

## Errata list

**PhD student:** Carl Börstell

**Title of dissertation:** *Object marking in the signed modality: Verbal and nominal strategies in Swedish Sign Language and other sign languages*

**Date of defense:** 2017-06-02

Location	Original text	Revised text
p. 12, first paragraph	“aspectual, and modal features).”	“and aspectual and modal features).”
p. 19, first paragraph	“these verb sets often”	“these verb sets are often”
p. 28, footnote 8	“phenomenona).”	“phenomena).”
p. 29, §3.1.2, first paragraph	“and those that do.”	“and those that may.”
p. 30, second paragraph	“the hands are directed and/or”	“the hands are directed at and/or”
p. 31, fourth paragraph	“Example (3.1a–b) show”	“Example (3.1a–b) shows”
p. 32–33, figures	“reprinted with permission from Carol Padden)”	“reprinted with permission from Carol Padden. Artist: Frank Paul.)”
p. 35, second paragraph	“locus of the directional object”	“locus of the object”
p. 35, last paragraph	“the starting point of the directionality is an established”	“the starting point of the directionality is not an established”
p. 35, last paragraph	“This arbitrariness of form is problematic in”	“This arbitrariness of form is a problem for”
p. 36, first paragraph	“I should be noted,”	“It should be noted,”
p. 36, second paragraph	“for some scholars avoidance”	“for some scholars’ avoidance”
p. 37, §3.1.3, second paragraph	“(b) the movement and direction shows”	“(b) the movement and direction show”
p. 37, last paragraph	“what the hand(s) represent”	“what the hands represent”
p. 39, §3.2, first paragraph	“zero-marking”	“zero marking”
p. 40, second paragraph	“accompanied by meaningful mouthing (cf. Kimmelman 2012). However, there are certain features of the signed modality that causes”	“accompanied by modifying mouthing (cf. Kimmelman 2012). However, there are certain features of the signed modality that cause”
p. 41, §3.2.2	“that an SVO is”	“that SVO is”
p. 41, footnote 11	“These discourse functions”	“These discourse functions”
p. 45, first paragraph	“and also word order as a”	“and also word order as an”
p. 47, first paragraph	“universal for signed languages”	“universal for signed language”
p. 48, §4.2.2, first paragraph	“Israeli Negev desert.The”	“Israeli Negev desert. The”
p. 48, §4.2.2, second paragraph	“location, handshape, orientation and movement”	“location, handshape, orientation, and movement”
p. 48, §4.2.2, third paragraph	“(e.g. Meir et al. 2004;”	”e.g., Meir et al. 2004;”
p. 54, first paragraph	“function as the A or P”	“function as the A or P/R”
p. 54, second paragraph	“Figure (4.1)”	“Figure 4.1”
p. 54, third paragraph	“For SSL, the participants performed the task together with a friend they brought for the clause and narrative elicitation tasks.”	“For SSL, the participants performed the task together with a friend of their choice.”

p. 65, first paragraph	“semantic restriction”	“semantic restrictions”
p. 66, first paragraph	“Example (4.5a–c) show”	“Example (4.5a–c) shows”
p. 67, second paragraph	“when including all objects, rather”	“when including all objects (rather”
p. 74, first paragraph	“properties of referent”	“properties of a referent”
p. 74, first paragraph	“or case marking strategy”	“or a case marking strategy”
p. 79, first paragraph	“What is relevant in for”	“What is relevant for”
p. 80, footnote 19	“Simper-Allen’s2016”	“Simper-Allen’s 2016”
p. 81, first paragraph	“(for which both are semantically transitive, that is, taking both A and P)”	“, for which both are semantically transitive, ”
p. 81, first paragraph	“make use of”	“makes use of”
p. 81, §5.2.1	“were shown”	“was shown”
p. 85, first paragraph	“(i.e. meaning)”	“(i.e., meaning)”
p. 86, second paragraph	“in (a) [...], and in (b)”	“in (b) [...], and in (c)”
p. 87, second paragraph	“(10 actions [5 prototypical; 5 non-prototypical] ”	“(10 actions [5 prototypical; 5 non-prototypical] ”
p. 91, first paragraph	“lexical sign OTHER <sub>b</sub> ”	“lexical sign OTHER <sub>3b</sub> ”
p. 92, first paragraph	“proportion clauses”	“proportion of clauses”
p. 92, second paragraph	“ethe”	“the”
p. 99, §6.2	“and the analysis procedure is described in §6.2.1.”	“and the analysis procedure is described in §6.2.2.”
p. 100, §6.2.1.1	“which was identical”	“which were identical”
p. 100, footnote 24	“depict”	“depicts”
p. 103, first paragraph	“in SSL with video of”	“in SSL with a video of”
p. 119, second paragraph	“each of which consist”	“each of which consists”
p. 120, §7.2	“(but see § for recent”	“(but see §9.4.2 for recent”
p. 122 (generally)	“-person”	“ person”
p. 122, second paragraph	“have a object pronoun”	“have an object pronoun”
p. 123, Example (7.4b)	“UNDERSTAND”	“ENVY”
p. 124, §7.2.3	“As shown in the general background (§2)”	“As shown in the verbal introduction (§3)”
p. 125, first paragraph	“the signs derived from PERSON is”	“the signs derived from PERSON are”
p. 126, first paragraph	“MAG ‘like’.”	“LIKE.”
p. 127, first paragraph	“(see Example 7.10)a–b.”	“(see Example 7.10a–b).”
p. 132 (generally)	“-person”	“ person”
p. 139, last paragraph	“Out of the 110 mouthings”	“Out of the 115 mouthings”
p. 145, Example (8.9b)	“OBJPRO <sub>l</sub> ”	“OBJPRO <sub>3</sub> ”
p. 145, Example (8.11a)	“”känna sig””	“känna sig”
p. 146, §8.6	“only for small percentage.”	“only for small percentages.”
p. 159, third paragraph	“the the”	“the”
p. 162, §9.3.1	“The are moved/oriented”	“They are moved/oriented”
p. 168, first paragraph	“dictionary <i>Spread the Sign</i> ”	“dictionary <i>Spread the Sign</i> ,”
p. 169, §9.4.4	“Table 9.5)”	“Table 9.5”
p. 171, last paragraph	“considers the LSE PERS to be”	“considers the possibility that LSE PERS is”
p. 189, second paragraph	“(i.e. only one answer is possible).”	“(i.e., only one answer is possible).”