Abstract

Introduction: The purpose of this study was to evaluate healing frequency in different stages of stress reactions in the Pars Interarticularis (PI) using a classification system with MRI and CT. The intervention was 3-month rest from physical activity, without a brace, with the exception of activities of daily living.

Materials & Method: Twelve adolescent athletes with different stages of Spondolysis were included in the study. They had pathology in the Pars Interarticularis and were clinically examined with MRI and CT 3-6 weeks after debut of Low Back Pain (LBP) and re-evaluated after 3 months intervention with rest from physical activity.

Results: A combination of MRI and CT scanning to investigate suspected injuries to Pars Interarticularis in adolescent athletes revealed 6 different stages of Spondolysis that ranged from marrow oedema to pseudoarthrosis.

After 3 months of rest from physical activity the early stages of Pars Interarticularis injuries healed significantly better than the later stages with rest from physical activity.

Conclusion: The combination of MRI and CT revealed 6 stages of stress reactions instead of 4 as in Hollenberg’s staging with MRI only. In the 3 earliest stages, of these 6, rest from physical activity for 3 months can heal the stress reaction.
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same clinical outcome [16]. There are several outcome parameters such as clinical healing that means pain relief, measured with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and structural healing identified by different imaging methods (X-ray, Spect, CT, MRI) [17,18]. Previous studies have shown that rest in combination with orthotics in different forms leads to clinical healing [1,10]. It is not known, however, whether the different stages need different treatments to reach structural healing.

Rest from sport activities, with or without a brace, is the treatment mostly recommended [1,19]. In the present study, we thus want to investigate if an intervention with absence of physical activity and sports but without using a brace and with no restrictions in Activities of Daily Living (ADL) supervised by a physiotherapist, will lead to healing and if there are any differences depending on the stage of the stress reaction to the PI.

Materials and methods

The subjects included in this study is a subgroup from an earlier study. In that study, the inclusion criteria were: adolescents 13–20 years, ≥ 6 h of sports participation/week and ≥3 weeks of LBP, hindering their ADL and physical activity. The exclusion criteria were: condition that could affect the results and metal plates in the body that could disturb the MRI investigation. At inclusion, prior to treatment, they were evaluated with a questionnaire, physical examination, MRI and CT. A subgroup of fourteen adolescent athletes (6 football players, 3 ice hockey players, 1 floorball player, 1 basketball player, 1 volleyball player, 1 swimmer and 1 track and field athlete) was diagnosed with stress reactions (using CT and MRI) in the PI ranging from just oedema without fractures to pseudoarthrosis (Spondylolysis) [3]. These individuals were invited to participate in this study. One of them was not interested in further participation in the study. One had a pseudoarthrosis in the L5 level on both sides and thus no healing potential [3], and was excluded to avoid unnecessary radiation. The remaining twelve patients gave their informed consent to participate in the study. They were recommended refraining from physical activity and sports but not from Activities of Daily Living (ADL) for 3 months, without a brace, and met a physiotherapist once a month to ensure adherence/compliance. At each of these meetings the perception of pain was registered with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) [20] after three months of intervention, a new MRI and CT were performed.

MRI and CT examinations

The MRI was performed with a different protocol compared to the standard for adults.

The protocol focused on sequences sensitive to bone marrow and soft tissue oedema to detect the secondary bone marrow changes that come with a fatigue fracture. A sagittal T1-weighted FSE sequence, sagittal T2-weighted fat suppressed FSE sequence and a coronal T2-weighted inversion recovery (STIR) sequence were used.

The initial CT was performed in all cases on the L4 and L5 vertebrae level regardless of MRI findings. If there were any findings suspicious to fatigue fracture in levels superior to L4 on the MRI, the intention was to include this level on the CT. The CT control after three months of rest was only performed on the level of pathology. Consecutive 0.6 mm thick slices with a bone algorithm were used and evaluated on a workstation. A radiologist with long experience in MRI and CT diagnostic imaging read the MRI and CT results. MRI was performed on a standard 1.5 T Philips Achieve systems and CT was performed on a General Electric Light Speed VCT 64 row detector system. The MRI examination was evaluated in search of changes compatible with a fatigue fracture, which was the presence of high signal in the bone marrow in the PI of the vertebrae on T2 weighted fat suppressed sequences and low signal on T1 weighted sequences. The MRI and CT investigations were performed after 11 – 20 weeks following the start of the intervention (median 14 weeks).

The Hollenberg classification on MRI was as follows:

- 0 = no signal abnormalities,
- 1 = T2 signal abnormalities in PI – marrow oedema,
- 2 = T2 signal abnormalities – thinning, fragmentation or irregularity of PI,
- 3 = T2 signal abnormalities – visible complete unilateral or bilateral Spondylolysis,
- 4 = No abnormal T2 signal - complete Spondylolysis not united fracture of PI was our basic classification, but we added CT in our investigation to get a more specified diagnosis.

Statistics

Non-parametric Related Samples Wilcoxon on Sign Rank Test was used in our estimates of p value for changes in the perception of pain. To analyse differences in healing among the different stages X2 test with Yates correction method was used due to the small number of cases.

Results

Forty-Eight PIs were evaluated in the twelve athletes. In our classification, according to a modified Hollenberg, we added a CT investigation to give a more precise diagnose of the PIs affected. This further classification was also used at the re-examination after the three-month period of rest.

The classification modified by using the combination of MRI and CT supports the following stages (Figure 1):

- 0 = no oedema on MRI, normal CT,
- 1 = oedema in PI on MRI, no sign of fracture on CT,
- 2 = oedema in PI on MRI, incomplete fracture in PI on CT,
- 3 = oedema in PI on MRI, complete fracture of PI on CT,
- 4 = oedema in PI on MRI, signs of healing of PI on CT (periosteal callus, sclerosis, reduced extent or gap of fracture)
- 5 = no oedema in PI on MRI, healed fracture in PI on CT
- 6 = no oedema in PI on MRI, Pseudoarthrosis in PI on CT

When including the CT examination to the MRI investigation we
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**Figure 1: MR/CT Classification System for Lumbar PI**

- No oedema on MRI-normal CT (stage 0)
- S TIR (short tau-inversion recovery sequence) oedema in PI on MRI (stage 1)
- Oedema on MRI-incomplete fracture on CT (stage 2)
- Oedema on MRI-complete fracture on CT (stage 3)
- Oedema on MRI-signs of healing on CT (stage 4)
- No oedema on MRI-pseudoarthrosis on CT (stage 5)

found: four PIs with oedema, six PIs with incomplete fractures, three PIs with complete fracture, 3 PIs with signs of healing and four PIs with pseudoarthrosis (Figure 2) were found, already reported [3].

At the examination after the intervention there were no new developed stress reactions. Five PIs developed pseudoarthrosis (one PI with only oedema on the first MRI/CT investigation, two PIs with incomplete fracture, two PIs with complete fracture). Three out of the four PIs with only oedema and no visible fracture on first CT, healed, 4 out of six PI with incomplete fracture were healed, 1 out of 3 with complete fracture healed and 3 out of 3 with early signs of healing, healed. None of the 7 PIs with radiological signs of pseudoarthrosis had healed or showed signs of healing after intervention. In total 11 out of 16 PIs healed after the three months (Figure 2). If the PIs were divided into...
early stage (oedema, incomplete fracture and signs of healing) and late stage (complete fracture and pseudoarthrosis) we found a significant difference in healing frequency after three months of intervention where more of the early stages healed compared to the later stages. The early signs of injury (oedema, incomplete fracture with or without signs of healing) thus, seem to heal better than PIs with signs of complete fracture and pseudoarthrosis[11/13(84,6%) versus 1/7(14%)] (p<0.01).

One athlete with complete fracture developed pseudoarthrosis, and had to be operated, as she had no relief of Low Back Pain (LBP) after three months recommended intervention and rehabilitation for 2 months. One athlete with oedema and an incomplete fracture healed but nonetheless had no relief of pain. A third athlete had a progression from an oedema on one side and an incomplete fracture on the other side of the same spinal level between the first and second MRI and CT investigations and developed pseudoarthrosis on both PIs after intervention.

At baseline, we measured LBP when it was at its worst with VAS scale (0-100 mm). The same measurements were performed after the three months of intervention.

The perception of pain registered on VAS decreased between baseline and after the end of intervention (72.4 and 27.3, p=0.004) indicating a large decrease in pain during the three months’ period of changes in activity level. If the cases were divided into completely healed PIs and not completely healed PIs, no difference in decrease of VAS was found, between baseline and after three months’ rest from vigorous physical activity. Healed PI decreased from 67.6 to 25.4 whereas not healed PI decreased from 73.3 to 33.0. (n.s., p <0,485) thus pain relief is not a good measurement on healing.

Discussion

Hollenberg et al. created a classification system for the injury to PI based on MRI investigation and the hypothesis that Spondylolysis develops in stages due to repetitive trauma [5]. In their retrospective study of a group of young athletes with low back pain they found different stages of stress-related marrow oedema in PI in 42% of their population and many with unilateral reactions. We also observed unilateral as well as variable changes in the PI in young athletes [3]. The development of CT and MRI have made it possible (compared to X-ray and Scintigraphy) to use the combination MRI and CT to make more precise judgments in this process (Table 2). After three months of intervention, in the present study MRI and CT displayed higher frequency healing in PI with early stages than in late stages. The early signs of injury (oedema, incomplete fracture with or without signs of healing) seem to heal better (eleven out of thirteen, 84.6 %) than PIs with signs of complete fracture and pseudoarthrosis (1 out of 7 healed, 14%) with rest (p<0.01). This would support the hypothesis of stage development Spondylolysis [5].

Adding CT to MRI examination helped to separate stage 2-4 more precisely into incomplete, complete fracture and signs of healing. If all PIs with oedema were not further diagnosed, we would not have identified the difference in healing between oedema with or without a fracture. The four PIs without oedema that had pseudoarthrosis would not have been diagnosed.

About 15% of the individuals with Spondylolysis develop

---

**Table 2. Modified Hollenberg classification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 0</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
<th>Grade 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No oedema in PI on MRI, normal CT</td>
<td>Oedema in PI on MRI, no sign of fracture on CT</td>
<td>Oedema in PI on MRI, incomplete fracture in PI on CT</td>
<td>Oedema in PI on MRI, complete fracture in PI on CT</td>
<td>Oedema in PI on MRI, signs of healing in PI on CT (periosteal callus, sclerosis reduced extent or gap of fracture)</td>
<td>No oedema in PI on MRI, healed fracture in PI on CT</td>
<td>No oedema in PI on MRI, Pseudoarthrosis in PI on CT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Sign</th>
<th>No Sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**MR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Sign</th>
<th>Oedema</th>
<th>Oedema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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spondylolisthesis [10]. Decades after the initial lesion a combination of disc degeneration and slip at the Spondylolysis level can become symptomatic [9]. Sonn-e-Holm et al. found in a cross-sectional study of a general population (aged 22-93 years) that Lumbar Spondylolysis prevalence increases during life [21]. Several authors report that a manifest pseudoarthrosis does not heal [1, 3, 10, 13, 22]. According to the present study early intervention has significantly better effect on the healing process. Thus, early detection, examination and radiological evaluation, of young athletes with LBP can be of great importance for the healing process.

Different interventions have been tried, both surgical and conservative methods. In the conservative treatment, rest is the prime goal of intervention, reached with either prescription of rest or prescription of rest and brace [1,10-14,23]. Rest and rest/brace have almost the same clinical outcome [16]. This was the reason why we choose only to rest from physical activity as treatment.

Structural healing is not the same as clinical recovery (pain relief). In this study, there was no significant difference in pain relief (VAS) between patients with and without healed PI. The study focused on the healing process within three months and the observation time is too short to evaluate clinical outcome.

Structural healing might be necessary to avoid disc degeneration and secondary spondylolisthesis later in life [9,21]. It remains to be shown that early healed PI remains healed in the long-term.

This study shows that rest from sports and physical activity alone lead to a high degree of healing in the early stages of injury to the PI (Figure 2). Early recognition and intervention will thus prevent the need of a brace, a great impact in a young person’s life.

Later stage of injury identified with CT might need a brace to heal properly[1, 14, 23] As we may identify, the complete fracture, with the combination MRI and CT where an oedema on MRI is used as a guide to the level/levels that need a CT for specific stage diagnosis (to identify stage 3) the radiation is kept at a level of 0.5 – 1.5 mSv for each level (compared to approx. 1.8 mSv on a usual X-ray investigation)

In conclusion, we found that Early identification of injuries to PI in young athletes with LBP is of importance, since more healing is seen if rest from physical activity or sport is recommended early in the process. Early investigations with MRI and CT are of great importance in young athletes with LBP.

• A new classification for staging of Spondylolysis with a combination of MRI and CT
• Early stages of reactions in PI in the lower back seem to heal better than late stages
• Absence of physical activity and sports but no restrictions in activities of daily living for 3 months without a brace can heal early stages of stress reactions
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