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Maps are symbolic representations of spatial features. As such, they are by definition projections that involve choices of inclusion and modes of depiction. They are therefore subject to framing, coding, and graphic design in their conception and execution. Even the most positivist attempt to map the world as it is – to represent a set of properties systematically, scaling the matrix in which they are embedded in proportion to their ratio in the physical environment – involves a mental conversion. Thus, all maps, from those on classroom walls, to fold-outs of city streets and subways, to GPS on smartphones and aircraft screens, are “mental maps” whose design rests on the decisions of mapmakers.

However, although all maps are artistic conceptions, a fundamental distinction may be drawn between maps proper – that is, those that are fixed cartographic manifestations of spatial relations – and mental maps, whose spatialization of meaning dwells latently in the minds of individuals or groups of people. Visually realized maps can be analyzed to give insight into the underlying mental maps that have shaped them, laying bare mindsets or agendas that may be as socio-culturally significant as the geography they present. In addition, they may often contain prescriptive images that incidentally shape mental maps in those who view them, thus implanting or concretizing social knowledge. In this issue, Ute Schneider examines the development of German geographer Heinrich Schiffers’ mental maps with reference to cartographic illustrations in his books on Africa from the 1930s to the 1970s.

Mental maps can also be decoded to reveal biases of objectified cartographic knowledge such as socio-spatial hierarchies that structure the world, or to explore ways in which collectives and individuals orient themselves in their environment, or to understand how they perceive the world. One way of elucidating mental maps is by examining hand-drawn sketches
by informants of various backgrounds. In one of our articles, Efrat Ben-Ze’ev
and Chloë Yvroux use this method to disclose conceptions of Israel and Palestine, particularly the West Bank, held by French and Israeli students. In
another, by superimposing large numbers of sketch maps, Clarisse Didelon-
Loiseau, Sophie de Ruffray, and Nicolas Lambert identify “soft” and “hard”
macroregions on the mental maps of geography students across the world.
A deliberately personal approach to mental mapping is represented in this
issue by Lars-Erik Edlund, who offers an essayistic account of mental
mapping from a liberal arts perspective, taking as a point of departure mem-
ories of maps in his own family.

Although many researchers call the subjective map-drawings of their infor-
mants mental maps, implying a distinction between fictitious mental maps
and their real counterparts, we prefer a more formal distinction between
charted maps (endowed with varied claims of objectivity), and latent mental
maps (with correlations to the physical world). As we see it, a mental map,
rather than being an object, is a theoretical construct not observable in its
original repository – the human brain. It is accessible to scrutiny only when
reified via behavioral, oral, textual, or graphical acts.

However, the meta-perspective taken by investigations of mental maps
complicates the picture. Researchers frequently summarize their findings
with regard to mental maps of certain populations through cartographic illus-
trations. Such images are neither latent in the minds of people, nor are they
firsthand, pre-analytic representations of spatial knowledge. They qualify as
mental maps because they graphically articulate conceptual notions of
space. Thus, researchers are not concerned with the utility of such maps for
transversing space, but rather wish to understand the contingent apperception
of the world contained in those maps. Janne Holmén charts such mental
maps, investigating whether the Baltic and the Mediterranean Seas are seen
as links or divisions between the countries that line their shores, according
to the mental maps of high school seniors. Similarly, Dario Musolino recon-
structs mental maps in order to understand regional preferences of Italian
entrepreneurs.

Alongside “cognitive map”, an approximate synonym with more neuro-
logical connotations, the concept of mental map is well established in geogra-
phy, behavioral science, and psychology. Immanuel Kant may have
anticipated the idea of mental maps in his writings on geography (Richards
1974), but it was in the interwar period that psychologist Edward Tolman
developed a modern understanding of spatial orientation, and later coined
the term cognitive map in his studies of learning in rats (1948). From the
1960s onwards, behavioral geographers came to develop a related interest in
the depiction of space in the human mind. Although not actually utilizing
the term mental map, Kevin Lynch’s book *The Image of the City* (1960) is
regarded as a pioneering work in the field. Another key figure was Peter
Gould, who called his isolinear maps of how people perceived different areas mental maps (Gould 1966; Gould and White 1974).

Influential contributors to the discussion of mental maps from other disciplines did not explicitly use the concept themselves. Benedict Anderson’s Imagined Communities (1983) and Edward Said’s Orientalism (1979) are probably the foremost works to have advanced the concept of mental maps in the humanities and social sciences. They examine two opposed socio-cultural processes, communitization and “othering”, that have seen particular interest in mental mapping research.

Historians have also taken up the idea of mental maps over the past 25 years, especially in analyzing patterns of dominance and subalternity, the construction and dissolution of historical regions, and the world views of political elites. The German journal Geschichte und Gesellschaft was comparatively early in publishing a special issue on the topic (Conrad 2002), and despite the promotion of such competing concepts as environmental images or spatial representation, that of mental maps “has become fairly standard in historical research on collective concepts of geographical and historical macro-regions” (Schenk 2013, see, e.g. Mishkova forthcoming).

Disciplines that have found the concept of mental maps useful include geography, psychology, history, linguistics, economics, anthropology, political science, and computer game design. To date, there has been little communication between those disciplines and methodological schools involved in mental mapping, and an international multi-disciplinary conversation on mental maps with an emphasis on cultural patterns is still in its early stages.¹ This special issue of the Journal of Cultural Geography addresses this situation by bringing together scholars from the fields of history, geography, economics, anthropology, and linguistics, and by including a variety of quantitative and qualitative research methods. The authors presented here are affiliated with research institutions in Finland, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, and Sweden. They show that mental mapping research is an exciting arena for inter-disciplinary and international encounters. We believe their fascinating accounts also demonstrate the potential for the further expansion of the field.

The idea of this themed issue emerged from a workshop entitled “Mental Mapping – Historical and Social Science Perspectives” held on 12–13 November 2015 at the Institute of Contemporary History, Södertörn University, and the Italian Cultural Institute “C.M. Lerici” in Stockholm. The keynote speaker was Larry Wolff, whose account of the development of the mental map of Eastern Europe from the Enlightenment to Harry Potter is published separately (Wolff 2016). Other contributors included Jonathan Wright, editor of three volumes on the mental maps of leading politicians in the twentieth century (Casey and Wright 2008, 2011, 2015), and Thomas Scheffler, who has studied conflicting mental maps of Lebanon and the Middle East.
The workshop was arranged by the research project “Spaces of Expectation: Mental Mapping and Historical Imagination in the Baltic Sea and Mediterranean Region”, a joint venture between Södertörn University and Ca’ Foscari University in Venice that is funded by the Foundation for Baltic and East European Studies.

The project has published other studies related to those presented here in the special issue “Maritime Areas: Spaces of Changing Expectations” in the journal *Comparativ* (Petri 2016a), as well as articles on the cohesion of regions (Petrogiannis and Rabe 2016), on the Mediterranean metaphor in geopolitics (Petri 2016b), on the use of mental mapping techniques for surveying historical consciousness (Holmén 2017), and on the fuzzy topography of international organization of the Baltic Sea region (Götz 2016).

In the current era of disoriented globalization, we believe mental maps will continue to be crucial tools for insights into the ability of ordinary people to make sense of the world and into the compasses of their political leaders. In addition, mental mapping may contribute to an improved understanding of the effects of multiple spatial frames conveyed by political institutions and various social organizations (Götz 2008), including attempts at place branding (Gertner 2011), efforts to create areas of limited statehood (Risse 2011), and other forms of manipulating space. Finally, despite the uneasiness about the era we are living in, mental maps show that any juxtaposition of “post-truth” and truth fails to do justice to the ineluctable subjectivity of the human condition.
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**Note**

1. See, however, the cross-disciplinary discussion in the German anthology *Die Ordnung des Raums: Mentale Landkarten in der Ostseeregion* (The order of space: Mental maps in the Baltic Sea region; Götz et al. 2006).
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