¹⁴¹, A. Doria ^{67a}, M.T. Dova ⁸⁶, A.T. Doyle ⁵⁵, E. Drechsler ⁵¹, E. Dreyer ¹⁴⁹,
 T. Dreyer ⁵¹, Y. Du ^{58b}, J. Duarte-Campderros ¹⁵⁸, F. Dubinin ¹⁰⁸, M. Dubovsky ^{28a}, A. Dubreuil ⁵²,
 E. Duchovni ¹⁷⁷, G. Duckeck ¹¹², A. Ducourthial ¹³³, O.A. Ducu ^{107,x}, D. Duda ¹¹³, A. Dudarev ³⁵,
 A.C. Dudder ⁹⁷, E.M. Duffield ¹⁸, L. Duflot ¹²⁹, M. Dührssen ³⁵, C. Dülsen ¹⁷⁹, M. Dumancic ¹⁷⁷,
 A.E. Dumitriu ^{27b,e}, A.K. Duncan ⁵⁵, M. Dunford ^{59a}, A. Duperrin ⁹⁹, H. Duran Yildiz ^{4a}, M. Düren ⁵⁴,
 A. Durglishvili ^{156b}, D. Duschinger ⁴⁶, B. Dutta ⁴⁴, D. Duvnjak ¹, M. Dynal ⁴⁴, S. Dysch ⁹⁸, B.S. Dziedzic ⁸²,
 C. Eckardt ⁴⁴, K.M. Ecker ¹¹³, R.C. Edgar ¹⁰³, T. Eifert ³⁵, G. Eigen ¹⁷, K. Einsweiler ¹⁸, T. Ekelof ¹⁶⁹,
 M. El Kacimi ^{34c}, R. El Kosseifi ⁹⁹, V. Ellajosyula ⁹⁹, M. Ellert ¹⁶⁹, F. Ellinghaus ¹⁷⁹, A.A. Elliot ⁹⁰, N. Ellis ³⁵,
 J. Elmsheuser ²⁹, M. Elsing ³⁵, D. Emeliyanov ¹⁴¹, Y. Enari ¹⁶⁰, J.S. Ennis ¹⁷⁵, M.B. Epland ⁴⁷, J. Erdmann ⁴⁵,
 A. Ereditato ²⁰, S. Errede ¹⁷⁰, M. Escalier ¹²⁹, C. Escobar ¹⁷¹, O. Estrada Pastor ¹⁷¹, A.I. Etienne ¹⁴²,
 E. Etzion ¹⁵⁸, H. Evans ⁶³, A. Ezhilov ¹³⁵, M. Ezzi ^{34e}, F. Fabbri ⁵⁵, L. Fabbri ^{23b,23a}, V. Fabiani ¹¹⁷,
 G. Facini ⁹², R.M. Faisca Rodrigues Pereira ^{137a}, R.M. Fakhrutdinov ¹²¹, S. Falciano ^{70a}, P.J. Falke ⁵, S. Falke ⁵,
 J. Faltova ¹⁴⁰, Y. Fang ^{15a}, M. Fanti ^{66a,66b}, A. Farbin ⁸, A. Farilla ^{72a}, E.M. Farina ^{68a,68b}, T. Farooque ¹⁰⁴,
 S. Farrell ¹⁸, S.M. Farrington ¹⁷⁵, P. Farthouat ³⁵, F. Fassi ^{34e}, P. Fassnacht ³⁵, D. Fassouliotis ⁹,
 M. Faucci Giannelli ⁴⁸, A. Favareto ^{53b,53a}, W.J. Fawcett ⁵², L. Fayard ¹²⁹, O.L. Fedin ^{135,p}, W. Fedorko ¹⁷²,
 M. Feickert ⁴¹, S. Feigl ¹³¹, L. Feligioni ⁹⁹, C. Feng ^{58b}, E.J. Feng ³⁵, M. Feng ⁴⁷, M.J. Fenton ⁵⁵,
 A.B. Fenyuk ¹²¹, L. Feremenga ⁸, J. Ferrando ⁴⁴, A. Ferrari ¹⁶⁹, P. Ferrari ¹¹⁸, R. Ferrari ^{68a},
 D.E. Ferreira de Lima ^{59b}, A. Ferrer ¹⁷¹, D. Ferrere ⁵², C. Ferretti ¹⁰³, F. Fiedler ⁹⁷, A. Filipčič ⁸⁹,
 F. Filthaut ¹¹⁷, K.D. Finelli ²⁵, M.C.N. Fiolhais ^{137a,137c,a}, L. Fiorini ¹⁷¹, C. Fischer ¹⁴, W.C. Fisher ¹⁰⁴,
 N. Flaschel ⁴⁴, I. Fleck ¹⁴⁸, P. Fleischmann ¹⁰³, R.R.M. Fletcher ¹³⁴, T. Flick ¹⁷⁹, B.M. Flierl ¹¹², L.M. Flores ¹³⁴,
 L.R. Flores Castillo ^{61a}, N. Fomin ¹⁷, G.T. Forcolin ⁹⁸, A. Formica ¹⁴², F.A. Förster ¹⁴, A.C. Forti ⁹⁸,
 A.G. Foster ²¹, D. Fournier ¹²⁹, H. Fox ⁸⁷, S. Fracchia ¹⁴⁶, P. Francavilla ^{69a,69b}, M. Franchini ^{23b,23a},
 S. Franchino ^{59a}, D. Francis ³⁵, L. Franconi ¹³¹, M. Franklin ⁵⁷, M. Frate ¹⁶⁸, M. Fraternali ^{68a,68b},
 D. Freeborn ⁹², S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu ³⁵, B. Freund ¹⁰⁷, W.S. Freund ^{78b}, D. Froidevaux ³⁵, J.A. Frost ¹³²,
 C. Fukunaga ¹⁶¹, E. Fullana Torregrosa ¹⁷¹, T. Fusayasu ¹¹⁴, J. Fuster ¹⁷¹, O. Gabizon ¹⁵⁷, A. Gabrielli ^{23b,23a},
 A. Gabrielli ¹⁸, G.P. Gach ^{81a}, S. Gadatsch ⁵², P. Gadow ¹¹³, G. Gagliardi ^{53b,53a}, L.G. Gagnon ¹⁰⁷, C. Galea ^{27b},
 B. Galhardo ^{137a,137c}, E.J. Gallas ¹³², B.J. Gallop ¹⁴¹, P. Gallus ¹³⁹, G. Galster ³⁹, R. Gamboa Goni ⁹⁰,
 K.K. Gan ¹²³, S. Ganguly ¹⁷⁷, Y. Gao ⁸⁸, Y.S. Gao ^{150,m}, C. García ¹⁷¹, J.E. García Navarro ¹⁷¹,
 J.A. García Pascual ^{15a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres ¹⁸, R.W. Gardner ³⁶, N. Garelli ¹⁵⁰, V. Garonne ¹³¹,
 K. Gasnikova ⁴⁴, A. Gaudiello ^{53b,53a}, G. Gaudio ^{68a}, I.L. Gavrilenko ¹⁰⁸, A. Gavrilyuk ¹⁰⁹, C. Gay ¹⁷²,
 G. Gaycken ²⁴, E.N. Gazis ¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee ¹⁴¹, J. Geisen ⁵¹, M. Geisen ⁹⁷, M.P. Geisler ^{59a}, K. Gellerstedt ^{43a,43b},
 C. Gemme ^{53b}, M.H. Genest ⁵⁶, C. Geng ¹⁰³, S. Gentile ^{70a,70b}, C. Gentsos ¹⁵⁹, S. George ⁹¹, D. Gerbaudo ¹⁴,
 G. Gessner ⁴⁵, S. Ghasemi ¹⁴⁸, M. Ghasemi Bostanabad ¹⁷³, M. Gheneimat ²⁴, B. Giacobbe ^{23b},
 S. Giagu ^{70a,70b}, N. Giangiocomi ^{23b,23a}, P. Giannetti ^{69a}, A. Giannini ^{67a,67b}, S.M. Gibson ⁹¹, M. Gignac ¹⁴³,
 D. Gillberg ³³, G. Gilles ¹⁷⁹, D.M. Gingrich ^{3,au}, M.P. Giordani ^{64a,64c}, F.M. Giorgi ^{23b}, P.F. Giraud ¹⁴²,
 P. Giromini ⁵⁷, G. Giugliarelli ^{64a,64c}, D. Giugni ^{66a}, F. Giuli ¹³², M. Giulini ^{59b}, S. Gkaitatzis ¹⁵⁹, I. Gkialas ^{9,j},
 E.L. Gkougkousis ¹⁴, P. Gkountoumis ¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin ¹¹¹, C. Glasman ⁹⁶, J. Glatzer ¹⁴, P.C.F. Glaysher ⁴⁴,
 A. Glazov ⁴⁴, M. Goblirsch-Kolb ²⁶, J. Godlewski ⁸², S. Goldfarb ¹⁰², T. Golling ⁵², D. Golubkov ¹²¹,
 A. Gomes ^{137a,137b,137d}, R. Goncalves Gama ^{78a}, R. Gonçalo ^{137a}, G. Gonella ⁵⁰, L. Gonella ²¹,
 A. Gongadze ⁷⁷, F. Gonnella ²¹, J.L. Gonski ⁵⁷, S. González de la Hoz ¹⁷¹, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla ⁵²,
 L. Goossens ³⁵, P.A. Gorbounov ¹⁰⁹, H.A. Gordon ²⁹, B. Gorini ³⁵, E. Gorini ^{65a,65b}, A. Gorišek ⁸⁹,
 A.T. Goshaw ⁴⁷, C. Gössling ⁴⁵, M.I. Gostkin ⁷⁷, C.A. Gottardo ²⁴, C.R. Goudet ¹²⁹, D. Goujdami ^{34c},
 A.G. Goussiou ¹⁴⁵, N. Govender ^{32b,c}, C. Goy ⁵, E. Gozani ¹⁵⁷, I. Grabowska-Bold ^{81a}, P.O.J. Gradin ¹⁶⁹,
 E.C. Graham ⁸⁸, J. Gramling ¹⁶⁸, E. Gramstad ¹³¹, S. Grancagnolo ¹⁹, V. Gratchev ¹³⁵, P.M. Gravila ^{27f},
 C. Gray ⁵⁵, H.M. Gray ¹⁸, Z.D. Greenwood ^{93,aj}, C. Grefe ²⁴, K. Gregersen ⁹², I.M. Gregor ⁴⁴, P. Grenier ¹⁵⁰,
 K. Grevtsov ⁴⁴, J. Griffiths ⁸, A.A. Grillo ¹⁴³, K. Grimm ^{150,b}, S. Grinstein ^{14,z}, Ph. Gris ³⁷, J.-F. Grivaz ¹²⁹,

- S. Groh 97, E. Gross 177, J. Grosse-Knetter 51, G.C. Grossi 93, Z.J. Grout 92, C. Grud 103, A. Grummer 116, L. Guan 103, W. Guan 178, J. Guenther 35, A. Guerghichon 129, F. Guescini 165a, D. Guest 168, R. Gugel 50, B. Gui 123, T. Guillemin 5, S. Guindon 35, U. Gul 55, C. Gumpert 35, J. Guo 58c, W. Guo 103, Y. Guo 58a,s, Z. Guo 99, R. Gupta 41, S. Gurbuz 12c, G. Gustavino 125, B.J. Gutelman 157, P. Gutierrez 125, C. Gutschow 92, C. Guyot 142, M.P. Guzik 81a, C. Gwenlan 132, C.B. Gwilliam 88, A. Haas 122, C. Haber 18, H.K. Hadavand 8, N. Haddad 34e, A. Hadef 58a, S. Hageböck 24, M. Haghara 166, H. Hakobyan 181,* M. Haleem 174, J. Haley 126, G. Halladjian 104, G.D. Hallewell 99, K. Hamacher 179, P. Hamal 127, K. Hamano 173, A. Hamilton 32a, G.N. Hamity 146, K. Han 58a,ai, L. Han 58a, S. Han 15d, K. Hanagaki 79,v, M. Hance 143, D.M. Handl 112, B. Haney 134, R. Hankache 133, P. Hanke 59a, E. Hansen 94, J.B. Hansen 39, J.D. Hansen 39, M.C. Hansen 24, P.H. Hansen 39, K. Hara 166, A.S. Hard 178, T. Harenberg 179, S. Harkusha 105, P.F. Harrison 175, N.M. Hartmann 112, Y. Hasegawa 147, A. Hasib 48, S. Hassani 142, S. Haug 20, R. Hauser 104, L. Hauswald 46, L.B. Havener 38, M. Havranek 139, C.M. Hawkes 21, R.J. Hawkings 35, D. Hayden 104, C. Hayes 152, C.P. Hays 132, J.M. Hays 90, H.S. Hayward 88, S.J. Haywood 141, M.P. Heath 48, V. Hedberg 94, L. Heelan 8, S. Heer 24, K.K. Heidegger 50, J. Heilman 33, S. Heim 44, T. Heim 18, B. Heinemann 44,ap, J.J. Heinrich 112, L. Heinrich 122, C. Heinz 54, J. Hejbal 138, L. Helary 35, A. Held 172, S. Hellesund 131, S. Hellman 43a,43b, C. Helsens 35, R.C.W. Henderson 87, Y. Heng 178, S. Henkelmann 172, A.M. Henriques Correia 35, G.H. Herbert 19, H. Herde 26, V. Herget 174, Y. Hernández Jiménez 32c, H. Herr 97, M.G. Herrmann 112, G. Herten 50, R. Hertenberger 112, L. Hervas 35, T.C. Herwig 134, G.G. Hesketh 92, N.P. Hessey 165a, J.W. Hetherly 41, S. Higashino 79, E. Higón-Rodriguez 171, K. Hildebrand 36, E. Hill 173, J.C. Hill 31, K.K. Hill 29, K.H. Hiller 44, S.J. Hillier 21, M. Hils 46, I. Hinchliffe 18, M. Hirose 130, D. Hirschbuehl 179, B. Hiti 89, O. Hladik 138, D.R. Hlaluku 32c, X. Hoad 48, J. Hobbs 152, N. Hod 165a, M.C. Hodgkinson 146, A. Hoecker 35, M.R. Hoeferkamp 116, F. Hoenig 112, D. Hohn 24, D. Hohov 129, T.R. Holmes 36, M. Holzbock 112, M. Homann 45, S. Honda 166, T. Honda 79, T.M. Hong 136, A. Hönle 113, B.H. Hooberman 170, W.H. Hopkins 128, Y. Horii 115, P. Horn 46, A.J. Horton 149, L.A. Horyn 36, J-Y. Hostachy 56, A. Hosticu 145, S. Hou 155, A. Hoummada 34a, J. Howarth 98, J. Hoya 86, M. Hrabovsky 127, J. Hrdinka 35, I. Hristova 19, J. Hrivnac 129, A. Hrynevich 106, T. Hryna'ova 5, P.J. Hsu 62, S.-C. Hsu 145, Q. Hu 29, S. Hu 58c, Y. Huang 15a, Z. Hubacek 139, F. Hubaut 99, M. Huebner 24, F. Huegging 24, T.B. Huffman 132, E.W. Hughes 38, M. Huhtinen 35, R.F.H. Hunter 33, P. Huo 152, A.M. Hupe 33, N. Huseynov 77,af, J. Huston 104, J. Huth 57, R. Hyneman 103, G. Iacobucci 52, G. Iakovidis 29, I. Ibragimov 148, L. Iconomidou-Fayard 129, Z. Idrissi 34e, P. Iengo 35, R. Ignazzi 39, O. Igonkina 118,ab, R. Iguchi 160, T. Izawa 52, Y. Ikegami 79, M. Ikeno 79, D. Iliadis 159, N. Ilic 150, F. Iltzsche 46, G. Introzzi 68a,68b, M. Iodice 72a, K. Iordanidou 38, V. Ippolito 70a,70b, M.F. Isachsen 169, N. Ishijima 130, M. Ishino 160, M. Ishitsuka 162, W. Islam 126, C. Issever 132, S. Istin 12c,ao, F. Ito 166, J.M. Iturbe Ponce 61a, R. Iuppa 73a,73b, A. Ivina 177, H. Iwasaki 79, J.M. Izen 42, V. Izzo 67a, S. Jabbar 3, P. Jacka 138, P. Jackson 1, R.M. Jacobs 24, V. Jain 2, G. Jäkel 179, K.B. Jakobi 97, K. Jakobs 50, S. Jakobsen 74, T. Jakoubek 138, D.O. Jamin 126, D.K. Jana 93, R. Jansky 52, J. Janssen 24, M. Janus 51, P.A. Janus 81a, G. Jarlskog 94, N. Javadov 77,af, T. Javůrek 50, M. Javurkova 50, F. Jeanneau 142, L. Jeanty 18, J. Jejelava 156a,ag, A. Jelinskas 175, P. Jenni 50,d, J. Jeong 44, S. Jézéquel 5, H. Ji 178, J. Jia 152, H. Jiang 76, Y. Jiang 58a, Z. Jiang 150,q, S. Jiggins 50, F.A. Jimenez Morales 37, J. Jimenez Pena 171, S. Jin 15c, A. Jinaru 27b, O. Jinnouchi 162, H. Jivan 32c, P. Johansson 146, K.A. Johns 7, C.A. Johnson 63, W.J. Johnson 145, K. Jon-And 43a,43b, R.W.L. Jones 87, S.D. Jones 153, S. Jones 7, T.J. Jones 88, J. Jongmanns 59a, P.M. Jorge 137a,137b, J. Jovicevic 165a, X. Ju 178, J.J. Junggeburth 113, A. Juste Rozas 14,z, A. Kaczmarśka 82, M. Kado 129, H. Kagan 123, M. Kagan 150, T. Kaji 176, E. Kajomovitz 157, C.W. Kalderon 94, A. Kaluza 97, S. Kama 41, A. Kamenshchikov 121, L. Kanjir 89, Y. Kano 160, V.A. Kantserov 110, J. Kanzaki 79, B. Kaplan 122, L.S. Kaplan 178, D. Kar 32c, M.J. Kareem 165b, E. Karentzos 10, S.N. Karpov 77, Z.M. Karpova 77, V. Kartvelishvili 87, A.N. Karyukhin 121, K. Kasahara 166, L. Kashif 178, R.D. Kass 123, A. Kastanas 151, Y. Kataoka 160, C. Kato 160, J. Katzy 44, K. Kawade 80, K. Kawagoe 85, T. Kawamoto 160, G. Kawamura 51, E.F. Kay 88, V.F. Kazanin 120b,120a, R. Keeler 173, R. Kehoe 41, J.S. Keller 33, E. Kellermann 94, J.J. Kempster 21, J. Kendrick 21, O. Kepka 138, S. Kersten 179, B.P. Kerševan 89, R.A. Keyes 101, M. Khader 170, F. Khalil-Zada 13, A. Khanov 126, A.G. Kharlamov 120b,120a, T. Kharlamova 120b,120a, A. Khodinov 163, T.J. Khoo 52, E. Khramov 77, J. Khubua 156b, S. Kido 80, M. Kiehn 52, C.R. Kilby 91, S.H. Kim 166, Y.K. Kim 36, N. Kimura 64a,64c, O.M. Kind 19, B.T. King 88, D. Kirchmeier 46, J. Kirk 141, A.E. Kiryunin 113, T. Kishimoto 160, D. Kisielewska 81a, V. Kitali 44, O. Kivernyk 5, E. Kladiva 28b,* T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus 50, M.H. Klein 103,

- M. Klein ⁸⁸, U. Klein ⁸⁸, K. Kleinknecht ⁹⁷, P. Klimek ¹¹⁹, A. Klimentov ²⁹, R. Klingenberg ^{45,*}, T. Klingl ²⁴, T. Klioutchnikova ³⁵, F.F. Klitzner ¹¹², P. Kluit ¹¹⁸, S. Kluth ¹¹³, E. Kneringer ⁷⁴, E.B.F.G. Knoops ⁹⁹, A. Knue ⁵⁰, A. Kobayashi ¹⁶⁰, D. Kobayashi ⁸⁵, T. Kobayashi ¹⁶⁰, M. Kobel ⁴⁶, M. Kocian ¹⁵⁰, P. Kodys ¹⁴⁰, T. Koffas ³³, E. Koffeman ¹¹⁸, N.M. Köhler ¹¹³, T. Koi ¹⁵⁰, M. Kolb ^{59b}, I. Koletsou ⁵, T. Kondo ⁷⁹, N. Kondrashova ^{58c}, K. Köneke ⁵⁰, A.C. König ¹¹⁷, T. Kono ⁷⁹, R. Konoplich ^{122,al}, V. Konstantinides ⁹², N. Konstantinidis ⁹², B. Konya ⁹⁴, R. Kopeliansky ⁶³, S. Koperny ^{81a}, K. Korcyl ⁸², K. Kordas ¹⁵⁹, A. Korn ⁹², I. Korolkov ¹⁴, E.V. Korolkova ¹⁴⁶, O. Kortner ¹¹³, S. Kortner ¹¹³, T. Kosek ¹⁴⁰, V.V. Kostyukhin ²⁴, A. Kotwal ⁴⁷, A. Koulouris ¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi ^{68a,68b}, C. Kourkoumelis ⁹, E. Kourlitis ¹⁴⁶, V. Kouskoura ²⁹, A.B. Kowalewska ⁸², R. Kowalewski ¹⁷³, T.Z. Kowalski ^{81a}, C. Kozakai ¹⁶⁰, W. Kozanecki ¹⁴², A.S. Kozhin ¹²¹, V.A. Kramarenko ¹¹¹, G. Kramberger ⁸⁹, D. Krasnoperovtsev ¹¹⁰, M.W. Krasny ¹³³, A. Krasznahorkay ³⁵, D. Krauss ¹¹³, J.A. Kremer ^{81a}, J. Kretzschmar ⁸⁸, P. Krieger ¹⁶⁴, K. Krizka ¹⁸, K. Kroeninger ⁴⁵, H. Kroha ¹¹³, J. Kroll ¹³⁸, J. Kroll ¹³⁴, J. Krstic ¹⁶, U. Kruchonak ⁷⁷, H. Krüger ²⁴, N. Krumnack ⁷⁶, M.C. Kruse ⁴⁷, T. Kubota ¹⁰², S. Kuday ^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler ¹⁷⁹, S. Kuehn ³⁵, A. Kugel ^{59a}, F. Kuger ¹⁷⁴, T. Kuhl ⁴⁴, V. Kukhtin ⁷⁷, R. Kukla ⁹⁹, Y. Kulchitsky ¹⁰⁵, S. Kuleshov ^{144b}, Y.P. Kulinich ¹⁷⁰, M. Kuna ⁵⁶, T. Kunigo ⁸³, A. Kupco ¹³⁸, T. Kupfer ⁴⁵, O. Kuprash ¹⁵⁸, H. Kurashige ⁸⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov ^{165a}, Y.A. Kurochkin ¹⁰⁵, M.G. Kurth ^{15d}, E.S. Kuwertz ¹⁷³, M. Kuze ¹⁶², J. Kvita ¹²⁷, T. Kwan ¹⁰¹, A. La Rosa ¹¹³, J.L. La Rosa Navarro ^{78d}, L. La Rotonda ^{40b,40a}, F. La Ruffa ^{40b,40a}, C. Lacasta ¹⁷¹, F. Lacava ^{70a,70b}, J. Lacey ⁴⁴, D.P.J. Lack ⁹⁸, H. Lacker ¹⁹, D. Lacour ¹³³, E. Ladygin ⁷⁷, R. Lafaye ⁵, B. Laforge ¹³³, T. Lagouri ^{32c}, S. Lai ⁵¹, S. Lammers ⁶³, W. Lampl ⁷, E. Lançon ²⁹, U. Landgraf ⁵⁰, M.P.J. Landon ⁹⁰, M.C. Lanfermann ⁵², V.S. Lang ⁴⁴, J.C. Lange ¹⁴, R.J. Langenberg ³⁵, A.J. Lankford ¹⁶⁸, F. Lanni ²⁹, K. Lantzsch ²⁴, A. Lanza ^{68a}, A. Lapertosa ^{53b,53a}, S. Laplace ¹³³, J.F. Laporte ¹⁴², T. Lari ^{66a}, F. Lasagni Manghi ^{23b,23a}, M. Lassnig ³⁵, T.S. Lau ^{61a}, A. Laudrain ¹²⁹, M. Lavorgna ^{67a,67b}, A.T. Law ¹⁴³, P. Laycock ⁸⁸, M. Lazzaroni ^{66a,66b}, B. Le ¹⁰², O. Le Dortz ¹³³, E. Le Guiriec ⁹⁹, E.P. Le Quilleuc ¹⁴², M. LeBlanc ⁷, T. LeCompte ⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon ⁵⁶, C.A. Lee ²⁹, G.R. Lee ^{144a}, L. Lee ⁵⁷, S.C. Lee ¹⁵⁵, B. Lefebvre ¹⁰¹, M. Lefebvre ¹⁷³, F. Legger ¹¹², C. Leggett ¹⁸, N. Lehmann ¹⁷⁹, G. Lehmann Miotto ³⁵, W.A. Leight ⁴⁴, A. Leisos ^{159,w}, M.A.L. Leite ^{78d}, R. Leitner ¹⁴⁰, D. Lellouch ¹⁷⁷, B. Lemmer ⁵¹, K.J.C. Leney ⁹², T. Lenz ²⁴, B. Lenzi ³⁵, R. Leone ⁷, S. Leone ^{69a}, C. Leonidopoulos ⁴⁸, G. Lerner ¹⁵³, C. Leroy ¹⁰⁷, R. Les ¹⁶⁴, A.A.J. Lesage ¹⁴², C.G. Lester ³¹, M. Levchenko ¹³⁵, J. Levêque ⁵, D. Levin ¹⁰³, L.J. Levinson ¹⁷⁷, D. Lewis ⁹⁰, B. Li ¹⁰³, C-Q. Li ^{58a,ak}, H. Li ^{58b}, L. Li ^{58c}, Q. Li ^{15d}, Q.Y. Li ^{58a}, S. Li ^{58d,58c}, X. Li ^{58c}, Y. Li ¹⁴⁸, Z. Liang ^{15a}, B. Liberti ^{71a}, A. Liblong ¹⁶⁴, K. Lie ^{61c}, S. Liem ¹¹⁸, A. Limosani ¹⁵⁴, C.Y. Lin ³¹, K. Lin ¹⁰⁴, T.H. Lin ⁹⁷, R.A. Linck ⁶³, B.E. Lindquist ¹⁵², A.L. Lionti ⁵², E. Lipeles ¹³⁴, A. Lipniacka ¹⁷, M. Lisovskyi ^{59b}, T.M. Liss ^{170,ar}, A. Lister ¹⁷², A.M. Litke ¹⁴³, J.D. Little ⁸, B. Liu ⁷⁶, B.L. Liu ⁶, H.B. Liu ²⁹, H. Liu ¹⁰³, J.B. Liu ^{58a}, J.K.K. Liu ¹³², K. Liu ¹³³, M. Liu ^{58a}, P. Liu ¹⁸, Y. Liu ^{15a}, Y.L. Liu ^{58a}, Y.W. Liu ^{58a}, M. Livan ^{68a,68b}, A. Lleres ⁵⁶, J. Llorente Merino ^{15a}, S.L. Lloyd ⁹⁰, C.Y. Lo ^{61b}, F. Lo Sterzo ⁴¹, E.M. Lobodzinska ⁴⁴, P. Loch ⁷, K.M. Loew ²⁶, T. Lohse ¹⁹, K. Lohwasser ¹⁴⁶, M. Lokajicek ¹³⁸, B.A. Long ²⁵, J.D. Long ¹⁷⁰, R.E. Long ⁸⁷, L. Longo ^{65a,65b}, K.A.Looper ¹²³, J.A. Lopez ^{144b}, I. Lopez Paz ¹⁴, A. Lopez Solis ¹⁴⁶, J. Lorenz ¹¹², N. Lorenzo Martinez ⁵, M. Losada ²², P.J. Lösel ¹¹², A. Lösle ⁵⁰, X. Lou ⁴⁴, X. Lou ^{15a}, A. Lounis ¹²⁹, J. Love ⁶, P.A. Love ⁸⁷, J.J. Lozano Bahilo ¹⁷¹, H. Lu ^{61a}, M. Lu ^{58a}, N. Lu ¹⁰³, Y.J. Lu ⁶², H.J. Lubatti ¹⁴⁵, C. Luci ^{70a,70b}, A. Lucotte ⁵⁶, C. Luedtke ⁵⁰, F. Luehring ⁶³, I. Luise ¹³³, W. Lukas ⁷⁴, L. Luminari ^{70a}, B. Lund-Jensen ¹⁵¹, M.S. Lutz ¹⁰⁰, P.M. Luzi ¹³³, D. Lynn ²⁹, R. Lysak ¹³⁸, E. Lytken ⁹⁴, F. Lyu ^{15a}, V. Lyubushkin ⁷⁷, H. Ma ²⁹, L.L. Ma ^{58b}, Y. Ma ^{58b}, G. Maccarrone ⁴⁹, A. Macchiolo ¹¹³, C.M. Macdonald ¹⁴⁶, J. Machado Miguens ^{134,137b}, D. Madaffari ¹⁷¹, R. Madar ³⁷, W.F. Mader ⁴⁶, A. Madsen ⁴⁴, N. Madysa ⁴⁶, J. Maeda ⁸⁰, K. Maekawa ¹⁶⁰, S. Maeland ¹⁷, T. Maeno ²⁹, A.S. Maevskiy ¹¹¹, V. Magerl ⁵⁰, C. Maidantchik ^{78b}, T. Maier ¹¹², A. Maio ^{137a,137b,137d}, O. Majersky ^{28a}, S. Majewski ¹²⁸, Y. Makida ⁷⁹, N. Makovec ¹²⁹, B. Malaescu ¹³³, Pa. Malecki ⁸², V.P. Maleev ¹³⁵, F. Malek ⁵⁶, U. Mallik ⁷⁵, D. Malon ⁶, C. Malone ³¹, S. Maltezos ¹⁰, S. Malyukov ³⁵, J. Mamuzic ¹⁷¹, G. Mancini ⁴⁹, I. Mandić ⁸⁹, J. Maneira ^{137a}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho ^{78a}, J. Manjarres Ramos ⁴⁶, K.H. Mankinen ⁹⁴, A. Mann ¹¹², A. Manousos ⁷⁴, B. Mansoulie ¹⁴², J.D. Mansour ^{15a}, M. Mantoani ⁵¹, S. Manzoni ^{66a,66b}, G. Marceca ³⁰, L. March ⁵², L. Marchese ¹³², G. Marchiori ¹³³, M. Marcisovsky ¹³⁸, C.A. Marin Tobon ³⁵, M. Marjanovic ³⁷, D.E. Marley ¹⁰³, F. Marroquim ^{78b}, Z. Marshall ¹⁸, M.U.F Martensson ¹⁶⁹, S. Marti-Garcia ¹⁷¹, C.B. Martin ¹²³, T.A. Martin ¹⁷⁵, V.J. Martin ⁴⁸, B. Martin dit Latour ¹⁷, M. Martinez ^{14,z}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn ¹⁰⁰, S. Martin-Haugh ¹⁴¹, V.S. Martoiu ^{27b}, A.C. Martyniuk ⁹², A. Marzin ³⁵, L. Masetti ⁹⁷, T. Mashimo ¹⁶⁰, R. Mashinistov ¹⁰⁸, J. Masik ⁹⁸, A.L. Maslennikov ^{120b,120a}, L.H. Mason ¹⁰², L. Massa ^{71a,71b}, P. Mastrandrea ⁵, A. Mastroberardino ^{40b,40a}, T. Masubuchi ¹⁶⁰, P. Mättig ¹⁷⁹, J. Maurer ^{27b},

- B. Maček⁸⁹, S.J. Maxfield⁸⁸, D.A. Maximov^{120b,120a}, R. Mazini¹⁵⁵, I. Maznas¹⁵⁹, S.M. Mazza¹⁴³, N.C. Mc Fadden¹¹⁶, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁶⁴, S.P. Mc Kee¹⁰³, A. McCarn¹⁰³, T.G. McCarthy¹¹³, L.I. McClymont⁹², E.F. McDonald¹⁰², J.A. McFayden³⁵, G. Mchedlidze⁵¹, M.A. McKay⁴¹, K.D. McLean¹⁷³, S.J. McMahon¹⁴¹, P.C. McNamara¹⁰², C.J. McNicol¹⁷⁵, R.A. McPherson^{173,ad}, J.E. Mdhluli^{32c}, Z.A. Meadows¹⁰⁰, S. Meehan¹⁴⁵, T.M. Megy⁵⁰, S. Mehlhase¹¹², A. Mehta⁸⁸, T. Meideck⁵⁶, B. Meirose⁴², D. Melini^{171,h}, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{32c}, J.D. Mellenthin⁵¹, M. Melo^{28a}, F. Meloni⁴⁴, A. Melzer²⁴, S.B. Menary⁹⁸, E.D. Mendes Gouveia^{137a}, L. Meng⁸⁸, X.T. Meng¹⁰³, A. Mengarelli^{23b,23a}, S. Menke¹¹³, E. Meoni^{40b,40a}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁹, C. Merlassino²⁰, P. Mermod⁵², L. Merola^{67a,67b}, C. Meroni^{66a}, F.S. Merritt³⁶, A. Messina^{70a,70b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁸, C. Meyer¹³⁴, J. Meyer¹⁵⁷, J-P. Meyer¹⁴², H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{59a}, F. Miano¹⁵³, R.P. Middleton¹⁴¹, L. Mijović⁴⁸, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁷, M. Mikestikova¹³⁸, M. Mikuž⁸⁹, M. Milesi¹⁰², A. Milic¹⁶⁴, D.A. Millar⁹⁰, D.W. Miller³⁶, A. Milov¹⁷⁷, D.A. Milstead^{43a,43b}, A.A. Minaenko¹²¹, M. Miñano Moya¹⁷¹, I.A. Minashvili^{156b}, A.I. Mincer¹²², B. Mindur^{81a}, M. Mineev⁷⁷, Y. Minegishi¹⁶⁰, Y. Ming¹⁷⁸, L.M. Mir¹⁴, A. Mirta^{65a,65b}, K.P. Mistry¹³⁴, T. Mitani¹⁷⁶, J. Mitrevski¹¹², V.A. Mitsou¹⁷¹, A. Miucci²⁰, P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴⁶, A. Mizukami⁷⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark⁹⁴, T. Mkrtchyan¹⁸¹, M. Mlynarikova¹⁴⁰, T. Moa^{43a,43b}, K. Mochizuki¹⁰⁷, P. Mogg⁵⁰, S. Mohapatra³⁸, S. Molander^{43a,43b}, R. Moles-Valls²⁴, M.C. Mondragon¹⁰⁴, K. Mönig⁴⁴, J. Monk³⁹, E. Monnier⁹⁹, A. Montalbano¹⁴⁹, J. Montejo Berlingen³⁵, F. Monticelli⁸⁶, S. Monzani^{66a}, R.W. Moore³, N. Morange¹²⁹, D. Moreno²², M. Moreno Llácer³⁵, P. Morettini^{53b}, M. Morgenstern¹¹⁸, S. Morgenstern⁴⁶, D. Mori¹⁴⁹, T. Mori¹⁶⁰, M. Morii⁵⁷, M. Morinaga¹⁷⁶, V. Morisbak¹³¹, A.K. Morley³⁵, G. Mornacchi³⁵, A.P. Morris⁹², J.D. Morris⁹⁰, L. Morvaj¹⁵², P. Moschovakos¹⁰, M. Mosidze^{156b}, H.J. Moss¹⁴⁶, J. Moss^{150,n}, K. Motohashi¹⁶², R. Mount¹⁵⁰, E. Mountricha³⁵, E.J.W. Moyse¹⁰⁰, S. Muanza⁹⁹, F. Mueller¹¹³, J. Mueller¹³⁶, R.S.P. Mueller¹¹², D. Muenstermann⁸⁷, P. Mullen⁵⁵, G.A. Mullier²⁰, F.J. Munoz Sanchez⁹⁸, P. Murin^{28b}, W.J. Murray^{175,141}, A. Murrone^{66a,66b}, M. Muškinja⁸⁹, C. Mwewa^{32a}, A.G. Myagkov^{121,am}, J. Myers¹²⁸, M. Myska¹³⁹, B.P. Nachman¹⁸, O. Nackenhorst⁴⁵, K. Nagai¹³², K. Nagano⁷⁹, Y. Nagasaka⁶⁰, K. Nagata¹⁶⁶, M. Nagel⁵⁰, E. Nagy⁹⁹, A.M. Nairz³⁵, Y. Nakahama¹¹⁵, K. Nakamura⁷⁹, T. Nakamura¹⁶⁰, I. Nakano¹²⁴, H. Nanjo¹³⁰, F. Napolitano^{59a}, R.F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁴, R. Narayan¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar^{59a}, I. Naryshkin¹³⁵, T. Naumann⁴⁴, G. Navarro²², R. Nayyar⁷, H.A. Neal^{103,*}, P.Y. Nechaeva¹⁰⁸, T.J. Neep¹⁴², A. Negri^{68a,68b}, M. Negrini^{23b}, S. Nektarijevic¹¹⁷, C. Nellist⁵¹, M.E. Nelson¹³², S. Nemecek¹³⁸, P. Nemethy¹²², M. Nessi^{35,f}, M.S. Neubauer¹⁷⁰, M. Neumann¹⁷⁹, P.R. Newman²¹, T.Y. Ng^{61c}, Y.S. Ng¹⁹, H.D.N. Nguyen⁹⁹, T. Nguyen Manh¹⁰⁷, E. Nibigira³⁷, R.B. Nickerson¹³², R. Nicolaïdou¹⁴², J. Nielsen¹⁴³, N. Nikiforou¹¹, V. Nikolaenko^{121,am}, I. Nikolic-Audit¹³³, K. Nikolopoulos²¹, P. Nilsson²⁹, Y. Ninomiya⁷⁹, A. Nisati^{70a}, N. Nishu^{58c}, R. Nisius¹¹³, I. Nitsche⁴⁵, T. Nitta¹⁷⁶, T. Nobe¹⁶⁰, Y. Noguchi⁸³, M. Nomachi¹³⁰, I. Nomidis¹³³, M.A. Nomura²⁹, T. Nooney⁹⁰, M. Nordberg³⁵, N. Norjoharuddeen¹³², T. Novak⁸⁹, O. Novgorodova⁴⁶, R. Novotny¹³⁹, L. Nozka¹²⁷, K. Ntekas¹⁶⁸, E. Nurse⁹², F. Nuti¹⁰², F.G. Oakham^{33,au}, H. Oberlack¹¹³, T. Obermann²⁴, J. Ocariz¹³³, A. Ochi⁸⁰, I. Ochoa³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{144a}, K. O'Connor²⁶, S. Oda⁸⁵, S. Odaka⁷⁹, S. Oerdekk⁵¹, A. Oh⁹⁸, S.H. Oh⁴⁷, C.C. Ohm¹⁵¹, H. Oide^{53b,53a}, H. Okawa¹⁶⁶, Y. Okazaki⁸³, Y. Okumura¹⁶⁰, T. Okuyama⁷⁹, A. Olariu^{27b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra^{137a}, S.A. Olivares Pino^{144a}, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁹, J.L. Oliver¹, M.J.R. Olsson³⁶, A. Olszewski⁸², J. Olszowska⁸², D.C. O'Neil¹⁴⁹, A. Onofre^{137a,137e}, K. Onogi¹¹⁵, P.U.E. Onyisi¹¹, H. Oppen¹³¹, M.J. Oreglia³⁶, Y. Oren¹⁵⁸, D. Orestano^{72a,72b}, E.C. Orgill⁹⁸, N. Orlando^{61b}, A.A. O'Rourke⁴⁴, R.S. Orr¹⁶⁴, B. Osculati^{53b,53a,*}, V. O'Shea⁵⁵, R. Ospanov^{58a}, G. Otero y Garzon³⁰, H. Otono⁸⁵, M. Ouchrif^{34d}, F. Ould-Saada¹³¹, A. Ouraou¹⁴², Q. Ouyang^{15a}, M. Owen⁵⁵, R.E. Owen²¹, V.E. Ozcan^{12c}, N. Ozturk⁸, J. Pacalt¹²⁷, H.A. Pacey³¹, K. Pachal¹⁴⁹, A. Pacheco Pages¹⁴, L. Pacheco Rodriguez¹⁴², C. Padilla Aranda¹⁴, S. Pagan Griso¹⁸, M. Paganini¹⁸⁰, G. Palacino⁶³, S. Palazzo^{40b,40a}, S. Palestini³⁵, M. Palka^{81b}, D. Pallin³⁷, I. Panagoulias¹⁰, C.E. Pandini³⁵, J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁹¹, P. Pani³⁵, G. Panizzo^{64a,64c}, L. Paolozzi⁵², T.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou^{9,j}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez^{61b}, S.R. Paredes Saenz¹³², B. Parida^{58c}, A.J. Parker⁸⁷, K.A. Parker⁴⁴, M.A. Parker³¹, F. Parodi^{53b,53a}, J.A. Parsons³⁸, U. Parzefall⁵⁰, V.R. Pascuzzi¹⁶⁴, J.M.P. Pasner¹⁴³, E. Pasqualucci^{70a}, S. Passaggio^{53b}, F. Pastore⁹¹, P. Pasuwan^{43a,43b}, S. Pataraia⁹⁷, J.R. Pater⁹⁸, A. Pathak^{178,k}, T. Pauly³⁵, B. Pearson¹¹³, M. Pedersen¹³¹, L. Pedraza Diaz¹¹⁷, R. Pedro^{137a,137b}, S.V. Peleganchuk^{120b,120a}, O. Penc¹³⁸, C. Peng^{15d}, H. Peng^{58a}, B.S. Peralva^{78a}, M.M. Perego¹⁴², A.P. Pereira Peixoto^{137a}, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁹, F. Peri¹⁹, L. Perini^{66a,66b}, H. Pernegger³⁵, S. Perrella^{67a,67b}, V.D. Peshekhonov^{77,*}, K. Peters⁴⁴, R.F.Y. Peters⁹⁸,

- B.A. Petersen ³⁵, T.C. Petersen ³⁹, E. Petit ⁵⁶, A. Petridis ¹, C. Petridou ¹⁵⁹, P. Petroff ¹²⁹, E. Petrolo ^{70a}, M. Petrov ¹³², F. Petrucci ^{72a,72b}, M. Pettee ¹⁸⁰, N.E. Pettersson ¹⁰⁰, A. Peyaud ¹⁴², R. Pezoa ^{144b}, T. Pham ¹⁰², F.H. Phillips ¹⁰⁴, P.W. Phillips ¹⁴¹, G. Piacquadio ¹⁵², E. Pianori ¹⁸, A. Picazio ¹⁰⁰, M.A. Pickering ¹³², R. Piegaia ³⁰, J.E. Pilcher ³⁶, A.D. Pilkington ⁹⁸, M. Pinamonti ^{71a,71b}, J.L. Pinfold ³, M. Pitt ¹⁷⁷, M.-A. Pleier ²⁹, V. Pleskot ¹⁴⁰, E. Plotnikova ⁷⁷, D. Pluth ⁷⁶, P. Podberezko ^{120b,120a}, R. Poettgen ⁹⁴, R. Poggi ⁵², L. Poggiali ¹²⁹, I. Pogrebnyak ¹⁰⁴, D. Pohl ²⁴, I. Pokharel ⁵¹, G. Polesello ^{68a}, A. Poley ⁴⁴, A. Policicchio ^{40b,40a}, R. Polifka ³⁵, A. Polini ^{23b}, C.S. Pollard ⁴⁴, V. Polychronakos ²⁹, D. Ponomarenko ¹¹⁰, L. Pontecorvo ³⁵, G.A. Popeneiciu ^{27d}, D.M. Portillo Quintero ¹³³, S. Pospisil ¹³⁹, K. Potamianos ⁴⁴, I.N. Potrap ⁷⁷, C.J. Potter ³¹, H. Potti ¹¹, T. Poulsen ⁹⁴, J. Poveda ³⁵, T.D. Powell ¹⁴⁶, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga ³⁵, P. Pralavorio ⁹⁹, S. Prell ⁷⁶, D. Price ⁹⁸, M. Primavera ^{65a}, S. Prince ¹⁰¹, N. Proklova ¹¹⁰, K. Prokofiev ^{61c}, F. Prokoshin ^{144b}, S. Protopopescu ²⁹, J. Proudfoot ⁶, M. Przybycien ^{81a}, A. Puri ¹⁷⁰, P. Puzo ¹²⁹, J. Qian ¹⁰³, Y. Qin ⁹⁸, A. Quadt ⁵¹, M. Queitsch-Maitland ⁴⁴, A. Qureshi ¹, P. Rados ¹⁰², F. Ragusa ^{66a,66b}, G. Rahal ⁹⁵, J.A. Raine ⁹⁸, S. Rajagopalan ²⁹, A. Ramirez Morales ⁹⁰, T. Rashid ¹²⁹, S. Raspopov ⁵, M.G. Ratti ^{66a,66b}, D.M. Rauch ⁴⁴, F. Rauscher ¹¹², S. Rave ⁹⁷, B. Ravina ¹⁴⁶, I. Ravinovich ¹⁷⁷, J.H. Rawling ⁹⁸, M. Raymond ³⁵, A.L. Read ¹³¹, N.P. Readloff ⁵⁶, M. Reale ^{65a,65b}, D.M. Rebuzzi ^{68a,68b}, A. Redelbach ¹⁷⁴, G. Redlinger ²⁹, R. Reece ¹⁴³, R.G. Reed ^{32c}, K. Reeves ⁴², L. Rehnisch ¹⁹, J. Reichert ¹³⁴, A. Reiss ⁹⁷, C. Rembser ³⁵, H. Ren ^{15d}, M. Rescigno ^{70a}, S. Resconi ^{66a}, E.D. Resseguei ¹³⁴, S. Rettie ¹⁷², E. Reynolds ²¹, O.L. Rezanova ^{120b,120a}, P. Reznicek ¹⁴⁰, R. Richter ¹¹³, S. Richter ⁹², E. Richter-Was ^{81b}, O. Ricken ²⁴, M. Ridel ¹³³, P. Rieck ¹¹³, C.J. Riegel ¹⁷⁹, O. Rifki ⁴⁴, M. Rijssenbeek ¹⁵², A. Rimoldi ^{68a,68b}, M. Rimoldi ²⁰, L. Rinaldi ^{23b}, G. Ripellino ¹⁵¹, B. Ristić ⁸⁷, E. Ritsch ³⁵, I. Riu ¹⁴, J.C. Rivera Vergara ^{144a}, F. Rizatdinova ¹²⁶, E. Rizvi ⁹⁰, C. Rizzi ¹⁴, R.T. Roberts ⁹⁸, S.H. Robertson ^{101,ad}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau ¹⁰¹, D. Robinson ³¹, J.E.M. Robinson ⁴⁴, A. Robson ⁵⁵, E. Rocco ⁹⁷, C. Roda ^{69a,69b}, Y. Rodina ⁹⁹, S. Rodriguez Bosca ¹⁷¹, A. Rodriguez Perez ¹⁴, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez ¹⁷¹, A.M. Rodríguez Vera ^{165b}, S. Roe ³⁵, C.S. Rogan ⁵⁷, O. Røhne ¹³¹, R. Röhrlig ¹¹³, C.P.A. Roland ⁶³, J. Roloff ⁵⁷, A. Romaniouk ¹¹⁰, M. Romano ^{23b,23a}, N. Rompotis ⁸⁸, M. Ronzani ¹²², L. Roos ¹³³, S. Rosati ^{70a}, K. Rosbach ⁵⁰, P. Rose ¹⁴³, N-A. Rosien ⁵¹, E. Rossi ^{67a,67b}, L.P. Rossi ^{53b}, L. Rossini ^{66a,66b}, J.H.N. Rosten ³¹, R. Rosten ¹⁴, M. Rotaru ^{27b}, J. Rothberg ¹⁴⁵, D. Rousseau ¹²⁹, D. Roy ^{32c}, A. Rozanova ⁹⁹, Y. Rozen ¹⁵⁷, X. Ruan ^{32c}, F. Rubbo ¹⁵⁰, F. Rühr ⁵⁰, A. Ruiz-Martinez ¹⁷¹, Z. Rurikova ⁵⁰, N.A. Rusakovich ⁷⁷, H.L. Russell ¹⁰¹, J.P. Rutherford ⁷, E.M. Rüttinger ^{44,l}, Y.F. Ryabov ¹³⁵, M. Rybar ¹⁷⁰, G. Rybkin ¹²⁹, S. Ryu ⁶, A. Ryzhov ¹²¹, G.F. Rzechorz ⁵¹, P. Sabatini ⁵¹, G. Sabato ¹¹⁸, S. Sacerdoti ¹²⁹, H.F-W. Sadrozinski ¹⁴³, R. Sadykov ⁷⁷, F. Safai Tehrani ^{70a}, P. Saha ¹¹⁹, M. Sahinsoy ^{59a}, A. Sahu ¹⁷⁹, M. Saimpert ⁴⁴, M. Saito ¹⁶⁰, T. Saito ¹⁶⁰, H. Sakamoto ¹⁶⁰, A. Sakharov ^{122,al}, D. Salamani ⁵², G. Salamanna ^{72a,72b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola ^{144b}, D. Salek ¹¹⁸, P.H. Sales De Bruin ¹⁶⁹, D. Salihagic ¹¹³, A. Salnikov ¹⁵⁰, J. Salt ¹⁷¹, D. Salvatore ^{40b,40a}, F. Salvatore ¹⁵³, A. Salvucci ^{61a,61b,61c}, A. Salzburger ³⁵, J. Samarati ³⁵, D. Sammel ⁵⁰, D. Sampsonidis ¹⁵⁹, D. Sampsonidou ¹⁵⁹, J. Sánchez ¹⁷¹, A. Sanchez Pineda ^{64a,64c}, H. Sandaker ¹³¹, C.O. Sander ⁴⁴, M. Sandhoff ¹⁷⁹, C. Sandoval ²², D.P.C. Sankey ¹⁴¹, M. Sannino ^{53b,53a}, Y. Sano ¹¹⁵, A. Sansoni ⁴⁹, C. Santoni ³⁷, H. Santos ^{137a}, I. Santoyo Castillo ¹⁵³, A. Sapronov ⁷⁷, J.G. Saraiva ^{137a,137d}, O. Sasaki ⁷⁹, K. Sato ¹⁶⁶, E. Sauvan ⁵, P. Savard ^{164,au}, N. Savic ¹¹³, R. Sawada ¹⁶⁰, C. Sawyer ¹⁴¹, L. Sawyer ^{93,aj}, C. Sbarra ^{23b}, A. Sbrizzi ^{23b,23a}, T. Scanlon ⁹², J. Schaarschmidt ¹⁴⁵, P. Schacht ¹¹³, B.M. Schachtner ¹¹², D. Schaefer ³⁶, L. Schaefer ¹³⁴, J. Schaeffer ⁹⁷, S. Schaepe ³⁵, U. Schäfer ⁹⁷, A.C. Schaffer ¹²⁹, D. Schaille ¹¹², R.D. Schamberger ¹⁵², N. Scharmberg ⁹⁸, V.A. Schegelsky ¹³⁵, D. Scheirich ¹⁴⁰, F. Schenck ¹⁹, M. Schernau ¹⁶⁸, C. Schiavi ^{53b,53a}, S. Schier ¹⁴³, L.K. Schildgen ²⁴, Z.M. Schillaci ²⁶, E.J. Schioppa ³⁵, M. Schioppa ^{40b,40a}, K.E. Schleicher ⁵⁰, S. Schlenker ³⁵, K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld ¹¹³, K. Schmieden ³⁵, C. Schmitt ⁹⁷, S. Schmitt ⁴⁴, S. Schmitz ⁹⁷, U. Schnoor ⁵⁰, L. Schoeffel ¹⁴², A. Schoening ^{59b}, E. Schopf ²⁴, M. Schott ⁹⁷, J.F.P. Schouwenberg ¹¹⁷, J. Schovancova ³⁵, S. Schramm ⁵², A. Schulte ⁹⁷, H-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{59a}, M. Schumacher ⁵⁰, B.A. Schumm ¹⁴³, Ph. Schune ¹⁴², A. Schwartzman ¹⁵⁰, T.A. Schwarz ¹⁰³, H. Schweiger ⁹⁸, Ph. Schwemling ¹⁴², R. Schwienhorst ¹⁰⁴, A. Sciandra ²⁴, G. Sciolla ²⁶, M. Scornajenghi ^{40b,40a}, F. Scuri ^{69a}, F. Scutti ¹⁰², L.M. Scyboz ¹¹³, J. Searcy ¹⁰³, C.D. Sebastiani ^{70a,70b}, P. Seema ²⁴, S.C. Seidel ¹¹⁶, A. Seiden ¹⁴³, T. Seiss ³⁶, J.M. Seixas ^{78b}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{67a}, K. Sekhon ¹⁰³, S.J. Sekula ⁴¹, N. Semprini-Cesari ^{23b,23a}, S. Sen ⁴⁷, S. Senkin ³⁷, C. Serfon ¹³¹, L. Serin ¹²⁹, L. Serkin ^{64a,64b}, M. Sessa ^{72a,72b}, H. Severini ¹²⁵, F. Sforza ¹⁶⁷, A. Sfyrla ⁵², E. Shabalina ⁵¹, J.D. Shahinian ¹⁴³, N.W. Shaikh ^{43a,43b}, L.Y. Shan ^{15a}, R. Shang ¹⁷⁰, J.T. Shank ²⁵, M. Shapiro ¹⁸, A.S. Sharma ¹, A. Sharma ¹³², P.B. Shatalov ¹⁰⁹, K. Shaw ¹⁵³, S.M. Shaw ⁹⁸, A. Shcherbakova ¹³⁵, Y. Shen ¹²⁵, N. Sherafati ³³,

- A.D. Sherman ²⁵, P. Sherwood ⁹², L. Shi ^{155,aq}, S. Shimizu ⁸⁰, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁸⁰, M. Shimojima ¹¹⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey ¹³², S. Shirabe ⁸⁵, M. Shiyakova ⁷⁷, J. Shlomi ¹⁷⁷, A. Shmeleva ¹⁰⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi ¹⁰⁷, M.J. Shochet ³⁶, S. Shojaii ¹⁰², D.R. Shope ¹²⁵, S. Shrestha ¹²³, E. Shulga ¹¹⁰, P. Sicho ¹³⁸, A.M. Sickles ¹⁷⁰, P.E. Sidebo ¹⁵¹, E. Sideras Haddad ^{32c}, O. Sidiropoulou ¹⁷⁴, A. Sidoti ^{23b,23a}, F. Siegert ⁴⁶, Dj. Sijacki ¹⁶, J. Silva ^{137a}, M. Silva Jr. ¹⁷⁸, M.V. Silva Oliveira ^{78a}, S.B. Silverstein ^{43a}, L. Simic ⁷⁷, S. Simion ¹²⁹, E. Simioni ⁹⁷, M. Simon ⁹⁷, R. Simoniello ⁹⁷, P. Sinervo ¹⁶⁴, N.B. Sinev ¹²⁸, M. Sioli ^{23b,23a}, G. Siragusa ¹⁷⁴, I. Siral ¹⁰³, S.Yu. Sivoklokov ¹¹¹, J. Sjölin ^{43a,43b}, M.B. Skinner ⁸⁷, P. Skubic ¹²⁵, M. Slater ²¹, T. Slavicek ¹³⁹, M. Slawinska ⁸², K. Sliwa ¹⁶⁷, R. Slovak ¹⁴⁰, V. Smakhtin ¹⁷⁷, B.H. Smart ⁵, J. Smiesko ^{28a}, N. Smirnov ¹¹⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov ¹¹⁰, Y. Smirnov ¹¹⁰, L.N. Smirnova ¹¹¹, O. Smirnova ⁹⁴, J.W. Smith ⁵¹, M.N.K. Smith ³⁸, R.W. Smith ³⁸, M. Smizanska ⁸⁷, K. Smolek ¹³⁹, A.A. Snesarev ¹⁰⁸, I.M. Snyder ¹²⁸, S. Snyder ²⁹, R. Sobie ^{173,ad}, A.M. Soffa ¹⁶⁸, A. Soffer ¹⁵⁸, A. Søgaard ⁴⁸, D.A. Soh ¹⁵⁵, G. Sokhrannyyi ⁸⁹, C.A. Solans Sanchez ³⁵, M. Solar ¹³⁹, E.Yu. Soldatov ¹¹⁰, U. Soldevila ¹⁷¹, A.A. Solodkov ¹²¹, A. Soloshenko ⁷⁷, O.V. Solovyanov ¹²¹, V. Solovyev ¹³⁵, P. Sommer ¹⁴⁶, H. Son ¹⁶⁷, W. Song ¹⁴¹, A. Sopczak ¹³⁹, F. Sopkova ^{28b}, D. Sosa ^{59b}, C.L. Sotiroglou ^{69a,69b}, S. Sottocornola ^{68a,68b}, R. Soualah ^{64a,64c,i}, A.M. Soukharev ^{120b,120a}, D. South ⁴⁴, B.C. Sowden ⁹¹, S. Spagnolo ^{65a,65b}, M. Spalla ¹¹³, M. Spangenberg ¹⁷⁵, F. Spanò ⁹¹, D. Sperlich ¹⁹, F. Spettel ¹¹³, T.M. Spieker ^{59a}, R. Spighi ^{23b}, G. Spigo ³⁵, L.A. Spiller ¹⁰², D.P. Spiteri ⁵⁵, M. Spousta ¹⁴⁰, A. Stabile ^{66a,66b}, R. Stamen ^{59a}, S. Stamm ¹⁹, E. Stanecka ⁸², R.W. Stanek ⁶, C. Stanescu ^{72a}, B. Stanislaus ¹³², M.M. Stanitzki ⁴⁴, B. Stapf ¹¹⁸, S. Stapnes ¹³¹, E.A. Starchenko ¹²¹, G.H. Stark ³⁶, J. Stark ⁵⁶, S.H. Stark ³⁹, P. Staroba ¹³⁸, P. Starovoitov ^{59a}, S. Stärz ³⁵, R. Staszewski ⁸², M. Stegler ⁴⁴, P. Steinberg ²⁹, B. Stelzer ¹⁴⁹, H.J. Stelzer ³⁵, O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{165a}, H. Stenzel ⁵⁴, T.J. Stevenson ⁹⁰, G.A. Stewart ⁵⁵, M.C. Stockton ¹²⁸, G. Stoica ^{27b}, P. Stolte ⁵¹, S. Stonjek ¹¹³, A. Straessner ⁴⁶, J. Strandberg ¹⁵¹, S. Strandberg ^{43a,43b}, M. Strauss ¹²⁵, P. Strizenec ^{28b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁷⁴, D.M. Strom ¹²⁸, R. Stroynowski ⁴¹, A. Strubig ⁴⁸, S.A. Stucci ²⁹, B. Stugu ¹⁷, J. Stupak ¹²⁵, N.A. Styles ⁴⁴, D. Su ¹⁵⁰, J. Su ¹³⁶, S. Suchek ^{59a}, Y. Sugaya ¹³⁰, M. Suk ¹³⁹, V.V. Sulin ¹⁰⁸, D.M.S. Sultan ⁵², S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁸³, S. Sun ¹⁰³, X. Sun ³, K. Suruliz ¹⁵³, C.J.E. Suster ¹⁵⁴, M.R. Sutton ¹⁵³, S. Suzuki ⁷⁹, M. Svatos ¹³⁸, M. Swiatlowski ³⁶, S.P. Swift ², A. Sydorenko ⁹⁷, I. Sykora ^{28a}, T. Sykora ¹⁴⁰, D. Ta ⁹⁷, K. Tackmann ^{44,aa}, J. Taenzer ¹⁵⁸, A. Taffard ¹⁶⁸, R. Tafirout ^{165a}, E. Tahirovic ⁹⁰, N. Taiblum ¹⁵⁸, H. Takai ²⁹, R. Takashima ⁸⁴, E.H. Takasugi ¹¹³, K. Takeda ⁸⁰, T. Takeshita ¹⁴⁷, Y. Takubo ⁷⁹, M. Talby ⁹⁹, A.A. Talyshев ^{120b,120a}, J. Tanaka ¹⁶⁰, M. Tanaka ¹⁶², R. Tanaka ¹²⁹, R. Tanioka ⁸⁰, B.B. Tannenwald ¹²³, S. Tapia Araya ^{144b}, S. Tapprogge ⁹⁷, A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ¹³³, S. Tarem ¹⁵⁷, G. Tarna ^{27b,e}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{66a}, P. Tas ¹⁴⁰, M. Tasevsky ¹³⁸, T. Tashiro ⁸³, E. Tassi ^{40b,40a}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{137a,137b}, Y. Tayalati ^{34e}, A.C. Taylor ¹¹⁶, A.J. Taylor ⁴⁸, G.N. Taylor ¹⁰², P.T.E. Taylor ¹⁰², W. Taylor ^{165b}, A.S. Tee ⁸⁷, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁹¹, H. Ten Kate ³⁵, P.K. Teng ¹⁵⁵, J.J. Teoh ¹¹⁸, F. Tepel ¹⁷⁹, S. Terada ⁷⁹, K. Terashi ¹⁶⁰, J. Terron ⁹⁶, S. Terzo ¹⁴, M. Testa ⁴⁹, R.J. Teuscher ^{164,ad}, S.J. Thais ¹⁸⁰, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ⁴⁴, F. Thiele ³⁹, J.P. Thomas ²¹, A.S. Thompson ⁵⁵, P.D. Thompson ²¹, L.A. Thomsen ¹⁸⁰, E. Thomson ¹³⁴, Y. Tian ³⁸, R.E. Ticse Torres ⁵¹, V.O. Tikhomirov ^{108,an}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{120b,120a}, S. Timoshenko ¹¹⁰, P. Tipton ¹⁸⁰, S. Tisserant ⁹⁹, K. Todome ¹⁶², S. Todorova-Nova ⁵, S. Todt ⁴⁶, J. Tojo ⁸⁵, S. Tokár ^{28a}, K. Tokushuku ⁷⁹, E. Tolley ¹²³, K.G. Tomiwa ^{32c}, M. Tomoto ¹¹⁵, L. Tompkins ^{150,q}, K. Toms ¹¹⁶, B. Tong ⁵⁷, P. Tornambe ⁵⁰, E. Torrence ¹²⁸, H. Torres ⁴⁶, E. Torró Pastor ¹⁴⁵, C. Toscri ¹³², J. Toth ^{99,ac}, F. Touchard ⁹⁹, D.R. Tovey ¹⁴⁶, C.J. Treado ¹²², T. Trefzger ¹⁷⁴, F. Tresoldi ¹⁵³, A. Tricoli ²⁹, I.M. Trigger ^{165a}, S. Trincaz-Duvold ¹³³, M.F. Tripiana ¹⁴, W. Trischuk ¹⁶⁴, B. Trocmé ⁵⁶, A. Trofymov ¹²⁹, C. Troncon ^{66a}, M. Trovatelli ¹⁷³, F. Trovato ¹⁵³, L. Truong ^{32b}, M. Trzebinski ⁸², A. Trzupek ⁸², F. Tsai ⁴⁴, J.C.-L. Tseng ¹³², P.V. Tsiareshka ¹⁰⁵, N. Tsirintanis ⁹, V. Tsiskaridze ¹⁵², E.G. Tskhadadze ^{156a}, I.I. Tsukerman ¹⁰⁹, V. Tsulaia ¹⁸, S. Tsuno ⁷⁹, D. Tsybychev ¹⁵², Y. Tu ^{61b}, A. Tudorache ^{27b}, V. Tudorache ^{27b}, T.T. Tulbure ^{27a}, A.N. Tuna ⁵⁷, S. Turchikhin ⁷⁷, D. Turgeman ¹⁷⁷, I. Turk Cakir ^{4b,u}, R. Turra ^{66a}, P.M. Tuts ³⁸, E. Tzovara ⁹⁷, G. Ucchielli ^{23b,23a}, I. Ueda ⁷⁹, M. Ughetto ^{43a,43b}, F. Ukegawa ¹⁶⁶, G. Unal ³⁵, A. Undrus ²⁹, G. Unel ¹⁶⁸, F.C. Ungaro ¹⁰², Y. Unno ⁷⁹, K. Uno ¹⁶⁰, J. Urban ^{28b}, P. Urquijo ¹⁰², P. Urrejola ⁹⁷, G. Usai ⁸, J. Usui ⁷⁹, L. Vacavant ⁹⁹, V. Vacek ¹³⁹, B. Vachon ¹⁰¹, K.O.H. Vadla ¹³¹, A. Vaidya ⁹², C. Valderanis ¹¹², E. Valdes Santurio ^{43a,43b}, M. Valente ⁵², S. Valentinietti ^{23b,23a}, A. Valero ¹⁷¹, L. Valéry ⁴⁴, R.A. Vallance ²¹, A. Vallier ⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer ¹⁷¹, T.R. Van Daalen ¹⁴, W. Van Den Wollenberg ¹¹⁸, H. Van der Graaf ¹¹⁸, P. Van Gemmeren ⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop ¹⁴⁹, I. Van Vulpen ¹¹⁸, M. Vanadia ^{71a,71b}, W. Vandelli ³⁵, A. Vaniachine ¹⁶³, P. Vankov ¹¹⁸, R. Vari ^{70a}, E.W. Varnes ⁷, C. Varni ^{53b,53a}, T. Varol ⁴¹, D. Varouchas ¹²⁹, K.E. Varvell ¹⁵⁴, G.A. Vasquez ^{144b}, J.G. Vasquez ¹⁸⁰, F. Vazeille ³⁷, D. Vazquez Furelos ¹⁴,

- T. Vazquez Schroeder ¹⁰¹, J. Veatch ⁵¹, V. Vecchio ^{72a,72b}, L.M. Veloce ¹⁶⁴, F. Veloso ^{137a,137c}, S. Veneziano ^{70a}, A. Ventura ^{65a,65b}, M. Venturi ¹⁷³, N. Venturi ³⁵, V. Vercesi ^{68a}, M. Verducci ^{72a,72b}, C.M. Vergel Infante ⁷⁶, W. Verkerke ¹¹⁸, A.T. Vermeulen ¹¹⁸, J.C. Vermeulen ¹¹⁸, M.C. Vetterli ^{149,au}, N. Viaux Maira ^{144b}, M. Vicente Barreto Pinto ⁵², I. Vichou ^{170,*}, T. Vickey ¹⁴⁶, O.E. Vickey Boeriu ¹⁴⁶, G.H.A. Viehhauser ¹³², S. Viel ¹⁸, L. Vigani ¹³², M. Villa ^{23b,23a}, M. Villaplana Perez ^{66a,66b}, E. Vilucchi ⁴⁹, M.G. Vinchter ³³, V.B. Vinogradov ⁷⁷, A. Vishwakarma ⁴⁴, C. Vittori ^{23b,23a}, I. Vivarelli ¹⁵³, S. Vlachos ¹⁰, M. Vogel ¹⁷⁹, P. Vokac ¹³⁹, G. Volpi ¹⁴, S.E. von Buddenbrock ^{32c}, E. Von Toerne ²⁴, V. Vorobel ¹⁴⁰, K. Vorobev ¹¹⁰, M. Vos ¹⁷¹, J.H. Vossebeld ⁸⁸, N. Vranjes ¹⁶, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic ¹⁶, V. Vrba ¹³⁹, M. Vreeswijk ¹¹⁸, T. Šfiligoj ⁸⁹, R. Vuillermet ³⁵, I. Vukotic ³⁶, T. Ženiš ^{28a}, L. Živković ¹⁶, P. Wagner ²⁴, W. Wagner ¹⁷⁹, J. Wagner-Kuhr ¹¹², H. Wahlberg ⁸⁶, S. Wahrmund ⁴⁶, K. Wakamiya ⁸⁰, V.M. Walbrecht ¹¹³, J. Walder ⁸⁷, R. Walker ¹¹², S.D. Walker ⁹¹, W. Walkowiak ¹⁴⁸, V. Wallangen ^{43a,43b}, A.M. Wang ⁵⁷, C. Wang ^{58b,e}, F. Wang ¹⁷⁸, H. Wang ¹⁸, H. Wang ³, J. Wang ¹⁵⁴, J. Wang ^{59b}, P. Wang ⁴¹, Q. Wang ¹²⁵, R.-J. Wang ¹³³, R. Wang ^{58a}, R. Wang ⁶, S.M. Wang ¹⁵⁵, W.T. Wang ^{58a}, W. Wang ^{15c,ae}, W.X. Wang ^{58a,ae}, Y. Wang ^{58a,ak}, Z. Wang ^{58c}, C. Wanotayaroj ⁴⁴, A. Warburton ¹⁰¹, C.P. Ward ³¹, D.R. Wardrope ⁹², A. Washbrook ⁴⁸, P.M. Watkins ²¹, A.T. Watson ²¹, M.F. Watson ²¹, G. Watts ¹⁴⁵, S. Watts ⁹⁸, B.M. Waugh ⁹², A.F. Webb ¹¹, S. Webb ⁹⁷, C. Weber ¹⁸⁰, M.S. Weber ²⁰, S.A. Weber ³³, S.M. Weber ^{59a}, J.S. Webster ⁶, A.R. Weidberg ¹³², B. Weinert ⁶³, J. Weingarten ⁵¹, M. Weirich ⁹⁷, C. Weiser ⁵⁰, P.S. Wells ³⁵, T. Wenaus ²⁹, T. Wengler ³⁵, S. Wenig ³⁵, N. Wermes ²⁴, M.D. Werner ⁷⁶, P. Werner ³⁵, M. Wessels ^{59a}, T.D. Weston ²⁰, K. Whalen ¹²⁸, N.L. Whallon ¹⁴⁵, A.M. Wharton ⁸⁷, A.S. White ¹⁰³, A. White ⁸, M.J. White ¹, R. White ^{144b}, D. Whiteson ¹⁶⁸, B.W. Whitmore ⁸⁷, F.J. Wickens ¹⁴¹, W. Wiedenmann ¹⁷⁸, M. Wielers ¹⁴¹, C. Wiglesworth ³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs ⁵⁰, A. Wildauer ¹¹³, F. Wilk ⁹⁸, H.G. Wilkens ³⁵, L.J. Wilkins ⁹¹, H.H. Williams ¹³⁴, S. Williams ³¹, C. Willis ¹⁰⁴, S. Willocq ¹⁰⁰, J.A. Wilson ²¹, I. Wingerter-Seez ⁵, E. Winkels ¹⁵³, F. Winkelmeier ¹²⁸, O.J. Winston ¹⁵³, B.T. Winter ²⁴, M. Wittgen ¹⁵⁰, M. Wobisch ⁹³, A. Wolf ⁹⁷, T.M.H. Wolf ¹¹⁸, R. Wolff ⁹⁹, M.W. Wolter ⁸², H. Wolters ^{137a,137c}, V.W.S. Wong ¹⁷², N.L. Woods ¹⁴³, S.D. Worm ²¹, B.K. Wosiek ⁸², K.W. Woźniak ⁸², K. Wright ⁵⁵, M. Wu ³⁶, S.L. Wu ¹⁷⁸, X. Wu ⁵², Y. Wu ^{58a}, T.R. Wyatt ⁹⁸, B.M. Wynne ⁴⁸, S. Xella ³⁹, Z. Xi ¹⁰³, L. Xia ¹⁷⁵, D. Xu ^{15a}, H. Xu ^{58a,e}, L. Xu ²⁹, T. Xu ¹⁴², W. Xu ¹⁰³, B. Yabsley ¹⁵⁴, S. Yacoob ^{32a}, K. Yajima ¹³⁰, D.P. Yallup ⁹², D. Yamaguchi ¹⁶², Y. Yamaguchi ¹⁶², A. Yamamoto ⁷⁹, T. Yamanaka ¹⁶⁰, F. Yamane ⁸⁰, M. Yamatani ¹⁶⁰, T. Yamazaki ¹⁶⁰, Y. Yamazaki ⁸⁰, Z. Yan ²⁵, H.J. Yang ^{58c,58d}, H.T. Yang ¹⁸, S. Yang ⁷⁵, Y. Yang ¹⁶⁰, Z. Yang ¹⁷, W-M. Yao ¹⁸, Y.C. Yap ⁴⁴, Y. Yasu ⁷⁹, E. Yatsenko ^{58c,58d}, J. Ye ⁴¹, S. Ye ²⁹, I. Yeletskikh ⁷⁷, E. Yigitbasi ²⁵, E. Yildirim ⁹⁷, K. Yorita ¹⁷⁶, K. Yoshihara ¹³⁴, C.J.S. Young ³⁵, C. Young ¹⁵⁰, J. Yu ⁸, J. Yu ⁷⁶, X. Yue ^{59a}, S.P.Y. Yuen ²⁴, B. Zabinski ⁸², G. Zacharis ¹⁰, E. Zaffaroni ⁵², R. Zaidan ¹⁴, A.M. Zaitsev ^{121,am}, N. Zakharchuk ⁴⁴, J. Zalieckas ¹⁷, S. Zambito ⁵⁷, D. Zanzi ³⁵, D.R. Zaripovas ⁵⁵, S.V. Zeißner ⁴⁵, C. Zeitnitz ¹⁷⁹, G. Zemaityte ¹³², J.C. Zeng ¹⁷⁰, Q. Zeng ¹⁵⁰, O. Zenin ¹²¹, D. Zerwas ¹²⁹, M. Zgubić ¹³², D.F. Zhang ^{58b}, D. Zhang ¹⁰³, F. Zhang ¹⁷⁸, G. Zhang ^{58a}, H. Zhang ^{15c}, J. Zhang ⁶, L. Zhang ^{15c}, L. Zhang ^{58a}, M. Zhang ¹⁷⁰, P. Zhang ^{15c}, R. Zhang ^{58a}, R. Zhang ²⁴, X. Zhang ^{58b}, Y. Zhang ^{15d}, Z. Zhang ¹²⁹, P. Zhao ⁴⁷, X. Zhao ⁴¹, Y. Zhao ^{58b,129,ai}, Z. Zhao ^{58a}, A. Zhemchugov ⁷⁷, B. Zhou ¹⁰³, C. Zhou ¹⁷⁸, L. Zhou ⁴¹, M.S. Zhou ^{15d}, M. Zhou ¹⁵², N. Zhou ^{58c}, Y. Zhou ⁷, C.G. Zhu ^{58b}, H.L. Zhu ^{58a}, H. Zhu ^{15a}, J. Zhu ¹⁰³, Y. Zhu ^{58a}, X. Zhuang ^{15a}, K. Zhukov ¹⁰⁸, V. Zhulanov ^{120b,120a}, A. Zibell ¹⁷⁴, D. Ziemińska ⁶³, N.I. Zimine ⁷⁷, S. Zimmermann ⁵⁰, Z. Zinonos ¹¹³, M. Zinser ⁹⁷, M. Ziolkowski ¹⁴⁸, G. Zobernig ¹⁷⁸, A. Zoccoli ^{23b,23a}, K. Zoch ⁵¹, T.G. Zorbas ¹⁴⁶, R. Zou ³⁶, M. Zur Nedden ¹⁹, L. Zwalinski ³⁵

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany, NY, United States of America³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada⁴ (a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; (b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; (c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey⁵ LAPP, Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy, France⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, United States of America⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States of America⁸ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX, United States of America⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece¹¹ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States of America¹² (a) Bahçeşehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (b) İstanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (c) Department of Physics, Bogaziçi University, Istanbul; (d) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey¹³ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan¹⁴ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain¹⁵ (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing; (c) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing;^(d) University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China

- ¹⁶ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
¹⁷ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
¹⁸ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States of America
¹⁹ Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
²⁰ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
²¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
²² Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogota, Colombia
²³ ^(a) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna; ^(b) INFN Sezione di Bologna, Italy
²⁴ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany
²⁵ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States of America
²⁶ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, United States of America
²⁷ ^(a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; ^(b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; ^(c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; ^(d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; ^(e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; ^(f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
²⁸ ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
²⁹ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, United States of America
³⁰ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
³¹ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
³² ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Mechanical Engineering Science, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
³³ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada
³⁴ ^(a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies – Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucléaires (CNESTEN), Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA, Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
³⁵ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
³⁶ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States of America
³⁷ LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France
³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington, NY, United States of America
³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
⁴⁰ ^(a) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende; ^(b) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy
⁴¹ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, United States of America
⁴² Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States of America
⁴³ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
⁴⁴ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
⁴⁵ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
⁴⁶ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
⁴⁷ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC, United States of America
⁴⁸ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
⁴⁹ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
⁵⁰ Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
⁵¹ II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany
⁵² Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève, Switzerland
⁵³ ^(a) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova; ^(b) INFN Sezione di Genova, Italy
⁵⁴ II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
⁵⁵ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
⁵⁶ LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble, France
⁵⁷ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States of America
⁵⁸ ^(a) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei; ^(b) Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Qingdao; ^(c) School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, KLPAC-MoE, SKLPPC, Shanghai; ^(d) Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai, China
⁵⁹ ^(a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; ^(b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
⁶⁰ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
⁶¹ ^(a) Department of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; ^(c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
⁶² Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
⁶³ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, United States of America
⁶⁴ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
⁶⁵ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
⁶⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
⁶⁷ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
⁶⁸ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
⁶⁹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
⁷⁰ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
⁷¹ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
⁷² ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
⁷³ ^(a) INFN-TIFPA; ^(b) Università degli Studi di Trento, Trento, Italy
⁷⁴ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
⁷⁵ University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States of America
⁷⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States of America
⁷⁷ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
⁷⁸ ^(a) Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; ^(b) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro;
^(c) Universidade Federal de São João del Rei (UFSJ), São João del Rei; ^(d) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
⁷⁹ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
⁸⁰ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
⁸¹ ^(a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
⁸² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
⁸³ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
⁸⁴ Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan

- ⁸⁵ Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
⁸⁶ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
⁸⁷ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁸⁸ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
⁸⁹ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
⁹⁰ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
⁹¹ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, United Kingdom
⁹² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
⁹³ Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, United States of America
⁹⁴ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
⁹⁵ Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
⁹⁶ Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
⁹⁷ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
⁹⁸ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁹⁹ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
¹⁰⁰ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, United States of America
¹⁰¹ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada
¹⁰² School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
¹⁰³ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America
¹⁰⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States of America
¹⁰⁵ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
¹⁰⁶ Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus
¹⁰⁷ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada
¹⁰⁸ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
¹⁰⁹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
¹¹⁰ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
¹¹¹ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
¹¹² Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
¹¹³ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
¹¹⁴ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
¹¹⁵ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
¹¹⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States of America
¹¹⁷ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
¹¹⁸ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
¹¹⁹ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, United States of America
¹²⁰ ^(a) Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk; ^(b) Novosibirsk State University Novosibirsk, Russia
¹²¹ Institute for High Energy Physics of the National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Protvino, Russia
¹²² Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY, United States of America
¹²³ Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States of America
¹²⁴ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
¹²⁵ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States of America
¹²⁶ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States of America
¹²⁷ Palacky University, RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc, Czech Republic
¹²⁸ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, United States of America
¹²⁹ LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
¹³⁰ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
¹³¹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹³² Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
¹³³ LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
¹³⁴ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States of America
¹³⁵ Konstantinov Nuclear Physics Institute of National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, St. Petersburg, Russia
¹³⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America
¹³⁷ ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas – LIP; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
¹³⁸ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic
¹³⁹ Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁴⁰ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
¹⁴¹ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
¹⁴² IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
¹⁴³ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, United States of America
¹⁴⁴ ^(a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; ^(b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
¹⁴⁵ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America
¹⁴⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom
¹⁴⁷ Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁴⁸ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁴⁹ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
¹⁵⁰ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, United States of America
¹⁵¹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁵² Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, United States of America
¹⁵³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵⁴ School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵⁵ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵⁶ ^(a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; ^(b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
¹⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁵⁸ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁶⁰ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶¹ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶² Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

- ¹⁶³ Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
¹⁶⁴ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁶⁵ (a) TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC; (b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada
¹⁶⁶ Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
¹⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, MA, United States of America
¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, United States of America
¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
¹⁷⁰ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States of America
¹⁷¹ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia – CSIC, Valencia, Spain
¹⁷² Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
¹⁷³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
¹⁷⁴ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
¹⁷⁵ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
¹⁷⁶ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁷⁷ Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
¹⁷⁸ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States of America
¹⁷⁹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
¹⁸⁰ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States of America
¹⁸¹ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

^a Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, NY; United States of America.

^b Also at California State University, East Bay; United States of America.

^c Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town; South Africa.

^d Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland.

^e Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France.

^f Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland.

^g Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain.

^h Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); Spain.

ⁱ Also at Department of Applied Physics and Astronomy, University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates.

^j Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece.

^k Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; United States of America.

^l Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom.

^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA; United States of America.

ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA; United States of America.

^o Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom.

^p Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg; Russia.

^q Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University; United States of America.

^r Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland.

^s Also at Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America.

^t Also at Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy.

^u Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Giresun; Turkey.

^v Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan.

^w Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece.

^x Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; Romania.

^y Also at II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany.

^z Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain.

^{aa} Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany.

^{ab} Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands.

^{ac} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest; Hungary.

^{ad} Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada.

^{ae} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan.

^{af} Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan.

^{ag} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia.

^{ah} Also at Istanbul University, Dept. of Physics, Istanbul; Turkey.

^{ai} Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay; France.

^{aj} Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America.

^{ak} Also at LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France.

^{al} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY; United States of America.

^{am} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia.

^{an} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow; Russia.

^{ao} Also at Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin; Turkey.

^{ap} Also at Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany.

^{aq} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou; China.

^{ar} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY; United States of America.

^{as} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China.

^{at} Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia.

^{au} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada.

^{av} Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy.

* Deceased.