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Abstract

Context: Internet service quality today is heavily challenged by the exponential increase in the number of mobile users. 5G evolution aims at providing faster internet services and meet new use cases such as Software Defined Cloud Networks and Internet of Things Networks. Core Network is one important element of 5G network, which along with LTE constitutes Evolved Packet System. Ericsson’s Evolved Packet Gateway (EPG) is a vital component of the Evolved Packet Core which forms Ericsson’s solution for rapid deployment of a reliable LTE network. Testing of EPG by emulating traffic close to real time has a significant role in successful deployment of a mobile communication network.

Objectives: In this research, assessment of a virtual traffic emulator is done and the trade-offs involved between using a hardware-based and a virtual traffic emulator are identified by testing Ericsson’s virtual EPG (vEPG). Ixia’s Breaking Point (BPS) and Breaking Point VE (BPS VE) are the hardware-based and virtual traffic emulators respectively used in this thesis. Ease of automation of testing using BPS VE is also investigated.

Method: The method followed for assessing the performance of a virtual traffic emulator and identifying the trade-offs is quantitative. A set of test-cases are designed and run through an iterative methodology until satisfactory results are obtained using the virtual traffic emulator. These results are then used to investigate the trade-offs between virtual and hardware-based traffic emulator as well.

Results: Tests that have been designed are executed and their results are documented. Outcomes of analysis on non-functional aspects that include ease of automation and return on investment are also documented.

Conclusions: Based on the results obtained and their analysis, it is proved that the virtual traffic emulator used in this thesis, BPS VE is a dynamic tool that can be used for real-world traffic emulation to test the vEPG. The trade-offs between the Ixia BPS and BPS VE in the aspects of capacity and ROI are analyzed. It is also concluded that despite having a great usability, there still are some aspects that can be improved in the current version of the BPS VE to make it a more efficient traffic emulator to test the EPG.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The exponential proliferation of wireless data services driven by mobile Internet and smart devices has triggered the investigation of the 5th Generation (5G) cellular network. Around 2020, the new 5G mobile networks are expected to be deployed which must support multimedia applications with a wide variety of requirements such as higher capacity rates, reduced latency, improved energy efficiency [1]. Also, over the last few years, cloud, and virtualization technologies, Software Defined Networking (SDN), Internet of Things (IoT), Machine Type Communication (MTC) and Network Functions Virtualization (NFV) have gained great momentum among mobile operators. These technologies are leading into a networked society with billions of connected devices, lots of applications and services. To be able to deliver the wide variety of network performance characteristics that these future services are demanding is one of the primary technical challenges. To overcome this challenge, a dynamic core network is vital. The core network comprises of the Evolved Packet Core (EPC). EPC, along with Long Term Evolution (LTE) constitutes the Evolved Packet System (EPS) which fully supports the packet-switched data services.

Ericsson, a world class eminent company in the telecommunication sector provides global telecom services, communication networks and multimedia solutions. Its services, software, and infrastructure - especially in mobility and broadband are enabling the communications industry to increase efficiency and improve user experience. Ericsson EPG, together with Serving GPRS Support Node-Mobility Management Entity (SGSN-MME), is a critical component of EPC. It is part of the Ericsson’s end-to-end solution for rapid deployment of a highly scalable and reliable LTE network [2]. As packet data being generated and consumed is increasing rapidly, Ericsson deploys suitable equipment that is stable, supports more functionality in their EPG. To guarantee the quality of this equipment, testing plays a vital role.

Ixia is the leading provider of IP performance test systems across the globe, with its products and solutions. Ixia BreakingPoint Virtual Edition (BPS VE) is a virtualized Application and Security Test Solution. It provides scalable real-world
application and threat simulation in an elastic deployment model by leveraging virtualization on industry-standard hardware platforms [3]. Its flexible test functionality allows to emulate the network components needed to test a product. Ericsson provides the virtual EPG (vEPG), virtualized version of the EPG, environment and the focus of this thesis work is to assess the Ixia BPS VE on the provided environment by emulating corresponding traffic, required components and interfaces. Ixia BreakingPoint (BPS), the hardware-based version of BPS VE is the tool considered in this thesis to observe the trade-offs in deploying a physical test setup versus a virtual test setup. There are two main reasons to go for Ixia virtual traffic tool. First one is the stateful traffic it emulates which means the addresses it uses for the emulated traffic are as if they exist in real-world and they are pingable. Second is the level of customization it offers on the L7 application traffic.

1.1 Motivation and Problem Statement

vEPG comprises of the serving gateway (SGW) and the packet data network gateway (PGW), which are critical components for the mobile core network, EPC. Ericsson, being a multinational networking and telecom equipment and services company offers services, software, and infrastructure in ICT for telecom operators. Their EPC solutions must provide reliability, flexibility, scalability and should optimize the massive IoT growth as well. vEPG plays a crucial role in achieving this. Testing of the product is imperative to acquire the required standards and quality.

To test the vEPG, an effective method is to transmit the application traffic which is sent onto the actual network through the EPG and evaluate its performance. However, it is difficult in terms of cost to get a complete set of necessary applications to carry out the evaluation tests. For this reason, a traffic emulator is employed for emulating pseudo-traffic which is obtained by imitating the traffic generated by an arbitrary application. A good user plane test tool should have support for multiple protocols and applications, especially layer 7, both standardized and proprietary. Having this will make it possible for the system under test to be fully tested, especially the deep packet inspection ability and heuristics functionaries. With the elevation of virtualization in network functions, concept of a virtual traffic emulator has emerged. This leads to the need for assessing the performance of a virtual test tool and analyzing the trade-offs that exist between a physical and a virtual traffic emulator.
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1.2 Aim

This master thesis aims to assess the performance and accuracy of the Ixia BPS VE in the Ericsson vEPG environment by designing a set of test cases; to analyze the trade-offs that exist between BPS and BPS VE in terms of capacity and Return on Investment (ROI) aspects; to find a feasible solution for the automation of the designed test cases and to obtain and suggest practical improvements in BPS VE to test the Ericsson vEPG more effectively and efficiently.

1.3 Research Questions

The aim of this thesis is to answer the research questions listed below. These questions are regarding the performance of the virtual traffic emulator, BPS VE in the Ericsson EPG environment. These questions are answered based on the experimental results obtained.

1. While testing Ericsson vEPG, are there any differences in the test results obtained using a virtual test environment from those using a native physical test environment?

2. How can one characterize Ixia Breaking Point’s performance and accuracy in a virtual test setup and a physical test setup?

3. How can the Ixia BPS VE tool be improved for a better testing of Ericsson vEPG?

1.4 Contribution

The main contributions or the outcomes of this thesis work are summarized as follows:

1. Test cases that analyse the performance and capabilities of a test tool are designed and run using the virtual traffic emulator, Ixia BPS VE. A set of KPIs are observed.

2. Results of the same tests run using the hardware-based traffic emulator, Ixia BPS are examined to obtain selected KPIs.

3. Study of the obtained KPIs help to inspect the trade-offs between Ixia BPS VE and Ixia BPS in terms of capacity to test the vEPG. Here, capacity implies with regard to throughput obtained.

4. These KPIs also characterize Ixia Breaking Point’s performance and accuracy in a virtual test setup and a physical test setup.
5. Once the tests are run, the ease of automation and feasibility of deployment of these tests in vEPG environment is investigated and ROI for both the tools is summarized.

6. Running the designed test cases will help in concluding about the improvements that can be included in the Ixia BPS VE tool for better and efficient testing of vEPG.

1.5 Research Methodology

The research methodology adopted for this thesis work is as follows:

1. **Literature Review and Study:** In this stage, a literature study of previous works on virtual traffic emulators and Ixia BPS VE in specific will be done. Documentation provided by Ixia on BPS VE will be studied (Installation guides and Data sheets). Study of research papers, journals and previous thesis work related to EPG and vEPG will be done. The architecture and deployment of vEPG at Ericsson will be studied. Various Test Objects for vEPG are defined that include capacity tests, stability tests, robustness tests and accuracy tests. Test cases are designed to run using Ixia BPS VE with respect to these test objects and to test BPS VE’s ability to test.

2. **Deploying and Performing Tests:** In this phase, Ixia BPS VE will be deployed in the Ericsson lab and designed test cases are run on the vEPG through an iterative methodology until satisfactory results are obtained. For each test case, the different stages of this iterative methodology are as follows:
   
   - Designing the new test case.
   - Running the test case.
   - Analysing the selected KPIs from obtained results.
   - Discussion on obtained KPIs with supervisors at Ericsson and BTH University.
   - Modifying the designed test case.

Once the test cases and results are ready, a set of KPIs selected for comparison between Ixia BPS and Ixia BPS VE are studied.

3. **Results and Analysis:** Results of every test run are finalized and analysed. In this stage, the capacity trade-off between Ixia BPS VE and Ixia BPS is analysed. ROI aspects are also investigated for both the traffic emulators.
4. **Documentation:** Every stage of this thesis is documented simultaneously.

### 1.6 Split of Work

This master thesis is done as a collaborative research leading to a joint thesis work done as a project at Ericsson, Lindholmen by Naga Shruti Adidamu and Shanmukha Sai Bheemisetty. Table 1 explains the split of work between the two students.

<table>
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</tr>
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<td>Both</td>
</tr>
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<td>Background</td>
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<td>Naga Shruti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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1.7 Thesis outline

Chapter 1, the current chapter gives an introduction about the present-day technologies and trends in the mobile communications. It also describes the motivation for performing this thesis and the issue at hand. Aim of the thesis, Research Questions that will be answered in the thesis, Research Methodology adapted to answer the research questions are also made clear in this chapter. Contribution of the this is also included in this chapter. Chapter 2 describes the related work in the areas of test tool assessment, Ixia products, role of automation. Chapter 3 outlines the EPS elements, LTE architecture, protocols used for communication, EPG and Ixia BPS VE. Chapter 4 describes the methodology, test setup, test procedure and the tests designed in this thesis. Chapter 5 covers the results and analysis of the tests performed and non functional aspects studied. Chapter 6 concludes the work done in the thesis, includes answers to the research questions and suggests the possible future work.
Chapter 2

Related works

• Paper [1] "A Survey of 5G Network: Architecture and Emerging Technologies" gives a detailed survey on performance requirements of 5G wireless cellular communication systems that have been defined in terms of capacity, data rate and Quality of Service. It also discusses some key emerging technologies that can be used in 5G wireless systems to fulfill the probable performance desires.

• The authors of "Criteria for evaluating next generation test tools for Evolved Packet Gateway" [4] conveys the importance of new sophisticated ways of testing to provide the best up-to-date products possible for the mobile operators and network equipment providers. They considered one network component, Ericsson’s Evolved Packet Gateway (EPG) which is the interface between the mobile network and the internet to test. They did an initial research of the increasing data traffic in the mobile network and defined a set of criterion which a test tool for EPG need to fulfil to meet the future’s demands. They also evaluated three test tools, FireStorm, Ixload and Landslide with respect to the criteria. According to them, to test packet gateways such as EPG, the test tools which emulate the surrounding interfaces and make sure that the device under test meets its requirements are used.

• In paper [5], "Performance analysis of LTE networks in varying spectral bands" performance of LTE network is analyzed by stimulating it in varying bands. Parameters like throughput and average throughput have been focussed in this paper.

• Paper [7], "Assessment of IxLoad in an MPG Environment" deals with a real-world traffic emulator designed by the test solution provider Ixia, IxLoad. Mobile Packet Gateway (MPG), developed by Ericsson was utilized to assess the capacity and LTE functionality of IxLoad. Maximum simulated users supported by IxLoad and maximum throughput IxLoad can achieve with a particular number of users are verified. Features such as Track Area Update and Handover, Busy Hour Functionality, Deep Packet Inspection, Multiple Access Point Names (APNs) and Dynamic Quality
of Service Enforcement are also covered in the functionality assessment. Suggestions are proposed in relation to improvements for IxLoad and MPG.

- Impact of workload type, partial background CPU load, and CPU pinning configuration on performance interference between pairs of colocated computationally-intensive workloads is presented in paper [9], "Analyzing the Impact of CPU Pinning and Partial CPU Loads on Performance and Energy Efficiency". It suggests that CPU pinning can be used in a dynamic fashion in response to system utilization. On a system with light background loads, the 'per-thread' pinning configuration enables more efficient utilization of resources, leading to better performance.

- Authors of Paper[13], "Evaluating and Optimizing I/O Virtualization in Kernel-based Virtual Machine (KVM)" deal with the important problem of I/O virtualization performance in KVM. They evaluate KVM I/O performance and propose several optimizations for improvement. They reduced VM Exits by merging successive I/O instructions and decreased the frequency of timer, simplified the Guest OS by removing redundant operations when the guest OS operates in a virtual environment, eliminated the operations that are useless in the virtual environment and bypassed the I/O scheduling in the Guest OS whose results will be rescheduled in the Host OS and changed NIC driver’s configuration in Guest OS to adapt the virtual environment for better performance.
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Background

3.1 Evolved Packet System

This section gives a brief introduction to EPS architecture defined by 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) System Architecture Evolution (SAE) work item. Figure 1 shows the network implemented using 3GPP specifications describing the position of Packet Core. The four clouds in the left half of this figure define the Radio Access Networks (RAN) domains that can be connected to Packet Core domain. These include the second and third generation networks defined by 3GPP, the latest mobile broadband - LTE and the Non-3GPP access networks. LTE radio access network consists of only evolved Node BS (eNB) and the absence of central controller in its core makes it a flat architecture [5]. Non-3GPP domain consists of any packet data access network that is not defined by 3GPP standards (example: Evolved High Rate Packet Data (eHRPD), Wireless LAN (WLAN), fixed network accesses or any combination of those) [6].

The Core network can be divided into circuit core, packet core and IP Multi Media Subsystem (IMS) domain. Circuit core domain provides support for circuit switched services over Global System for Mobile (GSM) and Wideband Code Division Multiple Access (WCDMA). The IMS domain provides support for the multimedia sessions based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and utilizes IP connectivity provided by packet core domain. Packet core domain provides support for packet switched services over GSM, WCDMA, Non-3GPP and LTE. It also provides functions for management and enforcement of service-level and bearer-level policies such as Quality of Service (QoS).
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The concentration here is on the EPC architecture. At the core of the EPC architecture is the function required to support basic IP connectivity over LTE access. Basic architecture of the EPC, which is vital in deploying LTE is illustrated in the figure 2.

Figure 2: Basic EPC architecture for LTE

In a reasonably sized network, there can be several thousand Evolved UMTS
Terrestrial Radio Access Node B (eNBs), many of which may be interconnected via the X2 interface to allow efficient handovers. Every eNB is connected to at least one MME over the S1-MME interface. MME is connected to Home Subscriber Service (HSS) over S6a interface. MME performs all the control plane signalling including mobility and security functions for devices and terminals. MME relies on HSS subscriber-related data to establish IP connectivity over LTE. User data payload - IP packets, are handled by two logical nodes called the SGW and the PGW. SGW and PGW together are known as the Evolved Packet Gateway. These are connected over the interface S5/S8 depending upon the roaming status.

SGW is connected to eNB over S1-U interface. It acts as an anchor point for inter eNB handover. Its functions include lawful interception, packet routing and forwarding, charging (offline). PGW is the point of interconnection to the external IP network over SGi interface. After passing through SGW, the next interface terminates at PGW for further packet processing and then the packets are routed to an external PDN. GPRS Tunneling Protocol (GTP) protocol is supported over LTE interfaces to provide IP connectivity. In this thesis, virtual EPG (SGW+ PGW) developed by Ericsson is the System Under Test (SUT).

### 3.1.1 GPRS tunneling protocol

The GTP protocol was developed for mobility and bearer management; tunneling of user data traffic for General Packet Radio Service (GPRS). The two main components of GTP are the control-plane part (GTP-C) and user-plane part (GTP-U). GTP-C is used for the control and management of the tunnels attaching individual terminals to transfer user data. GTP-U uses a tunnel mechanism to carry the user specific data traffic. GTPv2-C and GTPv1-U are used exclusively in the EPS.

### 3.1.2 Control plane (GTPv2-C)

GTP defines a set of messages between two entities to establish, use, manage and release tunnels. A path may be maintained by keep-alive echo messages. Figure 3 illustrates the GTPv2-C protocol stack. To specify the source and destination of the GTP tunnel, each endpoint of the GTP tunnel is identified with Tunnel Endpoint Identifier (TEID), IP address and a User Datagram Protocol (UDP) port number [7]. The scope of the GTP tunnel and TEID-C depends on the interface and its functions.
3.1.3 User plane (GTPv1-U)

GTP-U tunnels are used to carry encapsulated payload and signalling messages between a given pair of GTP-U Tunnel Endpoints. The TEID-U that is present in the GTP header indicates which tunnel particular payload belongs to. GTP-U tunnels are established using S1-MME or GTP-C. GTP-U protocol stack is as shown in figure 4. The GTP-U are used to carry encapsulated payload and signalling messages and the figure 5 describes this end to end protocol stack for user data traffic exchange.
3.1.4 Evolved Packet Gateway

This part of the chapter will concentrate on describing SGW, PGW, Bearers, UE attach and detach procedures in an LTE network.
Serving Gateway:

The position of the SGW in the EPS is introduced in section 3.1. A UE is connected to EPS through eNB and SGW terminates the interface towards eNB in an LTE network. Every UE that is attached to EPS is connected to a single SGW. The SGW is selected for the UE based on the network topology and the UE location. The Domain Name Service (DNS) may be used to resolve a DNS string of possible SGW addresses that the UE should be attached to. Apart from this, SGW selection can be based on two other factors i.e., based on the necessity to change the SGW later and based on the need for load balancing between different SGWs. SGW handles the forwarding of the end user data packets and acts as an anchor point when an inter-eNB handover is required. It also supports Inter-Radio Access Terminal (RAT) handover for other 3GPP access technologies. These store relevant information of a UE such as parameters of the IP bearer service or internal network routing information. It also handles the UE idle state by terminating downlink path and triggering of paging towards UE when packets arrive. SGW interfaces are terminated towards PGW.

PDN Gateway:

For a UE, PGW provides connectivity to external Packet Data Networks (PDN). A UE can be connected to more than one PGW if it must access more than one PDN. The PDN GW allocates an IP address to a UE. Acting as a gateway, PGW performs DPI or packet filtering on a user basis. It also performs service-level gating control and rate enforcement through rate policing and shaping. Another key role of PGW is to act as anchor point for the mobility between 3GPP and non-3GPP technologies such as Wi-Fi and CDMA. PGW also provides scope to perform online charging on a user basis.

Bearers:

Providing PDN connectivity is not just about allocating an IP address to the UE. It is also about transporting the IP Packets between the UE and the PDN with good quality of service (QoS). EPS adopts a tool called bearers to handle the QoS aspects. A bearer provides a logical channel to transport the IP packets between a UE and the PDN. QoS depends on the type of the bearer and all the packets conforming to a bearer receive same treatment with respect to QoS. To provide different QoS to two different packets, they should be sent over different bearers. In general, there is one default bearer and several dedicated bearers. The QoS differs from default bearer to a dedicated bearer. Dedicated bearers are given special treatment over the network. For example, if any application requires a specific amount of guaranteed bit rate or prioritized scheduling, it can use one of the dedicated bearers to achieve it.
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S1, S11 & Sgi Interfaces:
S1-U is the user-plane interface carrying user data traffic between the eNB and SGW. S11 interface is defined between the MME and the SGW. This interface uses GTPv2-C and exclusively used for LTE. SGi is the interface between the PGW and the external hosts. In this thesis, traffic on the client side is emulated over S1, S11 interfaces and traffic on the server side is emulated over SGi Interface.

Attach and Detach Procedure of a UE in LTE:
A UE needs to register with the network to receive services that require registration. This registration is known as the network attachment. IP connectivity for UE is enabled after establishing a default EPS bearer during the network attachment procedure. The systematic procedure for a UE attachment is shown in figure 6 and is described below:

- The UE sends an Attach Request message to the eNB. The eNB checks the MME ID transferred in the Radio Response Control (RRC) layer. If the eNB has the link to the identified MME it forwards the Attach Request to that MME. If not, the eNB selects a new MME and forwards the Attach Request.

- Authentication and Security procedures are performed at this stage. The Mobile Equipment Identity is also retrieved in conjunction from the HSS.

- The default bearer is established between Serving GW and PDN GW and an IP is allocated to the UE.

- The default bearer is established over the radio interface and the Attach Accept is sent to the UE.

- MME informs the SGW about the eNB TEID, which completes the setup of the default bearer as it can now be used in both uplink and downlink.
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The systematic procedure for UE initiated detachment is as shown in figure 7 and is describe below:

- The UE sends a Detach Request to the MME because it is turned off.
- The MME instructs the SGW and PGW to delete any bearers for the UE and PGW removes the bearers after necessary processing.
- The MME may confirm the detachment with a Detach Accept message and remove the signaling connection.

Figure 6: Attach sequence for LTE radio

Figure 2 Attach sequence for LTE radio
Apart from the UE initiated detach procedure, MME initiated detachment is also possible. When the UE has not communicated with the network for a long time, the MME may initiate the detachment procedure. In that case, the MME will inform the UE with a Detach Request message and the UE follows the UE initiated detachment procedure.

![UE initiated detach sequence](image)

**Figure 7: UE initiated detach sequence**

### 3.2 Ixia BreakingPoint VE

Ixia BPS VE provides real-world application simulation for performance testing alongside achieving scalability and flexibility. BreakingPoint devices consist of the chassis and the user interface called the Control Center. Both components work together to create a comprehensive solution for all network devices. These devices can concurrently simulate Transport Control Protocol (TCP) sessions, UDP sessions, application traffic, and live security attacks through the network devices. BPS VE is a software-based test platform that runs a BreakingPoint virtual Controller (vController) and traffic generation virtual Blades (vBlades) comprising a virtual chassis [8]. It provides real-world application for performance testing and its elastic deployment model helps in achieving scalability and flexibility.

The component setup of BPS VE is shown in figure 8. BPS VE establishes two networks to operate: Management network (control plane) and Test network (data plane). Management network is required to access the vContoller from a HTML browser (BPS user interface) as well as for the communicate between the
vController and vBlades. The vController receives an IP address from a Dynamic Host Control Protocol (DHCP) server via Network Interface Card (NIC0) in its hypervisor or the IP address can be manually configured. A vBlade can also optionally receive an IP address from a DHCP server. The NIC0 cards in both hypervisors are connected to the Local Area Network (LAN). Test Network is required for the communicate within vPorts (port-to-port test) or to communicate with the SUT (port-to-SUT test).

A vController has two management interfaces:

1. External Management - To access the vController through web.
2. Internal Management - For communication between vController and vBlades.

vBlades have one management interface used for communication between vController and vBlades.

Creation of a test in BPS VE involves multiple steps: Selecting the Network Neighborhood, Adding a test component, Configuring the test component and Running the test.

A Network Neighborhood contains the addressing rules available for each test interface. Each test interface has a set of subnets to define the addressing rules for test traffic originating from each test interface. It defines the possible addresses the system can use for its generated test traffic and determines how the system
will allocate those addresses for use. All addresses used in test traffic generated by BPS VE must follow the protocol rules as though the addresses were a real host existing within a real subnet on the network. When a test is created, a component tag which is a pointer is assigned to each test interface used by a test component. For each test component, the component tag assigned will determine the client and server addresses. When the system starts emulating the test traffic, it will derive the source and destination addresses from the component tag.

In BPS VE, Application Profiles and Super Flows provide control over the application protocols that are on the wire by giving a scope to define the individual flows for each protocol, and combine them in various ways for use in tests. Few important terms used in creating application traffic flow and their description is as follows:

1. **Application Profile**: A container for the set of flow specifications (Super Flows) that Application Simulator uses to generate test traffic.

2. **Super Flow**: A container for all the individual flows and the specifications for the flows.

3. **Flow**: A flow establishes the protocol, server, and client.

4. **Protocol Parameters**: A set of parameters that is unique to each protocol. These parameters will typically define the ports and addressing for the server and client.

5. **Actions**: The events that will occur in a Super Flow. The actions that are available for each flow depends on the protocol on which the flow is based; each protocol has its own set of actions.

6. **Action Parameters**: A set of parameters that is unique to each action. Each action parameter allows you to control the data used within the action.

7. **% Bandwidth**: It is the percentage of bandwidth consumed by the Super Flow. This value is affected by the weight that is assigned to the Super Flow; the larger the weight, in comparison to the other Super Flow weight assignments, the higher the % Bandwidth will be.

Figure 9 shows an example of HTTP super flow that sends a request for a simple PDF file and figure 10 shows an example of a Skype super flow that represents a 1-minute audio call.
Test components are virtual devices that enable to test how well a device will operate at different network layers. Each test component comes with a set of parameters; which can be used to create the required type of traffic. There are multiple test components that the BPS VE system provides. In this these, we mainly make use of the Application Simulator.

When an Application Simulator test runs, it will first look at the Application Profile selected for the test and then at the Super Flows that exist within the Application Profile. Each Super Flow contains the protocols that should be used.
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to set up flows, server and client configurations, and the sequence of actions that will occur between the server and the client. Once the clients and servers are created, the actions for the Super Flow must be set up. These actions dictate the sequence of client requests and server responses, and the data that is sent during these sequences.

Customization of the behavior of sessions during the different phases of a test is done using the Load Profile settings in BPS VE. Each phase is based on a phase type; represents a period, and determines the behavior of the sessions that are opening and/or closing during that period. Each phase can be configured by setting the maximum number of sessions, the session rate, and the data rate. Each Load Profile must have one ramp up phase, one ramp-down phase, and at least one steady-state phase. The controllable attributes for the phases include the following: phase duration, data rate, session behavior, number of sessions per second, and maximum number of sessions. There are three types of Load Profiles as shown is figure 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load a basic profile to begin, then enter parameters...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 11: Types of Load Profiles](image)

The Application Simulator test component allows to generate application traffic flows. It uses an App Profile to determine what types of application flows to send to
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the DUT. The App Profile contains a set of flow specifications that defines the protocol, client-type, and server-type the traffic will use. There are three phases within an Application Simulator test: ramp up, steady-state, and ramp down. Each phase dictates the behavior of the TCP flows. During the ramp up phase, the system will attempt to open as many TCP flows as possible in the time allotted to the ramp up phase; however, no data will be sent during this phase. This test component will use the value defined for Session/Super Flow Configuration - Maximum Simultaneous Super Flows as an upper-bound limit on the number of flows that can be open during the ramp up phase. This is shown in figure 12.

Figure 12: Step Load Profile

BPS VE system has a packet buffer that stores all transmitted and received traffic from the last test run. Each port has its own packet buffer with a buffer limit.

The number of tests that can be run concurrently depends on the number of available ports in the BPS VE system. To run tests on BPS VE, port reservations must be made. All the reserved ports belong to an Active Group, whose basic functionality is to enable running multiple tests simultaneously. Each test must be run under a different Active Group. The BPS VE system automatically maps ports to interfaces while port reservations. Port mappings are important because they link a port on the BPS VE system to an interface in the Network Neighborhood.

Usage of the features of BPS VE and their configuration in depth for executing the tests designed is described in sections 4.3 and 4.4.
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Methodology and Test Setup

This section of the document describes the research methodology adopted in carrying out the thesis work, test setup used, the working of the setup to evaluate the performance of BPS VE and the designed test cases.

4.1 Research Methodology

Research method is the systematic approach involved in carrying out the research work. It also involves a set of practices, procedures and rules which are used in the research.[14] The research method adopted to carry out the research work depends upon the nature of the research questions. In general, there are two approaches to research. They are:

1. Quantitative approach
2. Qualitative approach

The Quantitative approach involves the generation of data in the quantitative form, which can be subjected to quantitative analysis in a rigid fashion. The Qualitative approach to research deals with the subjective assessment of the opinions, attitudes, and behavior. This approach depends upon the subjective assessments.

The research methodology adopted to carry out the thesis involves both Quantitative and Qualitative approach on a high level.

Research Question - 1 is answered by adopting case study methodology. Case study method enables a researcher to closely examine the data within a specific context. It can be considered as a robust research method and this method in its true essence, explore and investigate contemporary real-life phenomenon through detailed contextual analysis of a limited number of events or conditions, and their relationships [15]. In this thesis, Ixia BPS and Ixia BPS VE are chosen to represent a hardware-based or physical test environment and a virtual test environment respectively. Inspite of having certain disadvantages that may include
lack of rigor, challenges associated with data analysis and very little basis for
generalizations of findings and conclusions, case study method has its advantages
of data collection and analysis within the context of phenomenon, integration of
qualitative and quantitative data in data analysis, and the ability to capture com-
plexities of real-life situations so that the phenomenon can be studied in greater
levels of depth. Maximum throughput obtained per port is considered as the
measurement point in this context.

**Research Question - 2** is answered by assessing the performance and accuracy
of Ixia BPS VE in Ericsson vEPG environment and comparing maximum capacity
and ROI aspects with Ixia BPS. Performance of BPS VE is assessed by designing
test cases to verify maximum throughput, throughput variations with respect to
resources allocate to BPS VE, stability of the throughput, robustness of BPS VE
and ability of BPS VE to test robustness of the vEPG. Accuracy of BPS VE is
assessed by designing a test case that checks the ability of BPS VE to transmit
data accurately in terms of quantity. The results of these test cases are finalized
through iterative methodology which includes designing of the test case, execution
of the test case, analyzing the obtained results through discussions with experts
on the vEPG product in Ericsson.

**Research Question - 3** is answered by summarizing the difficulties and draw-
backs that have arised while answering the second research question. A part of
this question is also answered by adopting qualitative methodology, where ease
of use is taken into consideration. This is obtained by collecting feedback from
the employee of Ericsson that work with testing vEPG.

### 4.2 Test setup

In this section, description of the hardware and software setup used in this thesis
are presented and the different test environments used are discussed. Installation
of BPS VE, setting up of the vEPG node and connecting them to each other are
the three main tasks to make the test setup ready.

Hardware used in this thesis to install BPS VE is a Dell R630 Rackserver with
CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2690 v4 @ 2.60GHz. Red Hat Enterprise Linux
Server release 7.2 is installed on the hardware and KVM hypervisor is run over
the operating system. BPS VE version 8.21 is used in this thesis for the analysis.
vController and vBlades are installed on the host as separate virtual machines
using their qcow2 images provided by Ixia. Two NICs are configured on the vCon-
troller for external management and internal management. External management
uses bridged networking mode so that the management IP of the vController is
accessible to the users and internal management uses NAT networking mode.
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Each vBlade is configured with one NIC for internal management in NAT networking mode. Test ports on which BPS VE emulates traffic are configured on the vBlade in bridged networking mode to be able to access the physical NIC on the hardware.

Ericsson provides the vEPG node configured with a suitable Access Point Name (APN) as the SUT. Since BPS VE doesn’t support dual stack, the IPv6 addresses on the NICs of the vEPG node should be disabled. Based on the node name, VLAN IDs for RAN, Media and SGi interfaces are calculated and used in setting up the network neighborhood in BPS VE.

Figure 13 shows the test bed setup, by connecting the BPS VE system to the vEPG node.

![Test Bed Setup Diagram]

Figure 13: Test Bed Setup

Two test environments will be used in this thesis which are explained further below in this section. They are back to back (B2B) and vEPG as SUT. To handle these two environments, two vBlades: vBlade_B2B and vBlade_vEPG are installed and a vController, to manage the vBlades is installed. Four virtual ports are deployed on vBlade_B2B (only two are shown in the figure 13). The first and second ports are connected back to back; third and fourth ports are connected back to back (p1p1-p1p2, p3p1-p3p2). Two ports are deployed on the vBlade_vEPG (em1, em2) which are connected to the vEPG node in the Ericsson’s lab environment.
In this setup, the management network is divided into external and internal management networks. External management network, indicated in red color in the figure 13, is used to access the BPS VE GUI to control and manage BPS VE sessions. Internal Management Network, indicated in blue color in the figure, is used by vController to control and manage the vBlades (vBlade_B2B & vBlade_vEPG). The test ports and their connections are indicated in green color.

The description of the two test environments used in this thesis is as follows:

1. **Back to Back Test Environment:** In the back to back (B2B) test environment, two ports of BPS VE are interconnected. One port simulates subscribers (UEs), eNBs as well as the MME and the other port simulates SGW, PGW and PDN. Both the ports exchange traffic via GTP-C and GTP-U protocols. In BPS VE, these components are emulated on the client side and the server side as shown in the figure 14. While running a test in the B2B environment, either first or second pair of ports on the vBlade_B2B are reserved.

![Figure 14: Back to Back Test Environment](image)

2. **vEPG Test Environment:** In vEPG test environment, vEPG is the SUT. BPS VE simulates subscribers (UEs), eNBs and MME on one port and PDN on the other. In BPS VE, these components are emulated on the client and server side as shown in figure 15. While creating the network neighborhood for this test environment, it is important to configure the eNBs, MME, SGW and PGW with correct IP. Three VLANs, two on the client side and one on the server side are introduced with VLAN IDs based on the vEPG node chosen. Suitable APN, suggested by Ericsson is also included in the Mobility Session Information section of the Network Neighborhood. While running a test in the vEPG environment, pair of ports on the vBlade_vEPG is reserved.
4.3 Test Procedure

Running a test using BPS VE involves the following steps: Selecting the Network Neighborhood, Adding a test component, Configuring the test component and Running the test.

In the Network Neighborhood, the addressing rules are set for each test interface. Each test interface has a set of subnets to define the addressing rules for test traffic originating the test interface. It defines the possible addresses the system can use for its generated test traffic and determines how the system will allocate those addresses for use. All addresses used in test traffic generated by BPS VE follow the protocol rules as though the addresses were a real host existing within a real subnet on the network. Network Neighborhood comprises of elements, like various types of network devices. Each element belongs to an element group. Elements of our interest in this thesis are those that represent infrastructure in the LTE core network like eNB/MME, eNB/MME/SGW, eNB, SGW/PGW, MME/SGW/PGW, PGW. We use these elements depending upon the test environment being used in each test. A combination of interfaces is selected to act as the server and the client. Network traffic will be transmitted from the interface designated as the client and received on the interface designated as the server. Figure shows the test interfaces section in the network neighborhood.

Test cases are designed either with single user configuration or multiple user configuration. Number of UE signifies the number of users or subscribers. This value is modified in the network neighborhood under the HSS/UE Database section and Count parameter. Figure 16 and figure 17 show the configuration in case of
single user and multiple users respectively.

![Figure 17: Single User configuration](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D...</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>IMSI Base</th>
<th>IMEI Base</th>
<th>MSISDN Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td>ue_info_1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2400112...</td>
<td>359116...</td>
<td>140541234...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 18: Multi User configuration](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D...</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>IMSI Base</th>
<th>IMEI Base</th>
<th>MSISDN Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td>ue_info_1</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>2400112...</td>
<td>359116...</td>
<td>140541234...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BPS VE system automatically maps ports to interfaces while port reservations. Port mappings link a port on the BPS VE system to an interface defined in the network neighborhood. Once a test is created, a component tag which is a pointer is assigned to each test interface used by a test component. For each test component, the component tag assigned will determine the client and server addresses. When the system starts emulating the test traffic, it will derive the source and destination addresses from the component tag.

As mentioned in section 3.2, we mainly use Application Simulator as the test component. In the application simulator, we make use of the App Profile to determine what type of application flows to send to the SUT. The protocol, client-type traffic, and server-type traffic is defined using a set of flow specifications. An upper-bound limit on the number of flows that can be open during the ramp up phase is defined using the Maximum Simultaneous Super Flows in Session/Super Flow Configuration.

Once a test is ready to be run with all the parameters defined, it is verified that the test has not exceeded the available bandwidth limitations and hardware resources using the Test Status option. This automatically updates each time the test is modified. If the icon is green, then the test is ready to run; if the icon is yellow, there is an issue with the test configuration but the test can run with the existing configuration; if the icon is red, there is an issue with the configuration that is preventing the test from running. In case of yellow or red, clicking on the Test Status link displays the issues with the test configuration. The test is run using the ‘Start Test’ option whenever the test status is green/yellow.
Any settings specific to a test are described in section 4.4.

While the test is running, Real-Time Statistics instantly show the progress at any given point in time as shown in figure 18. This also gives interactive graphs that dynamically update as the test progresses.

![Real Time Statistics](image)

Figure 19: Real Time Statistics

When the test finishes, reports that provide detailed information about the test, such as the components used in a test, the addressing information, the SUT profile configuration, the system versions, and the results of the test are studied to fetch values of the required parameters.

### 4.4 Tests Designed

To evaluate the performance of BPS VE, following test case are designed and executed.

#### 4.4.1 10G Port Maximum Throughput Test

Throughput is a major key performance indicator for wideband services. This test case is to check the highest throughput a 10 Gigabit port of BPS VE can achieve for a certain application under the conditions involving a single user and 10k users, having the hardware and software configurations as mention in section 4.2. This test case is run in both, back to back and vEPG as SUT test environments. Ports are reserved on the chassis and the network neighborhood is
configured for each test correspondingly as mentioned in section 4.3. Application Simulator is selected as the test component and the Data Rate parameters are set as shown in figure 19.

These parameters define the maximum transmit data rate that the test will use. When specifying a rate in Megabits/second (Mbps), the ethernet inter-packet gap is included in the rate value. Therefore, the amount of usable data ‘on the wire’ will be less than the rate value, depending on frame/packet size. Data Rate Scope defines whether the rate distribution number is treated as a per-interface limit or an aggregate limit on the traffic that this component generates. Because of the asymmetric nature of most application protocols, when per-interface limiting is enabled, client-side bandwidth is likely to be less than server-side bandwidth and the aggregate bandwidth used for some protocols will be less than the sum of the max allowed per interface. But, in this test case, a fixed amount of throughput is required to analyze the maximum possible throughput. Thus, the aggregate limit is selected.

| Data Rate Unlimited: | [ ] |
| Data Rate Scope: | Limit Aggregate Throughput |
| Data Rate Unit: | Megabits / Second |
| Data Rate Type: | Constant |
| Minimum Data Rate: | 5500 |
| Maximum Data Rate: | 10000 |

Figure 20: Data Rate Configuration

Maximum Super Flows per second parameter in the Session/Super Flow Configuration as shown in figure 21 defines the maximum number of Super Flows that will be instantiated per second. There must be adequate bandwidth allocated to a test via the Rate Distribution parameter to achieve certain number of sessions per second. Also, the ramp up duration generally should be 1 second or longer for high numbers of sessions per second. This ensures that the test has some phase where test bandwidth is exclusively dedicated to setting up sessions. Maximum Simultaneous Super Flows define the maximum simultaneous super flows that will exist concurrently during the test duration. It defines a shared resource between different test components.
Stair Step load profile with ramp up behaviour as 'Full Open + Data + Full Close’ for 20 seconds, Steady-State behaviour as 'Open and Close Sessions’ for 10 minutes and Ramp-Down behaviour with 'Full Close’ for 15 seconds are configured.

Two kinds of application profiles are used to analyze the maximum possible throughput. Their names, file size and details about the traffic mixes are as shown below in figure 22 and figure 23.

1. Simple HTTP Superflow:
2. Real-Time Mobile Traffic Superflow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Seed</th>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>% Bandwidth</th>
<th>% Flows</th>
<th># Bytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth HTTP</td>
<td>5,968</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.47</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>595,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMTP Email</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>24.56</td>
<td>20,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth FTPbP4</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>46.88</td>
<td>6,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth POP3</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1,176,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTunes Mobile Music</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1,447,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook iOS</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>636,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youtube Mobile (Apple iPod Touch) August 2013...</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1,114,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Play Sandmine Bandwidth</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1,164,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.264</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>235,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBC iPlayer Radio</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>227,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Map Search</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>423,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skype VI</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7,586,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP Live Streaming (HLS)</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>Generated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>117,441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 23: Real-Time traffic superflow

4.4.2 Throughput vs vController Resources

As seen in section 3.2, vController is the virtual system controller for controlling and managing the vBlades. The minimum hardware requirements of a vController are 8 GB RAM, 8 vCPUs and 100 GB HDD. The purpose of this test case is to
observe the impact of the change in resource configuration of the vController on
the throughput of BPS VE and to obtain the most favorable configuration of the
RAM allocation and number of vCPUs to yield maximum throughput.

While setting up the vController, the memory allocated to RAM and the number
of vCPUs are changed. One of the parameters is set to its minimum requirement
value and the second parameter is varied. Values of RAM memory and vCPUs
used in this test case are as shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

| vCPUs : 8 |
|---|---|---|
| RAM(GB) | 8 | 10 | 12 |

| RAM(GB) : 8 |
|---|---|---|
| vCPUs | 8 | 10 | 12 |

vBlade configuration is set to 10 vCPUs and 8 GB RAM as they yield the best
throughput, which is seen in results and analysis of third test case in section
5.3. Back to back test setup is used for this test case and the test configuration
remains as explained in section 4.4.1.

### 4.4.3 Throughput vs vBlade Resources

As seen in section 3.2, vBlade is responsible for the traffic emulation and its
minimum hardware requirements for high performance and scalability are 8 GB
RAM, 4 vCPUs and 10 GB HDD. The purpose of this test case is to observe the
impact of the change in resource configuration of the vBlade on the throughput
of BPS VE and to obtain the most favorable configuration of the RAM allocation
and number vCPUs to yield maximum throughput. While setting up the vBlade,
the memory allocated to RAM and the number of vCPUs are changed. One of
the parameter is set to its minimum requirement value and the second parameter
is varied. Various values of RAM memory and vCPUs used for this test are as
shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

| vCPUs : 4 |
|---|---|---|
| RAM(GB) | 8 | 10 | 12 |
Table 5: vBlade vCPU values

| RAM(GB) : 8 | vCPUs | 4 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |

Back to back test setup is used for this test case and the test configuration remains like the one in section 4.4.1.

### 4.4.4 Stability of Throughput

Stability testing is adopted to verify if the application can continuously perform well within the acceptable period. This test case is to verify the ability of BPS VE to emulate traffic consistently for longer periods of time and to see that the vEPG node stays stable in a situation that is close to the customer environment for a long period.

To verify the ability of BPS VE to emulate traffic consistently for longer periods of time, tests are performed using the vEPG as SUT setup. Application Simulator is selected as the test component and the data rate parameters, super flow configuration is set as in section 4.4.1, with minimum data rate being 4000 Mbps. A Stair Step load profile with ramp up behaviour as 'Full Open + Data + Full Close' for 20 seconds, Steady-State behavior as 'Open and Close Sessions' for 4 hours and Ramp-Down behaviour with 'Full Close' for 15 seconds is configured. Two tests are run configuring the duration of steady state to be 4 hours and 24hrs. Http superflow and a single user test environment are used for these tests.

### 4.4.5 Robustness of BPS VE Performance

A robustness test shows the system’s resilience in the event of any failure [10]. BPS VE should have the capability to handle the emulated traffic when the SUT or the components of the SUT are shut down or re-started. Robustness of the tool BPS VE is tested in this test case. This test case has two sections:

**Components of vEPG reloaded**

Session controller (SC) cards and payload processor (PP) cards are the two major components of the SUT, vEPG. The node used in this thesis is configured with two SC cards and two PP cards; one for use and the other for resilience purpose. Robustness is tested by reloading these cards. In all the test cases, throughput is observed while the cards are reloading and once the cards are reloaded as shown in figures 4.12 and 4.13 respectively.
4.4.6 Robustness of vEPG using BPS VE

This test case is designed to analyze the ability of BPS VE to verify the strength of the vEPG. Along with application simulator, stack scrambler is also used as a test component in this test. This allows to introduce fuzzing at a layer or protocol level in a packet. This fuzzed traffic is passed through the vEPG to verify its strength. Figure 26 shows the configuration of the stack scrambler. These indicate the number of corruptions that are introduced as the percentage of the traffic emulated. 5 is the maximum number of simultaneous corruptions that can be introduced at a time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StackScrambler</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of simultaneous corruptions</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad Ethernet Type</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IP Version</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 TTL or Bad IPv6 Hop Limit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 Header Length</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IP Differentiated Services Field (TOS)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 or IPv6 Total Length</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 Flags</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 Fragment Offset</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IP Protocol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 Checksum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv4 Options</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad IPv6 Flow Label</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad TCP Flags</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad TCP Options</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad TCP or UDP Header Length</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad TCP Urgent Pointer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad L4 Checksum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad ICMP Type</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad ICMP Code</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad SCTP Verification Tag</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad SCTP Checksum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad SCTP Type</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad SCTP Chunk Length</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad SCTP Chunk Flags</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP Flags</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP Type</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP Length</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP Sequence Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP N-PDU</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad GTP Next</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Establish TCP Sessions: ✔

Figure 26: Stack Scrambler configuration
4.4.7 Accuracy in terms of Quantity of Data

This test case concentrates on the accuracy of the quantity of data being transmitted between BPS VE and the vEPG. It is important to verify that the total traffic emulated by BPS VE is received by the vEPG and is re-directed to BPS VE server side accurately. For this test case, only application simulator is used as a test component with similar configuration as mentioned in section 4.4.1 but the data rate is kept low as the focus is on accuracy here. It is set to 2000 Mbps. The vEPG is configured with two SC cards and two PP cards.

4.4.8 Non-Functional Aspects

There are two non-functional aspects in which BPS VE is analyzed. They are the ease of automation and the ROI. The ROI part is also compared with the Ixia BPS to look at the trade-offs.

At present, there is an increased demand to achieve test automation in the telecommunication sector. Role of automation is high because of the advantages it provides which include reducing human intervention, increasing the speed of test execution and test reliability. Automated testing can be considered as a corner-stone in the modern software development process, where the use of test automation is increasing rapidly due to the introduction of methods such as test-driven development and continuous integration [11].

ROI is a performance measure used to evaluate the efficiency of an investment or to compare the efficiency of different investments. ROI measures the amount of return on an investment relative to the investment’s cost. In this thesis, we calculate and analyze the ROI for both, virtual and hardware-based BPS.
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Results and Analysis

This section presents the results of the test cases described in section 4.4 and their analysis to assess the performance of Ixia BPS VE.

5.1 BPS VE 10G Port Maximum Throughput Test

When a single user or subscriber was allotted a maximum of 10 simultaneous super flows, 10 super flows per second, the CPU usage of the vEPG (SUT) was found to be lower than its maximum capacity. Thus, the simultaneous super flows and super flows per second were gradually increased and when both were configured to 20, the CPU usage of the vEPG was at its maximum. This resulted in the final configuration of single user scenario to have a maximum of 20 simultaneous super flows and super flows per second and multiple user scenario with 10k users to have a maximum of 20k simultaneous super flows and super flows per second.

Stability of the obtained throughput is one of the major factors in deciding the maximum obtainable throughput from BPS VE. Minimum Data Rate parameter has been increased from 1000 Mbps to 7000 Mbps and the throughput on both the interfaces has been observed. It is seen that the throughput is not stable over 5500 Mbps, that is the fluctuations in the graph are too high. Upon investigating, the reason for throughput over 5500 Mbps not being stable is realized as the packet drops at the KVM Bridge. To handle this, Direct IO or DPDK methods can be used. Since BPS VE doesn’t support these methods currently, 5500 Mbps is concluded as the maximum obtainable throughput. Graph shown in figure 27 shows the throughput for a single user and figure 28 shows the throughput for 10k users, when testing using the B2B test environment.
Figure 27: Single User - Simple HTTP - B2B Test Environment
When testing using the vEPG as SUT test environment, there is a reduction in maximum obtainable throughput due to some limitations on the SUT side. Stable throughput over 4700 Mbps can also be obtained but there is an enormous packet drop which is not acceptable. Thus, 4700 Mbps is concluded as the maximum obtainable throughput when a single user is emulated which is shown in figure 29.
As a single subscriber using multiple applications simultaneously is considered impractical and rare, a real-time traffic case with single subscriber is not tested. For 10k users, figure 30 and figure 31 show the maximum throughput when simple HTTP traffic and real-time traffic are transmitted respectively.
Figure 30: 10000 users - Simple HTTP - vEPG as SUT Test Environment
Figure 31: 10000 users - Real-Time Traffic - vEPG as SUT Test Environment

5.2 BPS VE Throughput vs vController Resources

There is no change in the maximum throughput obtained when the resources, RAM allocation and vCPUs of the vController are varied. Individual graphs are shown in Appendix and the overall variation in throughput with respect to RAM is shown in figure 32 and with respect to vCPUs is shown in figure 33.
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5.3 BPS VE Throughput vs vBlade Resources

Varying the RAM allocation of the vBlade has not affected the maximum throughput obtained but the vCPUs allocated to the vBlade has shown a significant impact as vBlade is responsible for traffic emulation on the virtual chassis. Figure 32: Maximum throughput vs vcontroller RAM graph

Figure 33: Throughput vs vController vCPU

Figure 32: Maximum throughput vs vcontroller RAM graph

Figure 33: Throughput vs vController vCPU
34 shows the throughput vs RAM graph. Individual graphs are included in the Appendix.

![Figure 34: Throughput vs vBlade RAM](image)

As mentioned in section 4.4.2, vCPUs of the vBlade are varied from 4 to 14 and the throughput is observed. It is seen that the throughput increases with increase in vCPUs up to 10 and then decreases drastically. This variation is shown in figure 35 and the individual graphs are included in the Appendix.
Since vCPUs allocated to vBlade has highly affected the maximum throughput, an idea to observe the influence of method of vCPU allocation on this throughput has emerged. Pinning certain workloads to a subset of CPUs is an approach to increase the workload isolation, but its effect depends on workload type and system architecture [9]. Results shown above are acquired when the vCPUs are allowed to freely float across the host pCPUs. Idea is to set ‘dedicated’ such that these guest vCPUs are strictly pinned to a set of host pCPUs. Physical host used in the thesis has 28 pCPUs or cores hyperthreaded into 56 logical processors (0-55) and mapped to two NUMA nodes, NUMA 0 and NUMA 1. Each logical processor has a physical ID and a core ID, which indicate the NUMA and the core on which it is present. Since 28 pCPUs are hyperthreaded into 56 logical processors, there will be 2 logical processors present on each pCPU known as siblings. Figure 36 shows the arrangement of these logical processors. Each block in the figure shows the sibling processor numbers on the left and the physical ID, core ID on the right.
In the test setup used described in section 5.1, the two ports connected to vEPG, em1 and em2 are mapped to NUMA 0. Under NUMA, as a processor can access its own local memory faster than non-local memory, vCPUs of the vBlade are also mapped to NUMA 0. Thus, the vCPUs of the vBlade are mapped to NUMA 0 starting from the core 1. An example of mapping 10 vCPUs of the vBlade is shown in figure 37.

It is seen that the throughput has improved with pinning and this can be seen in the graph shown in figure 38. There is a major difference in the drastic decrease of throughput when vCPUs allotted are greater than 10.

**Figure 37: 10 vcpus mapped to NUMA 0**

**Figure 38: Maximum throughput vs vCPU - With and Without vCPU Pinning**

### 5.4 Stability of BPS VE Throughput

Throughput remains stable as expected for a duration of 4 hours and 24 hours except for minute fluctuations in between as shown in figure 39 and figure 40, respectively.
Figure 39: Throughput vs time(seconds) - 4 hours
5.5 Robustness of BPS VE Performance

As mentioned in section 4.4.5, this test case has two parts, reloading the components of vEPG and restarting the vEPG. Components of the vEPG include payload processor cards and session controller cards.

1 **Reload of Payload Processor Cards:** When the payload processor in use is reloaded on the vEPG, there is a slight drop in the throughput for a very small amount of time as shown in figure 41. It is seen as a dip in the figure. This is because the secondary payload processor has come into action when the active payload processor is reloading. In this scenario, when the secondary payload processor is reloaded, the throughput drops to zero as shown in figure 42.

![Throughput vs time(seconds) - 24 hours](image)
Once either of the cards is ready, the throughput is regained and back to the original level as shown in figure 43 and the overall throughput graph during the test is as shown in figure 44.
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Figure 43: One of the PP cards up and running.

Figure 44: Overall PP card Reload
2 Reload of Session Controller Cards:

When the session controller card in use is reloaded, the throughput falls to zero as shown in figure 45. If the GTP tunnel vanishes once, it is not re-established by BPS VE. The secondary session controller card doesn’t help to keep up the throughput as the bearer is discarded when the active SSC card is reloaded, which in turn discards the GTP tunnel. Even after both the cards are reloaded and back to ‘Ready’ state, the throughput remains at zero as shown in figure 80 for the same reason as mentioned above.

![Figure 45: Overall SC card Reload](image)

3 vEPG Restart Case:

When the vEPG is restarted, all the cards are restarted. This includes the session controller cards. For the same reason as mentioned in section 6.5.4(b), the throughput falls to zero when vEPG is restarted and is not restored at any point as shown in figure 46.
5.6 Robustness of vEPG using BPS VE

1 Fuzzing introduced by Stack Scrambler: Table 6 shows the malformations emulated by Stack Scrambler for the test defined the configuration defined in the section 4.4.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invalid TCP header length</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid TCP Flag Combination</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bad SCTP chunk type values received</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bad SCTP chunk flags values received</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bad SCTP checksum values received</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Throughput:

From the Fig 47, it can be observed that the BPS VE is able maintain the throughput at 4,700 Mbps throughout the test. BPS VE was able to emulate fuzzed traffic in parallel maintaining the throughput at a stable level.
5.7 Accuracy in terms of Quantity of Data

The figure 48 shows the IP summary section of the report. Frames transmitted in the figure is the packet count at the client side (S1-U and S11) and the frames received is the packet count on the server side (SGi).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frames transmitted</td>
<td>13,811,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frames received</td>
<td>13,811,324 100.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame data transmitted</td>
<td>18,439,870,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame data received</td>
<td>18,768,315,339 101.781%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments transmitted</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments received</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 48: IP summary from BPS VE results
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The figure 49 shows the statistics on the PGW of the vEPG, where the packets are counted and classified into uplink and downlink categories.

![Figure 49: PGW statistics on the vEPG node](image)

It can be observed that the methodology for counting the packets is different in BPS VE and vEPG. Thus, there is a need to analyze the measurement points i.e., how and where are the counters on BPS VE and vEPG.

Measurement point of BPS VE is located at the interface where it emulates IPV4 Static Hosts (Server Side). On the PGW, uplink and downlink counters are present as show in figure 50. Therefore, frames received on the vEPG are equated to the sum of uplink and downlink statistics on the PGW.

![Figure 50: Measurement Points](image)
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From the figure 49,

Table 7: Accuracy Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frames received on vEPG</th>
<th>13811324</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uplink packets</td>
<td>2220566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downlink packets</td>
<td>1190758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uplink packets + Downlink packets</td>
<td>13811324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the calculations, it can be observed that the frames received on the BPS VE match the packet count on the vEPG.

5.8 Non-Functional Aspects

1 Ease of Automation: Study has shown that there is a strong possibility to perform test automation using BPS VE. It can be achieved by using either Python REST API and TCL API. Currently REST API functions are limited and the functionalities are documented in the Ixia user guide. In this thesis, REST API provided by Ixia has been used to automate a test case. The user should manually reserve the ports, configure the network neighborhood, traffic items, load profile and application profile in the GUI. Once the test is run, user is required to download the report in the desired format and export the packet capture for each test. As a result, potential areas for automation are identified for the given test case such that it minimizes or eliminates the use of IXIA GUI to do the configuration. Python script portraying the current BreakingPoint VE configuration is obtained from the REST API section in the GUI. This script consists of API calls which can be used to successfully automate a test in BPS VE. The script is studied and understood. The modules required for connecting to the chassis, configuring the VLAN IDs in the network neighborhood, reserving ports, configuring the application profile, duration of the test, downloading the report in required format are identified from this script. Once identified, another python script is written with corresponding variables that should be given as input to execute the mentioned tasks. The script also allows releasing the ports and logging out from BPS VE. The python script developed is available in the Appendix. Despite the automation, there still are certain steps that should be handled manually as some user interaction is necessary to run a test and verify the results.

2 Return on investment:

In this section, trade-off between physical and virtual BPS from ROI perspective is explained. ROI is calculated by analyzing the amount of data obtained from a given license and the cost of the license.
Using two 10G ports, a throughput of 20Gbps is achievable on the physical BPS. This is possible when the page sizes for both uplink and downlink are pushed to their maximum limits while using application simulator as the test component. In contrast, with BPS VE two 10G licenses are required to achieve a throughput of 10Gbps. This is because the maximum throughput that can be obtained from a single 10G license is 5.5Gbps with the setup used in this thesis as stated in the section 6.1.4. So, the calculations for the ROI aspect for physical and virtual BPS are done based on these values. Analysis on the ROI gives us a point where the investment that must be made on physical and virtual to obtain certain throughput become equal. This calculation is vital because duration of our usage can show either physical or virtual to be better from cost perspective. Due to the Non-Disclosure Agreement signed with Ericsson, details about the time calculations and absolute costs of the tools are not mentioned in this thesis document. Table 8 shows the ratio of perpetual or net cost of virtual BPS to physical BPS to obtain different throughputs. Ratio is calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Ratio} = \frac{\text{Cost involved to emulate } x \text{ Gbps traffic using virtual BPS}}{\text{Cost involved to emulated } x \text{ Gbps traffic using physical BPS}}.
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Throughput</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1GBPS</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5GBPS</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10GBPS</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20GBPS</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30GBPS</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These ratios clearly show that the virtual BPS costs more.
Chapter 6

Conclusion and Future Work

The main purpose of this thesis is to assess the virtual test tool and traffic emulator, Ixia BPS VE in Ericsson vEPG environment and analyze the trade-offs between the tool and its hardware-based version, Ixia BPS. High level overview of EPS, LTE architecture are introduced and then the components of EPG, concepts involved in it and its role in LTE are discussed. It is then followed by studying about the Ixia BPS VE tool and its features that are used in this thesis. Test Setup used in this thesis is explained and a set of test cases that evaluate the performance of BPS VE by verifying the maximum throughput that can be obtained from the tool, behavior of the throughput with respect to the resources allocated to the tool and its stability, robustness of the tool and ability of the tool to test robustness of the SUT, and accuracy in terms of quantity of data are designed. These test cases are run using BPS VE and results are observed. Selected KPI are compared with the existing results of tests run using BPS and trade-off between both the tools are documented. Once the tests are run, ease of automation and deployment of these tests is investigated. An automation script that does the basic and essential steps of a test is developed and tested. Based on the tests and analysis of the tool, it is concluded that Ixia BPS VE is a dynamic tool that can be used for real-world traffic emulation to test the vEPG environment in Ericsson and certain improvisations in the tool to test the vEPG better and more efficiently are summarized.

6.1 Answers to Research Questions

R.Q 1 While testing Ericsson vEPG, are there any differences in the test results obtained using a virtual test environment from those using a native physical test environment?

Ans. This question is answered by considering a case study of using Ixia BPS VE as the virtual traffic emulator and Ixia BPS as the hardware-based traffic emulator. Maximum throughput that can be obtained from a two 10G ports is regarded as the measurement point to analyze the trade-off that exists between using a virtual test environment and a native physical test environment. Table 9 gives the summary of this measurement while testing Ericsson vEPG.
Table 9: Capacity Summary - BPS and BPS VE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Test Scenario</th>
<th>Maximum Obtained Throughput (Mbps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ixia BPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single User with simple HTTP Superflow</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10k Users with simple HTTP Superflow</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10k Users with real-time traffic Superflow</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R.Q 2 How can one characterize Ixia Breaking Point’s performance and accuracy in a virtual test setup and a physical test setup?

**Ans.** To characterize Ixia Breaking Point’s performance and accuracy in a virtual test setup, test cases are designed and executed. Their results are studied as mentioned in chapter 5 to conclude about Breaking Point’s performance in virtual test setup. Reports provided by Ericsson are studied to characterize the performance of Ixia BreakingPoint in a physical test setup. ROI aspect is also taken into consideration to signify the performance of BreakingPoint in both the environments.

R.Q 3 How can the Ixia BPS VE tool be improved for a better testing of Ericsson EPG?

**Ans.** Ixia BPS VE is improvised both from a feature as well as a performance perspective in every new release. Despite having a great usability, there still are some aspects that can be improved in the current version of the tool to make it better. Improvisations suggested, which include varied aspects like providing additional features, more detailed statistics in the GUI and improving the existing features for the BPS VE version used in this thesis are as follows:

1. An external License Server is used in the thesis to activate the BPS VE system and the list of ports required for communication between the vController and an external License Server are as follows: 4501, 4502, 27000 - 27009, 47392. Open ports are the doorways to a secure network. Behind open ports, there will be applications and services listening for inbound packets, waiting for connections from the outside, to perform their jobs. It is difficult to handle the security risk associated with these open ports, and mitigate it. Attackers will be equipped with the information on the open ports along with the services and applications behind them. This is all the information they need to orchestrate their attack further. Though this is not a major concern and there are other ways to minimize these risks, it would be great if the current list of ports can be optimized further.

2. Currently BPS VE doesn’t support IPv6 stack in the LTE testing which is considered essential in the existing scenario of mobile communications.
Though BPS VE doesn’t support IPv6, it should be able to handle the existence of IPv6 in the response messages received from the SUT, vEPG in our case. This didn’t happen as the BPS VE was not able to send a ‘CREATE SESSION’ request when the response from vEPG contained IPv6. This problem was solved by disabling IPv6 on the vEPG. This is one of the major drawbacks of the tool, which must be considered.

3. The performance of BPS VE installed on a KVM hypervisor is greatly limited by the packet drops at KVM bridge. To eliminate this bottleneck, performance enhancement techniques such as SR IOV at the network interfaces or PCI passthrough in KVM hypervisor can be adopted, which are currently not supported by BPS VE. Enabling these techniques would improve the performance to a large extent.

4. To test the robustness of the vEPG, proposed methods included restart of the surrounding components in the LTE architecture (eNB, MME) and introducing interface breakages while running a test. This concept is not supported by BPS VE. Any change made in the network neighborhood will be effective for the next test but not the current test in progress. A feature supporting this idea would be advantageous for Ericsson in testing the robustness of vEPG.

5. One of the test cases in this thesis includes reloading of the PPB cards, SSC cards on the vEPG and restarting the vEPG to analyze the behavior of BPS VE in such scenarios. As seen in section 5.5, BPS VE could regain the throughput when the PPB cards are reloaded but couldn’t when the SSC cards are reloaded or vEPG is restarted. This is because BPS VE is unable to re-establish a discarded GTP tunnel during the test. This feature, if implemented, will be instrumental in increasing the robustness testing capabilities of BPS VE.

6. For testing vEPG, one of the vital aspects is to test the ability of SGW to be the local mobility anchor point for inter eNB handover, mainly to assist the reordering function in the eNB. Current version of BPS VE doesn’t provide an option to emulate inter-eNB handovers or inter-RAT handovers. Inclusion of this feature would profoundly assist the verification of such functionalities of SGW.

7. As seen in section 5.7, IP Summary segment of the Application Simulator statistics in the test report is used for testing the accuracy of the emulated traffic. This segment gives information about the transmitted and received frames, frame data and fragments; that is the separation is made on interface level. It would be of immense use if the statistics based on the uplink and downlink data on each interface are also given. This will make the
comparison of data recorded on the vEPG to the data shown on BPS VE simpler and precise.

8. In BPS VE, when a test is run, all the three phases of a load profile, Ramp-up, Steady State and Ram-Down are taken into consideration to calculate the statistics presented in the report. But, in real time it is preferred to omit the Ramp-Up and Ram-Down phases and have a section which displays and illustrates the statistics of Steady State phase alone.

6.2 Future Work

- BPS VE offers much more capabilities and other functionalities that can be utilized to create important test cases for validating various aspects of vEPG. For instance, in this thesis, only one of the test components of BPS VE, Application Simulator was greatly utilized. The other test components such as Stack Scrambler and Security can be further exploited to design test cases that challenge the robustness and security aspects of vEPG.

- Investigation on DPDK implementation methods on KVM to handle the throughput limited by the KVM bridge can be done.

- For a full extensive quality accuracy test, it requires to capture the traffic and see exactly when the DPI rules catches the ongoing transactions, since in general the DPI rules are specified to look for a particular operation or a specific value in the application data [12]. Thus, accuracy testing based on quality of the data can be an interesting aspect which includes testing BPS VE’s ability to perform DPI and filter packets based on the inspection.

- Automation of the basic and main steps to run a test using BPS VE is done using a python script. But, work can be done on trying to reduce the existing need to interact with the GUI.

- Other virtual traffic emulators can be analyzed for the trade-off with Ixia BPS being the standard hardware-based tool.

- In this thesis, performance capabilities of the tool were investigated. Other aspects such as ability to test security can be studied in the future.
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1. Automation Script

This section contains the script which was developed for performing automation of the given test case. The script was developed using Python based REST API script provided by Ixia.

This script developed allows logging into BPS VE, extracting an existing network neighborhood and configuring VLAN IDs based on the Ericsson vepg node, obtaining the test report in csv format and an optional download of the report in pdf format as well, verifying if a test is already running and stopping it forcibly to allow the new test to run, reserve the ports on the chassis, configure the steady state duration of the test, starting the test, showing the status of the test and logging out of BPS VE.

Listing 7.1: Automation Script

```python
from bpsRest_copy import *
import sys
import datetime
import logging
import progressbar
import subprocess
from time import sleep

Arg_List = list(sys.argv)
node_name = sys.argv[1]
chassis_ip = sys.argv[2]
interface_1=int(sys.argv[3])
interface_2=int(sys.argv[4])
Blade_P1=int(sys.argv[5])
Blade_P2=int(sys.argv[6])
test_name=sys.argv[7]
test_duration = sys.argv[8]
report_directory=sys.argv[9]
bps = BPS(chassis_ip, "admin", "admin")
```
# Login
bps.login()

# VLAN
x_value = int(node_name.split(' ')[1])
base = (x_value*50)+50
string='/lab/epg_st Utils/bin/eqdb_api lag %s' % (node_name)
proc = subprocess.Popen([string], stdout=subprocess.PIPE, shell=True)
(lag, err) = proc.communicate()
lag=lag.strip('
')
if (lag == '2' or lag == 2):
gi_vlan_id = str(base+34)
elif (lag == 'true' or lag == 'false '):
gi_vlan_id = str(base+9)
gn_vlan_id = str(base+1)
enb_vlan_id = str(base+4)
bps.retrieveNetwork(NN_name="vEPGx 7_10k_User")
_vlanParams=bps.viewNetwork()
for i in range (0, len(_vlanParams)):
    _vlanParams[i] = _vlanParams[i].split(': ')[1]
_vlan_values=[gn_vlan_id, enb_vlan_id, gi_vlan_id]
for i in range (0, len(L)):
bps.modifyNetwork(componentId=_vlanParams[i], elementId='inner_vlan',
_vlan_values[i])
bps.saveNetwork(name_="vEPGx 7 Single User", force=True)
_vlanParams=bps.viewNetwork()

# PDF check
if(len(Arg_List)>10):
    rep_name_pdf = '.'.join([test_name, 'pdf'])
    rep_name_csv = '.'.join([test_name, 'csv'])

# check if any tests are running and stop them
test_IDs = bps.runningTestInfo()
if test_IDs != '[]':
test_ID_List = s.split(':')[1].split(',')[:][1][:1][1]: 1
if ',' in test_ID_List:
test_ID_List=test_ID_List.split(',')
for test_ID in test_ID_List:
    bps.stopTestID(testid=test_ID)
else:
    bps.stopTestID(testid=test_ID_List)

# Ports Reserve
if Blade_P1==Blade_P2:
    bps.reservePorts(slot=Blade_P1, portList=[interface_1, interface_2],
    group=1, force=True)
else:
    bps.reservePorts(slot=Blade_P1, portList=interface_1, group=1, force=True)
    bps.reservePorts(slot=Blade_P2, portList=interface_2, group=1, force=True)

# Test Duration
bps.setNormalTest(modelName=test_name)
bps.viewNormalTest()
test_components = bps.compName(modelName=test_name)
test_components_list = test_components.split("'")[3].split(':')[:2]
if ',' in test_components_list:
    test_components_list = test_components_list.split(' ', ')
for each_component in test_components_list:
    bps.modifyNormalTest2(componentId=each_component, elementId='rampDist', paramId='steady', Value=test_duration)
else:
    bps.modifyNormalTest2(componentId=test_components_list, elementId='rampDist', paramId='steady', Value=test_duration)
bps.saveNormalTest(name_=test_name, force=True)
bps.viewNormalTest()

# Running the Test
time_stamp=date.time.now().strftime("%Y %m %d_%H:%M:%S")
test_id = bps.runTest(modelName=test_name, group=1)
progress = 0
bar = progressbar.ProgressBar(maxval=100, widgets=[progressbar.Bar('=', '[', ']'), ' ', progressbar.Percentage()])
bar.start()
while progress < 100:
    bar.update(progress)
sleep(0.2)
    progress = bps.getRTS(test_id)
bar.finish()

bps.getTestResult(test_id)
2. **Graphs of Throughput vs Resources allocated to BPS VE**

Following figures (Figure A1 - A3) show the throughput obtained when RAM allocated to the vController is varied:
Figure 51: vController - 8GB RAM
Figure 52: vController - 10GB
Following figures (Figure A4 - A6) show the throughput obtained when vCPUs allocated to the vController are varied:

Figure 53: vController - 12GB
Figure 54: vController - 8 vcpus
Figure 55: vController - 12 vcpus
Following figures (Figure A7 - A9) show the throughput obtained when RAM allocated to the vBlade is varied:
Figure 57: vBlade - 8GB RAM
Figure 58: vBlade - 10GB RAM
Following figures (Figure A10 - A14) show the throughput obtained when vCPUs allocated to the vBlade are varied:

Figure 59: vBlade - 12GB RAM
Figure 60: vBlade - 4vcpus
Figure 61: vBlade - 8vcpus
Figure 62: vBlade - 10vcpus
Figure 63: vBlade - 12vcpus
Figure 64: vBlade - 14vcpus