

VPKIaaS: Towards Scaling Pseudonymous Authentication for Large Mobile Systems

Hamid Noroozi, Mohammad Khodaei, and Panos Papadimitratos
 Networked Systems Security Group
 KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
 {*hnoroozi, khodaei, papadim*}@kth.se

Several years of academic and industrial research efforts have converged to a common understanding on fundamental security building blocks for the upcoming Vehicular Communication (VC) systems. There is a growing consensus towards deploying a special-purpose identity and credential management infrastructure with standardization efforts towards that direction. The central building block of secure and privacy-preserving VC systems is a Vehicular Public-Key Infrastructure (VPKI), e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4], which provides vehicles with multiple anonymized credentials, termed *pseudonyms*. These pseudonyms are used to ensure message authenticity and integrity while preserving vehicle (thus passenger) privacy. Vehicles switch from one pseudonym to a non-previously used one towards message unlinkability, as pseudonyms are per se inherently unlinkable. Pseudonymity is conditional, in the sense that the corresponding long-term vehicle identity can be retrieved by the VPKI when needed, e.g., if vehicles deviating from system policies. In the light of emerging large-scale multi-domain VC environments [5], the efficiency of the VPKI and, more broadly, its scalability are paramount. By the same token, preventing misuse of the credentials, in particular, Sybil-based misbehavior, and managing “*honest-but-curious*” [5] insiders are other facets of a challenging problem.

Deploying a VPKI differs from a traditional PKI, e.g., [6, 7, 8]. One of the most important factors is the PKI dimension, i.e., the number of registered users (vehicles) and the multiplicity of certificates per user. According to the US Department of Transportation (DoT), a VPKI should be able to issue pseudonyms for more than 350 million vehicles across the Nation [9]. Considering the average daily commute time to be 1 hour [9] and a pseudonym lifetime of 5 minutes, the VPKI should be able to issue at least 1.5×10^{12} pseudonyms per year, i.e., 5 orders of magnitude more than the number of credentials the largest current PKI issues (10M per year [1]).

In this poster, we leverage and *enhance* a state-of-the-art VPKI, and propose a *VPKI as a Service (VPKIaaS)* [10, 11] system towards a highly-available, dynamically-scalable, and fault-tolerant design, ensuring the system remains operational in the presence of benign failures or any resource depletion attack (clogging a Denial of Service (DoS) attack). Moreover, our scheme eradicates Sybil-based misbehavior when deploying such a system on the cloud with multiple replicas of a micro-service without diminishing the pseudonym acquisition efficiency. All procedures of deployment and migration to the cloud, e.g., bootstrapping phase, initializing the micro-

services, pseudonym acquisition process, monitoring health and load metrics, etc., are fully automated. Through extensive experimental evaluation, we show that the VPKIaaS system could dynamically scale out, or possibly scale in¹, based on the VPKIaaS system workload and the requests’ arrival rate, so that it can comfortably handle *unexpected* demanding loads while being cost-effective by systematically allocating and deallocating resources. Our experimental evaluation shows a 36-fold improvement over prior work [12]: the processing delay to issue 100 pseudonyms for [12] is 2010 ms, while it is approx. 56 ms in our system. Moreover, the performance of [4] drastically decreases when there is a surge in the pseudonym request arrival rates; on the contrary, our VPKIaaS system can comfortably handle demanding loads request while efficiently issuing batches of pseudonyms.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Whyte, A. Weimerskirch, V. Kumar, and T. Hehn, “A Security Credential Management System for V2V Communications,” in *IEEE VNC*, Boston, MA, Dec. 2013.
- [2] M. Khodaei, H. Jin, and P. Papadimitratos, “Towards Deploying a Scalable & Robust Vehicular Identity and Credential Management Infrastructure,” in *IEEE VNC*, Paderborn, Germany, Dec. 2014.
- [3] M. Khodaei and P. Papadimitratos, “Evaluating On-demand Pseudonym Acquisition Policies in Vehicular Communication Systems,” in *ACM IoV-Vol*, Paderborn, Germany, July 2016.
- [4] M. Khodaei, H. Jin, and P. Papadimitratos, “SECMACE: Scalable and Robust Identity and Credential Management Infrastructure in Vehicular Communication Systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1430–1444, May 2018.
- [5] M. Khodaei and P. Papadimitratos, “The Key to Intelligent Transportation: Identity and Credential Management in Vehicular Communication Systems,” *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 63–69, Dec. 2015.
- [6] “Let’s Encrypt Stats,” <https://letsencrypt.org/>, Oct. 2018.
- [7] “Comodo Certification Authority,” <https://ssl.comodo.com/>, Oct. 2018.
- [8] “Symantec SSL/TLS Certificates,” symc.ly/2Mp8Mpe, Oct. 2018.
- [9] “V2V Communications: Readiness of V2V Technology for Application,” Aug. 2014, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT HS 812 014.
- [10] H. Noroozi, M. Khodaei, and P. Papadimitratos, “DEMO: VPKIaaS: A Highly-Available and Dynamically-Scalable Vehicular Public-Key Infrastructure,” in *ACM WiSec*, Stockholm, Sweden, June 2018.
- [11] M. Khodaei, H. Noroozi, and P. Papadimitratos, “Scaling Pseudonymous Authentication for Large Mobile Systems,” in *ACM WiSec*, Miami, FL, USA, May 2019.
- [12] P. Cincilla, O. Hicham, and B. Charles, “Vehicular PKI Scalability-Consistency Trade-Offs in Large Scale Distributed Scenarios,” in *IEEE VNC*, Columbus, Ohio, USA, Dec. 2016.

¹In cloud terminology, scaling in/out (*horizontal* scaling), refers to replicating a new instance of a service, while scaling up/down (*vertical* scaling), refers to allocating/deallocating resources for an instance of a given service.